

Missouri University of Science and Technology Scholars' Mine

Chemistry Faculty Research & Creative Works

Chemistry

01 Nov 2007

Ammonia Elimination from Protonated Nucleobases and Related Synthetic Substrates

Ming Qian

Shuo Yang

Hong Wu

Papiya S. Majumdar

et. al. For a complete list of authors, see https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/chem_facwork/2708

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/chem_facwork

Part of the Chemistry Commons

Recommended Citation

M. Qian et al., "Ammonia Elimination from Protonated Nucleobases and Related Synthetic Substrates," *Journal of The American Society for Mass Spectrometry*, vol. 18, no. 11, pp. 2040-2057, Springer Verlag, Nov 2007.

The definitive version is available at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jasms.2007.08.018

This Article - Journal is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Research & Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.



NIH Public Access Author Manuscript

J Am Soc Mass Spectrom. Author manuscript; available in PMC 2007 November 19

Published in final edited form as: J Am Soc Mass Spectrom. 2007 November ; 18(11): 2040–2057.

Ammonia Elimination from Protonated Nucleobases and Related Synthetic Substrates

Ming Qian, Shuo Yang, Hong Wu, Papiya Majumdar, Nathan Leigh, and Rainer Glaser^{*} Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri-Columbia, Columbia, Missouri, USA

Abstract

The results are reported of mass-spectrometric studies of the nucleobases adenine **1h** (**1**, R = H), guanine **2h**, and cytosine **3h**. The protonated nucleobases are generated by electrospray ionization of adenosine **1r** (**1**, R = ribose), guanosine **2r**, and deoxycytidine **3d** (**3**, R = deoxyribose) and their fragmentations were studied with tandem mass spectrometry. In contrast to previous EI-MS studies of the nucleobases, NH₃ elimination does present a major path for the fragmentations of the ions $[\mathbf{1h} + H]^+$, $[\mathbf{2h} + H]^+$, and $[\mathbf{3h} + H]^+$. The ion $[\mathbf{2h} + H - NH_3]^+$ also was generated from the acyclic precursor 5-cyanoamino-4-oxomethylene-dihydroimidazole **13h** and from the thioether derivative **14h** of **2h** (NH₂ replaced by MeS). The analyses of the modes of initial fragmentation is supported by density functional theoretical studies. Conjugate acids **15** - **55** were studied to determine site preferences for the protonations of **1h**, **2h**, **3h**, **13h** and **14h**. The proton affinity of the amino group hardly ever is the substrate's best protonation site, and possible mechanisms for NH₃ elimination are discussed in which the amino group serves as the dissociative protonation site. The results provide the first semi-direct experimental evidence for the existence of the pyrimidine ring-opened cations that we had proposed on the basis of theoretical studies as intermediates in nitrosative nucleobase deamination.

Introduction

Nitric oxide (NO) and nitrous acid (HNO₂) cause DNA base deamination and interstrand crosslink formation [1,2]. This chemistry has been studied extensively because of the dietary and environmental exposure of humans to these substances [3,4,5]. Toxicological studies of deamination became more significant when it was recognized that endogenous nitric oxide [6,7] causes nitrosation [8,9] and that this process is accelerated by chronic inflammatory diseases [10,11]. It has been known for a long time that deamination of adenine 1, guanine 2, and cytosine 3 (Scheme 1) results in the formation of hypoxanthine, xanthine, and uracil, respectively, and these products are thought to result from DNA base diazonium ions 4 - 6, respectively, by direct nucleophilic dediazoniation. The discovery of oxanine formation [12, 13,14] in the nitrosative deamination of guanine challenged the generality and completeness of this mechanism. Theoretical studies revealed that unimolecular dediazoniation of guaninediazonium ion 5 is accompanied or immediately followed by pyrimidine ring-opening [15,16] and that cytosine-catalysis promotes the process [17,18]. The resulting 5cyanoimino-4-oxomethylene-4,5-dihydroimidazole is a highly reactive intermediate and undergoes acid-catalyzed 1,4-addition via cyano-N or imino-N protonated 5-cyanoimino-4oxomethylene-4,5-dihydroimidazoles, 9 and 10, respectively [19]. Labeling studies support

