
28 Apr 2023

Collisional Excitation of C₂H⁻ by H₂: New Interaction Potential and Scattering Calculations

Fabien Dumouchel

Ernesto Quintas-Sánchez

Missouri University of Science and Technology, quintassancheze@mst.edu

Christian Balança

Richard Dawes

Missouri University of Science and Technology, dawesr@mst.edu

et. al. For a complete list of authors, see https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/chem_facwork/3380

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/chem_facwork

 Part of the [Physical Chemistry Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

F. Dumouchel et al., "Collisional Excitation of C₂H⁻ by H₂: New Interaction Potential and Scattering Calculations," *The Journal of chemical physics*, vol. 158, no. 16, American Institute of Physics, Apr 2023. The definitive version is available at <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0148119>

This Article - Journal is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Research & Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

RESEARCH ARTICLE | APRIL 25 2023

Collisional excitation of C_2H^- by H_2 : New interaction potential and scattering calculations

Fabien Dumouchel , Ernesto Quintas-Sánchez; Christian Balança; ... et. al



J. Chem. Phys. 158, 164307 (2023)

<https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0148119>



View
Online



Export
Citation

CrossMark

Articles You May Be Interested In

Interaction of C_2H with molecular hydrogen: Ab initio potential energy surface and scattering calculations

J. Chem. Phys. (January 2018)

Energetics of the Collision-Induced Dissociations $C_2H_2^+ \rightarrow C_2H^+ + H$ and $C_2H_2^+ \rightarrow H^+ + C_2H$

J. Chem. Phys. (September 2003)

The heat of formation of C_2H^+

J. Chem. Phys. (June 1981)



Time to get excited.
Lock-in Amplifiers – from DC to 8.5 GHz

[Find out more](#)

 Zurich
Instruments

Collisional excitation of C_2H^- by H_2 : New interaction potential and scattering calculations

Cite as: J. Chem. Phys. 158, 164307 (2023); doi: 10.1063/5.0148119

Submitted: 28 February 2023 • Accepted: 12 April 2023 •

Published Online: 25 April 2023



View Online



Export Citation



CrossMark

Fabien Dumouchel,^{1,a)} Ernesto Quintas-Sánchez,² Christian Balança,³ Richard Dawes,²
François Lique,⁴ and Nicole Feautrier³

AFFILIATIONS

¹LOMC - UMR 6294, CNRS-Université du Havre, 25 rue Philippe Lebon, BP 1123, 76 063 Le Havre cedex, France

²Department of Chemistry, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri 65409, USA

³Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS-UMR 8112, LERMA, F-92195 Meudon, France

⁴University Rennes, CNRS, IPR (Institut de Physique de Rennes) - UMR 6251, F-35000 Rennes, France

^{a)} Author to whom correspondence should be addressed: fabien.dumouchel@univ-lehavre.fr

ABSTRACT

Interstellar anions play an important role in astrochemistry as being tracers of the physical and chemical conditions in cold molecular clouds and circumstellar gas. The local thermodynamic equilibrium is generally not fulfilled in media where anions are detected and radiative and collisional data are required to model the observed lines. The C_2H^- anion has not yet been detected in the interstellar medium; however, collisional data could be used for non-LTE models that would help in identifying the most intense lines. For this purpose, we have computed the first 4D potential energy surface (PES) of the $C_2H^-H_2$ complex using an explicitly correlated coupled-cluster approach. The PES is characterized by a single deep minimum with a well-depth of 924.96 cm^{-1} . From this interaction potential, we derived excitation cross sections and rate coefficients of C_2H^- induced by collisions with *para*- and *ortho*- H_2 . The results obtained for collisions with *para*- H_2 are compared to previous calculations performed using a 2D-PES obtained from an average over H_2 rotations.

Published under an exclusive license by AIP Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0148119>

I. INTRODUCTION

Although the presence of anions in astrophysical environments was predicted theoretically and introduced into chemical models in the 1980s,^{1,2} the first negative anion, C_6H^- , was detected only in 2006,³ solving a problem of unidentified lines discovered by Kawaguchi *et al.*⁴ This was followed by the detection of other anions, such as C_4H^- ,⁵⁻⁷ C_8H^- ,⁸⁻¹⁰ CN^- ,¹¹ C_3N^- ,¹² C_5N^- ,¹³ and, very recently, C_7N^- .¹⁴ The majority of these species were first detected and observed in the circumstellar envelope of IRC+10 216 and, later, in cold molecular clouds.⁷

The discovery of carbon chain anions in interstellar and circumstellar environments has stimulated a lot of theoretical and experimental work. Indeed, their role in interstellar chemistry has been the object of many studies.¹⁵⁻¹⁹ However, the formation of negative ions in space is still not well understood.