^{*}Address reprint requests to Professor Rainer Glaser, Department of Chemistry, University of Missouri-Columbia, 321 Chemistry Building, Columbia, Missouri 65211, USA. E-mail: GlaserR@missouri.edu

Publisher's Disclaimer: This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final citable form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

this reaction mechanism for oxanine formation [20]. Moreover, we synthesized 5cyanoamino-4-imidazolecarboxamide and studied its cyclization chemistry [21] and its proficiency for cross-link formation [22]. The unimolecular dediazoniation of the diazonium ions of adenine and cytosine can proceed without ring-opening but the cations **7** and **11** formed in this way are predicted to undergo facile ring-opening [23,24] to ions **8** and **12**, respectively (Scheme 1).

We reported the results of ab initio studies (MP2/6-31G*) on the electronic structures of ions **9** and **10** and of their common conjugate base and solvent effects were considered by way of a continuum model [19]. The characteristic features of the ions persist in solution, but solvation does have a marked consequence on the site preference and the propensity for protonation. While cyano-*N* protonation is preferred in gas phase, imino-*N* protonation is preferred in polar condensed phase. While protonation is fast and exergonic in the gas phase, it is endergonic in polar condensed phase. It is an immediate consequence of these computational results that the direct observation of cations **9** and **10** is possible only in the gas phase.

In this context, it has been our aim to provide experimental evidence (a) for the existence of ions 8 - 10 and 12 and (b) for their formation by dediazoniation of the diazonium ions of the nucleobases 1h, 2h and 3h. With the present study we address the first of these goals. The impetus for this study was provided by the realization that the ions produced by dediazoniation of the putative nucleobase diazonium ions can be prepared in the gas phase via a sequence of protonation and ammonia elimination (Scheme 1). Hence, we have studied NH₃ elimination form the conjugate acids of the nucleobases 1h - 3h and the models 13h and 14h. We report the results of a gas phase study of deamination of the protonated nucleosides adenosine 1r (1, R = ribose), guanosine 2r (2, R = ribose) and deoxycytidine 3d (3, R = deoxyribose). The study of the nucleosides is equivalent to the study of the nucleobases 1h - 3h because of the deglycation in the ESI experiments (vide infra) and the study of the nucleosides is advantageous because of their solubility. We also examined the potential formations of 9 and/or 10 from precursors 13e (ether $R = CH_2OCH_2CH_2OH$), 1-[(2-hydroxyethoxy)methyl]-5-cyanoaminoimidazole-4-carboxamide, and 14e, 9-[(2-hydroxyethoxy)-methyl]-2-(methylthio)hypoxanthine. The proton affinities of N-, O-, and S-sites were computed for aniline, for the nucleobases 9H-adenine, 1H,9H-guanine, and 1H-cytosine, for the (Z,Z)- and (E,Z)-rotamers of cyanoamine 13h and for the (Z)- and (E)-rotamers of 2-thiomethyl-(1H,9H)-guanine 14h (Scheme 2) to begin the discussion of the gas-phase ion chemistry. The formation of ammonium ions from the most stable protonated species has been studied by potential energy surface exploration and extensive reaction paths analyses have been performed. The MS analyses in conjunction with the computational assessment of pertinent gas-phase reactions provide compelling evidence for pyrimidine ring-opened species.

Experimental and Computational Section

Mass analyses were performed on a Thermo Finnigan TSQ7000 triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer equipped with an AP12 source and Performance Pack. For all experiments, the heated capillary was maintained at 250 °C and the electrospray voltage was 4.5 kV. Voltages for in-source collision-induced dissociation and collision-induced dissociation [25] (CID) in the collision cell were optimized for each sample (Table 1). Other instrument parameters were optimized as part of biweekly maintenance and tuning. LC experiments employed a system that included a P4000 pump, AS3000 auto-sampler and UV6000 photodiode array detector.