In 1980, Sarre discussed¹⁵ the formation and the possible detection of C_2H^- in the interstellar medium (ISM) but did not

calculate its abundance. Although C_2H^- has been observed in the laboratory^{10,20} and its neutral form C_2H is a well-known interstellar molecule discovered in 1974,²¹ the C_2H^- anion seems to be a very low-abundant species and has not been detected until now, with only a low upper limit in IRC+10 216 being given.⁶ Despite the fact that the formation of many of the hydrocarbon anions is not yet solved, the very low abundance of C_2H^- seems to be justified by the very high chemical reactivity of this anion leading to its destruction very quickly after its formation. In fact, in addition to its highest reactivity, current numerical models predict that this anion is not efficiently formed through the $C_2H + e^-$ association, while, even if debated, the formation rates increase with increasing size of the carbon chain.^{18,22}

In the laboratory, cooling and controlling molecular ions in cold traps is an important topic as anions are, through photodetachment, precursors of cold molecules with many applications.^{23,24} Collisions with a He buffer gas in the trap play a major role for the preparation of the ions in specific vibrational and rotational states. However, in a recent study, Mant *et al.*²⁵ consider possible cooling

by H_2 instead of He as collisional cross sections by H_2 are much larger than cross sections with noble gas atoms, leading to faster thermalization times.²⁶

The C_2H^- - H_2 collisional data are, then, needed in cold physics studies, and they can also help in searching for C_2H^- by informing non-local thermodynamic equilibrium (LTE) models, which would predict the most intense lines. Recently, rate coefficients for collisions with *para*- H_2 were obtained using a reduced 2D-potential energy surface (PES) averaged over three H_2 orientations.²⁷ However, because of the strong anisotropy of the interaction PES with respect to the orientation of H_2 usually found for ionic species, the use of a reduced dimension PES could lead to inaccuracies in the determination of *para*- H_2 rate coefficients, as found recently for NS^+ - H_2 collisions.²⁸ Moreover, calculations with a 2D-PES do not allow us to obtain data for collisions with *ortho*- H_2 , much needed for the non-LTE modeling of media where both *para*- and *ortho*- H_2 are considered important colliders.

In this paper, we report the first 4D C_2H^- - H_2 interaction PES and inelastic cross sections and rate coefficients for C_2H^- induced by both *para*- and *ortho*- H_2 . The *ab initio* PES was computed using an explicitly correlated coupled-cluster *ab initio* approach. Quantum scattering calculations were performed to compute collisional cross sections and rate coefficients between the lowest 11 rotational levels of C_2H^- . The details of the electronic structure calculations are given in Sec. II, while Sec. III provides a brief description of the scattering calculations. The results are shown and discussed in Sec. IV, and concluding remarks are given in Sec. V.

II. POTENTIAL ENERGY SURFACE

In the ground electronic state, C_2H^- is a linear singlet ($X^1\Sigma^+$). As shown in Fig. 1, the coordinates used to describe the four-dimensional (4D) C_2H^- - H_2 PES are the Jacobi coordinates R , θ_1 , θ_2 , and φ . \vec{R} is the vector between the centers of mass of the two fragments, and \vec{r}_1 and \vec{r}_2 are vectors aligned with each molecule. Coordinate R is the length of vector \vec{R} , while coordinates θ_1 and θ_2 represent (respectively) the angles between \vec{R} and the vectors \vec{r}_1 and \vec{r}_2 . The fourth coordinate is the dihedral (out of plane) torsional angle, labeled φ , which is the angle between the vectors $\vec{R} \times \vec{r}_1$ and




FIG. 1. Coordinates used to describe the C_2H^- - H_2 interaction. See the text for details.

$\vec{R} \times \vec{r}_2$. Note that, for $\theta_1 = 0^\circ$, the C_2H^- molecule aligns with the H atom pointing to the center of mass of the H_2 molecule.

A. Electronic structure calculations

For the construction of the PES, both monomers were held rigid. The bond distance for H_2 was fixed at $r_{\text{HH}} = 0.76665 \text{ \AA}$, the vibrationally averaged bond distance for the ground rovibrational state of H_2 . For C_2H^- , the equilibrium geometry is linear, and the distances employed in this study ($r_{\text{CC}} = 1.25164 \text{ \AA}$ and $r_{\text{CH}} = 1.05963 \text{ \AA}$) were obtained by geometry optimization at the (AE)CCSD(T)-F12b/CVQZ-F12 level, where AE indicates that all electrons were included in the correlation treatment. The final high-level PES was computed using the explicitly correlated coupled-cluster theory²⁹ [CCSD(T)-F12b]. The complete basis set limit was estimated by extrapolating calculations at the (AE)CCSD(T)-F12b/CVTZ-F12 and (AE)CCSD(T)-F12b/CVQZ-F12 levels³⁰ using the I^{-3} formula.³¹ A *geminal beta* coefficient value of 1.5 was specified for the F12 calculations, which include the correlation of core electrons. As mentioned below, to avoid placing expensive high-level data in energetically inaccessible regions, a lower-level guide surface was first constructed. This was done using data at the CCSD(T)-F12b/VDZ-F12 level of theory. Exploiting the system's symmetry, energies were only computed in the reduced angular range: $0 < \theta_1 < 180^\circ$, $0 < \theta_2 < 90^\circ$, and $0 < \varphi < 180^\circ$. All *ab initio* calculations were performed using the Molpro electronic structure code package.³²

B. Analytical representation and characterization of the PES

As we have done in the past for other van der Waals (vdW) linear dimers,^{33–40} the PES's analytical representation was constructed using an automated interpolating moving least squares (IMLS) methodology, freely available as a software package under the name AUTOSURF.⁴¹ As usual,^{42,43} a local fit was expanded about each data point, and the final potential is obtained as the normalized weighted sum of the local fits. This interpolative approach can accommodate arbitrary energy-surface topographies, being particularly advantageous in systems with large anisotropy. The fitting basis and most other aspects of the IMLS procedure were the same as for other previous systems and have been described in detail elsewhere.^{41,43,44}

The shortest intermonomer center-of-mass distance considered is $R = 1.6 \text{ \AA}$, with the additional restriction of a maximum repulsive energy of 6 kcal/mol ($\sim 2100 \text{ cm}^{-1}$) above the separated monomers' asymptote. The *ab initio* data coverage in the fitted PES extends to $R = 20 \text{ \AA}$, which is extended by an analytic form, with the zero of energy set at infinite separation between the monomers. Additional data extending as far as $R = 60 \text{ \AA}$ were used to determine and confirm the correct behavior of the long-range form. As shown in Fig. 2, there is still a strong sensitivity to the orientation of the H_2 in the long range of the PES due to its leading electrostatic moment (quadrupole) interacting with the charge of the C_2H^- molecule. Indeed, as shown in Fig. 2, the interaction energy still varies by more than 1.5 cm^{-1} with respect to θ_2 at $R = 25 \text{ \AA}$. A similar behavior has previously been observed when studying the CF^+-H_2 and HCS^+-H_2 vdW ion-molecule complexes.^{34,40} In this system, the dependence on the orientation of C_2H^- was also found to be signif-




FIG. 2. 1D cuts of the C_2H^- - H_2 interaction energy as a function of angle θ_2 for four different intermolecular separations: $R = 25.0, 15.0, 10.0,$ and 5.0 \AA . The angles θ_1 and φ are fixed (at left) at 180° and 0° and (at right) at 90° and 0° , respectively. The lines represent the fitted PES, and the crosses represent *ab initio* data (not used in the fit).

icant, and so the analytic form of the long-range was constructed including both the charge–quadrupole and dipole–quadrupole (two leading) terms, which vary as R^{-3} and R^{-4} , respectively. The impact of the dipole–quadrupole term and its R^{-4} dependence can be appreciated by comparing each pair of panels in Fig. 2. At $R = 25 \text{ \AA}$, the two most attractive poses of $\theta_2 = 0$ or 180° (at the edges of the plots) are similar for $\theta_1 = 180^\circ$ and 90° (left and right panels). However, following the same comparison at $R = 15, 10,$ and 5 \AA , the $\theta_1 = 90^\circ$ orientation plotted in the panels at right becomes rapidly increasingly less attractive, highlighting the growing importance of the dipole–quadrupole term. The two-term form is sufficient to match the *ab initio* data well. Thus, the global fit switches smoothly from interpolated *ab initio* data in the close interaction region to the analytic long range via a hyperbolic tangent function centered at $R = 15 \text{ \AA}$.

For the high-level PES, the global estimated root-mean-squared fitting error tolerance was set to 0.50 cm^{-1} , and the total number of automatically generated symmetry-unique points needed to reach that target was 2400 (the final estimated error is 0.13 cm^{-1} for energies below the asymptote). To guide the placement of high-level data, as previously mentioned, a lower-level guide surface was constructed using 2614 symmetry-unique points, distributed using a Sobol sequence⁴⁵ biased to more densely sample the short-range region. The analytical representation of the PES is available as the [supplementary material](#).

Figure 3 shows a 2D representation of the PES (denoted R -optimized) as a function of the angles θ_1 and θ_2 for planar configurations ($\varphi = 0^\circ$). The plot describes the complete ranges of θ_1 and θ_2 , relaxing the intermonomer distance coordinate R for each pair of angles. The PES is characterized by a single minimum (the two molecules aligned, with the H_2 at the C-end of the C_2H^-) with a well-depth of 924.96 cm^{-1} . The center-of-mass distance at the minimum is quite short for a collinear complex, at $R = 3.686 \text{ \AA}$ (cf. Fig. 4).