For each of the three nucleosides, direct infusion MS experiments showed that the protonated nucleoside is the overwhelmingly dominant ion produced. In-source CID was used to promote deglycation of the nucleosides and to maximize the production of the corresponding protonated

nucleobases. Parent ion scans for the protonated nucleobases produced by in-source CID demonstrate that they arise only from the corresponding nucleosides.

The nucleobases were further fragmented using CID in the collision cell of the mass spectrometer to yield the spectra shown. The overall information derived from the MS/MS experiments is equivalent to an MS/MS/MS experiment for each nucleoside. In some cases, in-source CID was used to deglycosylate the nucleoside and also fragment the nucleobase, giving access to ions further along some of the major degradation pathways and allowing positive identification of the fragments along those pathways.

Adenosine, guanosine and deoxycytidine were purchased from Sigma and used without further purification. Samples were prepared by dissolving 1 mg nucleoside in 1 ml of 1% acetic acid solution. The preparations of the cyanoamine **13** and the thioether **14** have been described previously [21]. The LC-MS studies were performed with a Waters XTerraTM analytical column (C18, 5 μ m, 4.5×250 mm) using a solvent gradient (solvents A and B are 0.1% formic acid and acetonitrile, 1% B at 1 min, 10% B at 3 min, 40% B at 20 min, 1% B at 22 min) at a flow rate of 1.0 ml/min while monitoring at $\lambda = 254$ nm.

The structures of the nucleobases and the models, of their conjugate acids, and of various intermediates and transition states along relevant reaction paths were determined with density functional theory (DFT) [26]. The hybrid method B3LYP was employed in conjunction with the 6-31++G** basis set, B3LYP/6-31++G**, and the calculations were performed with *Gaussian03* [27] on a 64-processer SGI Altix system. Structures were optimized and vibrational analysis was performed for each structure to confirm that the structure was in fact stationary, to confirm the character of the stationary structure, and to determine thermochemical data. Total energies *E*, vibrational zero-point energies *VZPE*, thermal energies *TE*, and entropies *S* are tabulated in Supporting Information and Cartesian coordinates of all optimized structures are provided there as well. These data allow for the determination of relative and reaction energies ΔE , enthalpies $\Delta H_0 = \Delta(E+VZPE)$ and $\Delta H_{298} = \Delta(E+TE)$, and free energies $\Delta G = \Delta(E+TE-298.15 \cdot S)$. Unless otherwise noted, we report ΔH_{298} values in kJ/mol, Table 2 provides for an overview of proton affinities, and in Table 3 are listed relevant relative and activation energies.

Results and Discussion

Initial Expulsion of Nitrile or Ammonia

The mass spectra of the nucleobases have been studied using electron-impact ionization many years ago [28]. The EI study of adenine showed that the major fragmentation path involves successive loss of HCN molecules. Studies of labeled adenines [29,30] demonstrated that the initially eliminated HCN contains the N1 atom and the loss of the NH₂ group was *not* observed. For guanine, the initial expulsion of neutral cyanamide (H₂NCN) is the dominant fragmentation (from the pyrimidine's N1-C2-NH₂ moiety) and some NH₂ group elimination was observed as well. For cytosine [31], NH₂ elimination was observed and it is followed by loss of HCN. Initial decarbonylation of the molecular ion also was observed and the peaks at *m*/*z* 69, 68 and 67 were explained by retro Diels-Alder reactions eliminating first ·N=C=O, HNCO, or H and HNCO, respectively, and subsequent HCN loss. Alternatively, the *m*/*z* 95 peak might be due to **11h** (**11**, R = H) as well as **12h**, and the peak *m*/*z* 68 could be explained as the result of elimination of HCN or HNC from **12h** and the formation of protonated isocyanatoethyne. Hence, the observation of *m*/*z* 68 might present a first indication for the possible existence of **12**.

ESI and Deglycation

Electrospray ionization (ESI) mass spectrometry of the nucleosides is employed in the diagnosis of purine and pyrimidine metabolic disorders [32]. Fryčák et al. reported that the dominant fragmentation of the nucleoside molecular ions is the collision-induced dissociation of the glycosidic C-N bonds and leads to the replacement of the sugar moiety by a hydrogen atom, as had been suggested by McCloskey [33]. The process is shown in Scheme 3 for the conversion of adenosine 1r (1, R = ribose) to adenine 1h (1, R = H) and assuming N7-protonation [32]. The resulting ion forms an ion-molecule complex (IMC) by heterolysis of the glycosidic C-N bond and the intermittent neutral purine is protonated by the oxocarbenium sugar moiety. The spectra in Figure 1 demonstrate these deglycation reactions for 1r, 2r, and 3d. McCloskey et al. studied the CID spectra of protonated adenine [34] and protonated guanine [35], and Tureček et al. [36] explored the dissociation mechanisms of protonated adenine in detail.

Site of Protonation and Mode of Initial Fragmentation

The computed proton affinities (Table 2) show that the theoretical level employed presents an acceptable compromise between desired accuracy and computational demand [37]; the computed proton affinities are within five percent of the experimental values.

There is general agreement with earlier theoretical studies of the purine bases [36-40] and of cytosine [41]. The measured proton affinity of aniline is 874 kJ/mol (208.8 [42] and 209.5 kcal/ mol [43]) and experimental [44] and theoretical [45] studies showed almost equal propensity for protonation at the amino-N- and the *para*-C-atoms. The proton affinities of the amino group of the nucleobases are lower than for aniline and, moreover, amino group protonation cannot compete with the alternatives (Table 2). Guanine prefers imidazole ring protonation with carbonyl-O protonation being a close second, adenine prefers pyrimidine ring protonations, and for cytosine the proton affinities for N3- and O-protonation are similar.

The amino group is *not* the best protonation site for the nucleobases and the model systems, and we have to discuss how the amino group can serve as the dissociative protonation site [46] or consider alternative mechanisms for ammonia elimination. Some guidance is provided by the emerging understanding of NH₃ elimination from peptides [47]. The "mobile proton model" holds that intramolecular proton migration to various protonation sites can occur before fragmentation. In cases with impediments to proton mobility [48] the ammonia elimination might occur as the result of complex mechanisms [49,50]. Hence, the potential energy surfaces of the protonated systems were explored to search for and to determine the energy profiles of paths for proton migration leading to the formation of ammonium ions.

ESI-MS/MS of Adenosine

The mass spectrum of electrosprayed adenosine gave two peaks as shown in Figure 1: m/z 268 $[\mathbf{1r} + \mathbf{H}]^+$ is protonated adenosine and m/z 136 $[\mathbf{1h} + \mathbf{H}]^+$ results by cleavage of the glycosidic C-N bond. Mass selection for m/z 136 and application of CID results in the spectrum of Figure 2, the mechanisms of the fragmentation of the quasi-molecular ion m/z 136 are outlined in Scheme 4, and molecular models of relevant intermediates and transition state structures are shown in Scheme 5.

The minor paths for fragmentation of ion $[1h + H]^+$ involve initial loss of HCN or NH₂CN and these are the fragmentations observed in EI-MS. The MS/MS analysis of ion **53** (Figure 2c) shows the formations of ions **54** (*m*/*z* 82) and **55** (*m*/*z* 55) by successive losses of three HCN and resulting in the formation of **56** (*m*/*z* 28), protonated HCN. Initial elimination of cyanoamine leads to **57** (*m*/*z* 94) and another HCN (or HNC) elimination cascade from **57** via **58** (*m*/*z* 58) to **59** (*m*/*z* 59).

Amino protonation is less likely than protonation at N1, N3, or N7 of adenine **1h** (Table 2) and ammonium ion **16** would have to be generated by proton migration within $[1h + H^+]$. Proton transfers from **16** and **18** to **15** via transition state structures **60** and **61**, respectively, require activation enthalpies of 189.9 and 162.4 kJ/mol, respectively.