III. SCATTERING CALCULATIONS

The MOLSCAT nonreactive scattering code⁴⁶ was used to carry out scattering calculations of the rotationally inelastic cross sections for collisions between C_2H^- and both *para*- and *ortho*- H_2 . The cross sections were computed using the quantum close coupling approach and the modified log-derivative Airy propagator⁴⁷ starting from $R_{\text{min}} = 4.8 a_0$ (2.54 \AA) to $R_{\text{mid}} = 40 a_0$ (21.16 \AA) for the log-derivative integration method and from R_{mid} to $R_{\text{max}} = 100 a_0$




FIG. 3. R -optimized contour plot of the PES as a function of the angles θ_1 and θ_2 for planar configurations ($\varphi = 0^\circ$). For each pair of angles, the energy (given in cm^{-1}) is optimized with respect to the center-of-mass distance R .




FIG. 4. Various radial cuts defined by different orientational poses of the monomers. In all cases, energies are in cm^{-1} , the lines represent the fitted PES, and the points represent *ab initio* calculations (not used in the fit).

(52.8 Å) for the Airy propagator integration method. In the following, the rotational states of the C_2H^- and H_2 molecules are denoted j_1 and j_2 , respectively, and other molecule-related parameters are labeled 1 for C_2H^- and 2 for H_2 .

The expansion of the potential $V(R, \theta_1, \theta_2, \varphi)$ over a suitable basis of angular functions for any intermolecular distance R was performed according to Green (1975),⁴⁸

$$V(R, \theta_1, \theta_2, \varphi) = \sum_{l_1 l_2 l} v_{l_1 l_2 l}(R) A_{l_1 l_2 l}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \varphi), \quad (1)$$

where the functions $A_{l_1 l_2 l}(\theta_1, \theta_2, \varphi)$ are contracted normalized spherical harmonics and $v_{l_1 l_2 l}(R)$ are the radial coefficients. In this work, the potential was expanded including $0 \leq l_1 \leq 15$ for the C_2H^- molecule and $0 \leq l_2 \leq 4$ for the H_2 molecule.

For C_2H^- , we used the molecular constants of Brünken *et al.*,¹⁰ $B_e = 1.388\,9354\text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $D_e = 3.2345 \times 10^{-6}\text{ cm}^{-1}$. The molecular constants of H_2 were set to $B_e = 60.853\text{ cm}^{-1}$, $\alpha_e = 3.062\text{ cm}^{-1}$, and $D_e = 0.0471\text{ cm}^{-1}$. Inelastic cross sections were obtained between the first 11 rotational levels of C_2H^- ($0 \leq j_1 \leq 10$). The parameters of integration were chosen to ensure the convergence of these cross sections for collisional kinetic energies ranging from 0.1 up

to 1000 cm^{-1} . At each energy, the maximum value of the total angular momentum J_{tot} needed to obtain cross sections that converge to better than $5.0 \times 10^{-3}\text{ Å}^2$ was automatically set by the MOLSCAT program. The size of the rotational basis was carefully studied in order to ensure the convergence of the cross sections and to optimize the calculation in terms of central processing unit (CPU) time.

The first 16 rotational levels of C_2H^- ($0 \leq j_1 \leq 15$) were included in the basis set as the cross sections resulting from larger basis sets (20 and 25 levels) differ by less than a few percent from the 15-level choice (see Table I). Concerning the H_2 basis, two sets of calculations were performed for collisions with *para*- and *ortho*- H_2 : calculations were done either with only the ground rotational state of *para*- H_2 and *ortho*- H_2 ($j_2 = 0$ and $j_2 = 1$, respectively) or including the two first H_2 rotational states ($j_2 = 0, 2$ and $j_2 = 1, 3$). As shown in Fig. 5, including only the first rotational state of *para*- H_2 leads to inaccuracies higher than 30%, in particular at low collision energies where the cross sections exhibit strong resonances. Considering collisions with *ortho*- H_2 , the cross sections obtained with only the first rotational level ($j_2 = 1$) of H_2 or the two first levels ($j_2 = 1, 3$) lead to differences generally lower than 10%, while a large increase by two orders of magnitude of the computational time is found. Hence, we have retained for the H_2 rotational basis set the first two rotational states ($j_2 = 0, 2$) of *para*- H_2 and only one rotational state ($j_2 = 1$) of *ortho*- H_2 .