Whether NH₃ elimination via **15** is observed depends on whether **16** is stable with regard to pyrimidine ring-opening and formation of **63** or **65**. One can envision the formation of **8h** by direct NH₃ elimination from **63** (consider resonance form **63-B**) via **68** or from **65** via **67** if **65** does not reclose to **16'** [51]. These options depend on the accessibilities of **63** and **65**. Indeed, **63** and **65** are minima on the potential energy surface, **63** is preferred over **65** by $\Delta E = 60.4$ kJ/mol, and the rotational barrier for the conversion of **63** to **65** via transition state structure **64** is $\Delta E = 60.7$ kJ/mol. With thermal energies considered, this activation barrier vanishes, and **65** becomes the transition state structure for the rotational automerization of **63** with an activation enthalpy of $\Delta H_{298} = 59.6$ kJ/mol. There is hardly any barrier to the back-reaction of **63** via transition state structure **62** to **16**, and this feature is the hallmark of pseudopericyclic reactions [52]. Most importantly, the relative energy of **63** with regard to **16** is 268.7 kJ/mol and too high for any of the paths involving **63** to compete with the path via ammonium ion **15**.

The potential energy surface analysis suggests that the major fragmentation cascade begins with internal proton transfer in $[1h + H^+]$ to form 15 and subsequent fast NH₃ elimination to yield 8h with m/z 119 (Figure 2a). The subsequent HCN (or HNC) elimination can be explained conveniently from 8h and, hence, the observation of 69 with m/z 92 provides evidence for the existence of the pyrimidine ring-opened ion 8h. Ion 69 then can rearrange to 70 on its way to ions m/z 65, protonated dicyanocarbene 71 and/or its mono-isonitrile isomer 72 (Figure 2b).

We found that ion m/z 119 also leads to fragments m/z 67 and m/z 40 (Figure 2b). The $H_3C_3N_2^+$ ion occurs as **58** in the decomposition path initiated by NH₂CN elimination and an ion with this formula also can form along the major path. Considering resonance form **8h-B** we propose that m/z 67 might result by dicyanogen (ethanedinitrile) elimination to form the protonated cumulene **73**.

ESI-MS of Guanosine

The mass spectrum of electrosprayed guanosine gave a product peak at m/z 152 after cleavage of the glycosidic CN bond (Figure 1). Figure 3 shows the product-ion spectra obtained by CID of $[2h + H]^+$, m/z 152, and its two most abundant fragments m/z 135 and m/z 110 resulting from NH₃ and cyanamide elimination. We considered seven paths which are shown in Scheme 6 and relevant stationary structures are shown in Scheme 7.

The N7-protonated tautomer 23 is the most stable and most abundant ion of $[2h + H]^+$. We first considered the pyrimidine ring-opening of 23 to 74 by a pseudopericyclic reaction mechanism. We found that an amidine with a 74-like structure does not correspond to a minimum and, instead, rotation about the C2-N3 bond via transition state structure 74 results in amino-group transfer along the down path from 74 to 75. The computed activation barrier for the reaction $23 \rightarrow 74^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 75$ is $\Delta H_{298} = 294.5$ kJ/mol and, should 75 be accessible, one could envision the facile formation of 9' via 77 and 79. Next, we considered two paths that begin with initial proton migration to 81; the computed activation barrier for the reaction $23 \rightarrow 80^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 81$ is $\Delta H_{act} = 251.3$ kJ/mol; some 40 kJ/mol lower than the path via 74. The back-reaction of 81 is less likely than the intramolecular proton transfers $81 \rightarrow 82^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 83$ ($\Delta H_{act} = 207.1$ kJ/mol) and $81 \rightarrow 84^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 85$ ($\Delta H_{act} = 222.3$ kJ/mol). Ammonium ions 83 and 85 are substrates for the formations of 9' and 10'.

The initial proton transfer $23 \rightarrow 81$ was considered because we thought that NH₃ elimination required electrophilic catalysis, that is, the availability of an acidic H atom for 1,2-elimination.