The collisional rate coefficients $k_{j_1, j_2 \rightarrow j'_1, j'_2}(T)$ were derived for temperatures up to 100 K by averaging the computed cross sections $\sigma_{j_1, j_2 \rightarrow j'_1, j'_2}(E_k)$ as

$$k_{j_1, j_2 \rightarrow j'_1, j'_2}(T) = \left(\frac{8k_B T}{\pi\mu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\frac{1}{k_B T} \right)^2 \times \int_0^\infty E_k \sigma_{j_1, j_2 \rightarrow j'_1, j'_2}(E_k) e^{-\frac{E_k}{k_B T}} dE_k, \quad (2)$$

where E_k is the collision energy, $\mu = 1.8655\text{ a.u.}$ is the reduced mass of the complex, and k_B is the Boltzmann constant. In this paper, we only consider transitions where H_2 remains in its first rotational level ($j_2 = 0$), as rotational excitation of H_2 ($j_2 = 2$) has a very low probability at the considered range of energies.

IV. RESULTS

Figure 6 (upper panel) shows the energy variation of the cross sections for the de-excitation of C_2H^- by collision with *para*- and

TABLE I. Cross sections at a collision energy of $E_c = 200\text{ cm}^{-1}$ as a function of the size (j_{1max}) of the C_2H^- rotational basis. The rotational basis of *para*- H_2 and *ortho*- H_2 includes $j_2 = 0, 2$ and $j_2 = 1$, respectively.

j_1	j'_1	<i>para</i> - H_2			<i>ortho</i> - H_2		
		$j_{1max} = 15$	$j_{1max} = 20$	$j_{1max} = 25$	$j_{1max} = 15$	$j_{1max} = 20$	$j_{1max} = 25$
1	0	12.6	12.5	12.5	14.6	14.6	14.6
3	2	20.2	19.9	19.9	21.4	21.3	21.3
5	3	14.9	14.8	14.8	13.7	13.8	13.8
10	9	29.7	30.4	30.4	31.2	31.0	31.0




FIG. 5. Comparison of C_2H^- rotational de-excitation cross sections for selected rotational transitions $j_1 \rightarrow j_1'$, including only the first (lines) or the two first (symbols) rotational states in the H_2 basis.

ortho- H_2 for selected transitions. The cross sections present the same behavior for both H_2 species with a decrease with increasing energy, following a quasi-linear dependence as a function of the energy logarithm. This behavior, predicted by the Langevin theory, is typical of ion-molecule collisions.^{34,49–53} The cross sections exhibit large resonances for kinetic energies up to 100 cm^{-1} . This is a consequence of the formation of bound and quasi-bound states within the deep well of the $C_2H^-H_2$ complex before it dissociates. At higher energies, resonances tend to wash out due to the contribution of high values of the total angular momentum J_{tot} with no more bound or quasi-bound states. Moreover, as was also found for other ionic systems in collision with *para*- and *ortho*- H_2 , both projectiles lead to similar cross sections. Consequently, the corresponding rate coefficients (see Fig. 6, lower panel) have a very similar magnitude and show a relatively flat temperature dependence, in agreement with the




FIG. 6. Variation of the rotational cross sections and rate coefficients (in $\text{cm}^3\text{ s}^{-1}$) for selected transitions of C_2H^- induced by collisions with *para*- H_2 ($j_2 = 0, 2$) (the solid lines) and *ortho*- H_2 ($j_2 = 1$) (the dashed lines).

Langevin theory. It is worth noting that, as found for the cross sections, the rate coefficients for collisions with *para*- H_2 obtained using an H_2 basis set with only the first H_2 level differ from those obtained with two H_2 levels, with differences up to 20%–30%.

In Fig. 7, we present the de-excitation rate coefficients out of the C_2H^- ($j_1 = 8$) level induced by *para*- and *ortho*- H_2 collisions and at temperatures of 10, 50, and 100 K. As shown in the figure, transitions with $\Delta j_1 = 1$ and 2 are dominant for both *para*- and *ortho*- H_2 projectiles, with rate coefficients close in magnitude and with a slight propensity for $\Delta j_1 = 2$ at low temperatures. For larger values of Δj_1 , the rate coefficients decrease with increasing Δj_1 , with a propensity for even Δj_1 . Such a propensity was also found by Toumi *et al.*²⁷ in their study of the rotational excitation of C_2H^- by collision with *para*- H_2 ($j_2 = 0$) using a 2D-PES. This averaged PES exhibits an almost near-homonuclear symmetry leading to propensity rules in




FIG. 7. Rotational de-excitation rate coefficients of C_2H^- in collision with *para*- H_2 and *ortho*- H_2 from C_2H^- ($j_1 = 8$) to C_2H^- (j_1').

favor of even Δj_1 . Figure 8 shows the rate coefficients obtained by Toumi *et al.*²⁷ as a function of those obtained in this work for all collisional transitions of C_2H^- by *para*- H_2 ($j_2 = 0$) at 50 K.

Differences within 30% are observed between the two sets of rate coefficients. These differences are either due to the different levels of the electronic structure theory used to generate the PESs or due to the use of an averaged 2D-PES neglecting the H_2 orientation. To differentiate the impact of these 2 possibilities, we have derived a 2D-PES (hereafter, PES-2D-AV) from an average of our full 4D-PES using the same three H_2 orientations reported by Toumi *et al.*²⁷ Figure 9 shows a comparison of the rate coefficients from Toumi *et al.* as a function of those obtained using our averaged potential PES-2D-AV. The agreement between the two sets of data is good. This highlights that, for this system, the use of a 2D-PES obtained by an average over three H_2 orientations does not allow us to obtain the results with accuracies better than 30%. Bop *et al.*²⁸ obtain a similar conclusion in the study of rotational excitation of NS^+ by




FIG. 8. Comparison between rate coefficients at 50 K obtained in the present work with the PES-4D and those of Toumi *et al.*²⁷ for collisional excitation with *para*- H_2 ($j_2 = 0$) of all the $j_1 \rightarrow j_1'$ C_2H^- transitions. The two black lines delimit the region where the sets of data differ by less than a factor of 2, and the dashed line corresponds to equal values of the data.




FIG. 9. Comparison between rate coefficients obtained at 50 K with the present averaged PES-2D-AV with those of Toumi *et al.*²⁷ for collisional excitation with *para*- H_2 ($j_2 = 0$) of all the $j_1 \rightarrow j_1'$ C_2H^- transitions. The two black lines delimit the region where the sets of data differ by less than a factor of 2, and the dashed line corresponds to equal values of the data.

para- H_2 . This shows the need for using a PES describing all orientations of H_2 in cases where the PES exhibits large anisotropies at R -distances dominant for the nuclear dynamics. It is worth noting that this result is unusual as, for other ionic systems, a better agreement (20% or less) is found between full and dimensionality-reduced approaches.^{51,53,54}

Finally, as previously found by Toumi *et al.*,²⁷ the present results show that collisional rate coefficients with *para*- H_2 differ by large factors, up to ten, from values obtained for collisions with

He,⁵⁵ that cannot be used to reliably model the rate coefficients with H₂.

V. CONCLUSION

We have computed the first 4D PES for the C₂H⁻-H₂ vdW system at the CCSD(T)-F12b level of theory, extrapolated to the complete basis set limit. The global minimum corresponds to a linear geometry with H₂ at the C-end of C₂H⁻ with a well-depth of 924.96 cm⁻¹ and a distance between the two centers-of-mass of $R = 3.686$ Å. Close-coupling scattering calculations for collisional excitation by *para*- and *ortho*-H₂ were performed using this new high-level PES. State-to-state cross sections between the first 11 rotational levels of C₂H⁻ were computed for energies up to 1000 cm⁻¹, leading to rate coefficients for temperatures in the 1–100 K range. The results can be summarized as follows:

- The inelastic cross sections for collisions with *para*- and *ortho*-H₂ are very similar in magnitude; except at low energies, where many resonances are present. A similar conclusion is found for the rate coefficients.
- rate coefficients for transitions with $\Delta j_1 = 1, 2$ are dominant for both species. For larger Δj_1 transitions, the rate coefficients decrease with a propensity for even Δj_1 .
- An accurate calculation of cross sections and rate coefficients requires the inclusion of $j_2 = 0$ and $j_2 = 2$ in the H₂ basis set for collision with *para*-H₂, while for collisions with *ortho*-H₂, the inclusion of $j_2 = 1$ is sufficient.
- An explicit description of the anisotropy of the PES with respect to the orientation of H₂ is required, as using an averaged 2D-PES led to deviations of 20%–30% of the corresponding *para*-H₂ rate coefficients.

The data presented in this work could help in searching C₂H⁻ via non-LTE models, which could indicate the most intense lines.

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

A Fortran routine of the potential energy surface is available in the [supplementary material](#).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported by the CNRS programs “Physique et Chimie du Milieu Interstellaire (PCMI)” co-funded by the “Centre National d’Etudes Spatiales (CNES)” and “Programme National de Physique Stellaire (PNPS)”. Part of the calculation was performed using HPC resources from GENCI-[CINES/IDRIS] (Grant No. 2010040883) and workstations at the “Centre Informatique” of Paris Observatory. This work was also granted access to the HPC resources of MesoPSL financed by the “Région Île de France” and the project Equip@Meso (Reference No. ANR-10-EQPX-29-01) of the “Programme Investissements d’Avenir” supervised by the “Agence Nationale pour la Recherche”. R.D. and E.Q.-S. were supported by the U.S. Department of Energy (Award No. DE-SC0019740). Computing resources were supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. OAC-1919789.

AUTHOR DECLARATIONS

Conflict of Interest

The authors have no conflicts to disclose.

Author Contributions

Fabien Dumouchel: Data curation (equal); Investigation (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). **Ernesto Quintas-Sánchez:** Investigation (equal). **Christian Balança:** Investigation (equal); Software (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). **Richard Dawes:** Investigation (equal); Methodology (equal); Resources (equal); Writing – original draft (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). **François Lique:** Investigation (equal); Writing – original draft (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal). **Nicole Feautrier:** Investigation (equal); Supervision (equal); Writing – original draft (equal); Writing – review & editing (equal).

DATA AVAILABILITY

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon request.

REFERENCES

- 1 A. Dalgarno and R. A. McCray, *Astrophys. J.* **181**, 95 (1973).
- 2 E. Herbst, *Nature* **289**, 656 (1981).
- 3 M. C. McCarthy, C. A. Gottlieb, H. Gupta, and P. Thaddeus, *Astrophys. J.* **652**, L141 (2006).
- 4 K. Kawaguchi, Y. Kasai, S.-I. Ishikawa, and N. Kaifu, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* **47**, 853 (1995).
- 5 J. Cernicharo, M. Guélin, M. Agúndez, K. Kawaguchi, M. McCarthy, and P. Thaddeus, *Astron. Astrophys.* **467**, L37 (2007).
- 6 M. Agúndez, J. Cernicharo, M. Guélin, M. Gerin, M. C. McCarthy, and P. Thaddeus, *Astron. Astrophys.* **478**, L19 (2008).
- 7 N. Sakai, T. Sakai, and S. Yamamoto, *Astrophys. J.* **673**, L71 (2008).
- 8 A. J. Remijan, J. M. Hollis, F. J. Lovas, M. A. Cordiner, T. J. Millar, A. J. Markwick-Kemper, and P. R. Jewell, *Astrophys. J.* **664**, L47 (2007).
- 9 K. Kawaguchi, R. Fujimori, S. Aimi, S. Takano, E. Y. Okabayashi, H. Gupta, S. Brünken, C. A. Gottlieb, M. C. McCarthy, and P. Thaddeus, *Publ. Astron. Soc. Jpn.* **59**, L47 (2007).
- 10 S. Brünken, H. Gupta, C. A. Gottlieb, M. C. McCarthy, and P. Thaddeus, *Astrophys. J.* **664**, L43 (2007).
- 11 M. Agúndez, J. Cernicharo, M. Guélin, C. Kahane, E. Roueff, J. Klos, F. J. Aoi, F. Lique, N. Marcelino, J. R. Goicoechea, M. González García, C. A. Gottlieb, M. C. McCarthy, and P. Thaddeus, *Astron. Astrophys.* **517**, L2 (2010).
- 12 P. Thaddeus, C. A. Gottlieb, H. Gupta, S. Brünken, M. C. McCarthy, M. Agúndez, M. Guélin, and J. Cernicharo, *Astrophys. J.* **677**, 1132–1139 (2008).
- 13 J. Cernicharo, M. Guélin, M. Agúndez, M. C. McCarthy, and P. Thaddeus, *Astrophys. J.* **688**, L83 (2008).
- 14 J. Cernicharo, J. R. Pardo, C. Cabezas, M. Agúndez, B. Tercero, N. Marcelino, R. Fuentetaja, M. Guélin, and P. de Vicente, *Astron. Astrophys.* **670**, L19 (2023).
- 15 P. J. Sarre, *J. Chim. Phys.* **77**, 769 (1980).
- 16 E. Herbst and Y. Osamura, *Astrophys. J.* **679**, 1670 (2008).
- 17 C. Walsh, N. Harada, E. Herbst, and T. J. Millar, *Astrophys. J.* **700**, 752 (2009).
- 18 B. Eichelberger, T. P. Snow, C. Barckholtz, and V. M. Bierbaum, *Astrophys. J.* **667**, 1283 (2007).
- 19 T. J. Millar, C. Walsh, and T. A. Field, *Chem. Rev.* **117**, 1765 (2017).
- 20 T. Amano, *J. Chem. Phys.* **129**, 244305 (2008).
- 21 K. D. Tucker, M. L. Kutner, and P. Thaddeus, *Astrophys. J.* **193**, L115 (1974).
- 22 C. Barckholtz, T. P. Snow, and V. M. Bierbaum, *Astrophys. J.* **547**, L171 (2001).

- ²³F. A. Gianturco, L. González-Sánchez, B. P. Mant, and R. Wester, *J. Chem. Phys.* **151**, 144304 (2019).
- ²⁴J. Franz, B. P. Mant, L. González-Sánchez, R. Wester, and F. A. Gianturco, *J. Chem. Phys.* **152**, 234303 (2020).
- ²⁵B. Mant, J. Franz, R. Wester, and F. A. Gianturco, *Mol. Phys.* **119**, e1938267 (2021).
- ²⁶L. González-Sánchez, E. Yurtsever, B. P. Mant, R. Wester, and F. A. Gianturco, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **23**, 7703 (2021).
- ²⁷I. Toumi, O. Yazidi, and F. Najjar, *RSC Adv.* **11**, 13579 (2021).
- ²⁸C. T. Bop, Y. Kalugina, and F. Lique, *J. Chem. Phys.* **156**, 204311 (2022).
- ²⁹G. Knizia, T. B. Adler, and H.-J. Werner, *J. Chem. Phys.* **130**, 054104 (2009).
- ³⁰K. A. Peterson, T. B. Adler, and H.-J. Werner, *J. Chem. Phys.* **128**, 084102 (2008).
- ³¹D. Feller, K. A. Peterson, and T. D. Crawford, *J. Chem. Phys.* **124**, 054107 (2006).
- ³²H.-J. Werner, P. J. Knowles, G. Knizia, F. R. Manby, and M. Schütz, *Wiley Interdiscip. Rev.: Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2**, 242 (2012).
- ³³E. Castro-Juárez, X.-G. Wang, T. Carrington, Jr., E. Quintas-Sánchez, and R. Dawes, *J. Chem. Phys.* **151**, 084307 (2019).
- ³⁴B. Desrousseaux, E. Quintas-Sánchez, R. Dawes, and F. Lique, *J. Phys. Chem. A* **123**, 9637 (2019).
- ³⁵C. T. Bop, F. A. Batista-Romero, A. Faure, E. Quintas-Sánchez, R. Dawes, and F. Lique, *ACS Earth Space Chem.* **3**, 1151 (2019).
- ³⁶E. Quintas-Sánchez, R. Dawes, X.-G. Wang, and T. Carrington, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **22**, 22674 (2020).
- ³⁷B. Desrousseaux, E. Quintas-Sánchez, R. Dawes, S. Marinakis, and F. Lique, *J. Chem. Phys.* **154**, 034304 (2021).
- ³⁸M. Gancewski, H. Józwiak, E. Quintas-Sánchez, R. Dawes, F. Thibault, and P. Wcisło, *J. Chem. Phys.* **155**, 124307 (2021).
- ³⁹C. Balança, E. Quintas-Sánchez, R. Dawes, F. Dumouchel, F. Lique, and N. Feautrier, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **508**, 1148 (2021).
- ⁴⁰E. Quintas-Sánchez, R. Dawes, and O. Denis-Alpizar, *Mol. Phys.* **119**, e1980234 (2021).
- ⁴¹E. Quintas-Sánchez and R. Dawes, *J. Chem. Inf. Model.* **59**, 262 (2019).
- ⁴²R. Dawes and E. Quintas-Sánchez, in *Reviews in Computational Chemistry* (Wiley, 2018), Vol. 31, Chap. 5, pp. 199–264.
- ⁴³M. Majumder, S. A. Ndengué, and R. Dawes, *Mol. Phys.* **114**, 1 (2016).
- ⁴⁴R. Dawes, X.-G. Wang, A. W. Jasper, and T. Carrington, Jr., *J. Chem. Phys.* **133**, 134304 (2010).
- ⁴⁵I. M. Sobol, *USSR Comput. Math. Math. Phys.* **16**, 236 (1976).
- ⁴⁶J. M. Hutson and S. Green, Molscat computer code, version 14 (1994), distributed by collaborative computational project no. 6 of the engineering and physical sciences research council (UK), 1994.
- ⁴⁷M. H. Alexander and D. E. Manolopoulos, *J. Chem. Phys.* **86**, 2044 (1987).
- ⁴⁸S. Green, *J. Chem. Phys.* **62**, 2271 (1975).
- ⁴⁹J. Klos and F. Lique, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **418**, 271 (2011).
- ⁵⁰H. Massó and L. Wiesenfeld, *J. Chem. Phys.* **141**, 184301 (2014).
- ⁵¹O. Denis-Alpizar, T. Stoecklin, A. Dutrey, and S. Guilloteau, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **497**, 4276 (2020).
- ⁵²K. M. Walker, F. Lique, F. Dumouchel, and R. Dawes, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **466**, 831 (2017).
- ⁵³C. Balança, Y. Scribano, J. Loreau, F. Lique, and N. Feautrier, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* **495**, 2524 (2020).
- ⁵⁴A. Spielfiedel, M. L. Senent, Y. Kalugina, Y. Scribano, C. Balança, F. Lique, and N. Feautrier, *J. Chem. Phys.* **143**, 024301 (2015).
- ⁵⁵F. Dumouchel, A. Spielfiedel, M. L. Senent, and N. Feautrier, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **533**, 6 (2012).