Eventually, we came to wonder whether the N1-hydrogen in 23 might not be acidic enough for the reaction $23 \rightarrow 86^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 87$. In fact, the non-standard resonance form 23-C makes perfect sense: the zwitterion-like π -polarization is effective to stabilize the charge in the σ -system caused by N7-protonation. Indeed, reaction $23 \rightarrow 86^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 87$ requires an activation barrier of only $\Delta H_{act} = 202.4$ kJ/mol.

Ion 23 is the most stable tautomer of $[2h + H]^+$ and it can be formed directly from $[2r + H]^+$. The initial formations of tautomers 21 and 22 are possible and their isomerizations to 23 should be fast in the hot ion $[2h + H]^+$. Nevertheless, the isomerizations $23 \rightarrow 20$, $23 \rightarrow 21$, and 23 \rightarrow 22 could be relevant for the fragmentation. The conversion of 23 to 20 likely would proceed via 81 and 22 in that sequence. Nevertheless, suppose that 22 were accessible from 23 without going through 81, such a path $23 \rightarrow 22$ would become interesting only if 22 were to offer a reaction channel for NH₃ elimination with a barrier that was at least 72.8 kJ/mol lower than for the reaction $23 \rightarrow 87$. The conversion of 23 to 21 is likely to proceed via 26 and 25. Since 21 is 146.5 kJ/mol less stable than 23, the path $23 \rightarrow 21$ becomes interesting if 21 were to offer any reaction channel for NH₃ elimination with a barrier that were at least that much lower than for the reaction $23 \rightarrow 87$. Neither of these options seemed likely, but they were explored to be sure and we computed the reactions $22 \rightarrow 88^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 89$ ($\Delta H_{act} = 230.2 \text{ kJ/mol}$), $22 \rightarrow 90^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 80^{\ddagger}$ **20** ($\Delta H_{act} = 183.3 \text{ kJ/mol}$), **22** \rightarrow **91**[‡] \rightarrow **92** ($\Delta H_{act} = 166.1 \text{ kJ/mol}$), and **21** \rightarrow **93**[‡] \rightarrow , **94** $(\Delta H_{act} = 225.7 \text{ kJ/mol})$. The situations with $22 \rightarrow 91^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 92$ and $23 \rightarrow 74^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 75$ allow for an interesting comparison and an acyclic amidine does not exist in either case. Hence, there are two paths from 22 and one path from 21 to ammonium ions, and the computed activation barriers show that these paths do not offer alternative, low-energy channels.

These results suggest that the reaction $23 \rightarrow 86^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 87$ with its activation barrier of $\Delta H_{act} = 202.4 \text{ kJ/mol provides the most easily accessible channel to an ammonium ion of <math>[2h + H]^+$, and that NH₃ elimination yields 95, a tautomer of carbodiimides 9 and 9' and cyanoimines 10 and 10'. In the gas-phase the activation barrier for the isomerization between the prototypical carbodiimide and cyanamide is over 330 kJ/mol [53]. The tautomerization of 95 to 10' requires an activation enthalpy of $\Delta H_{act} = 162.71 \text{ kJ/mol but even this low barrier apparently does not allow for competition with decarbonylation and 1,2-H-shift to 96 ($ *m*/*z*107). The loss of CO requires the proximity of an NH site so that the incipient carbene can be stabilized [54]. HCN loss from ion*m*/*z*107 results in 97 (*m*/*z*80), and 97 can loose another HCN (or HNC) to form 98 (*m*/*z*53, C₂N₂H⁺) or eliminate dicyanogen to form 56 (*m*/*z*28, H₂CN⁺).

Initial cyanamide expulsion is the dominant mode of guanosine decomposition in EI-MS, the process leads to the m/z 110 ion, and its product-ion spectrum is shown in Figure 3c. It appears likely that **23** itself is the most suitable precursor for the NH₂CN elimination. The stage is set for CN₂H₂ elimination as soon as the N1-C6 bond of **23** is mostly broken along the up-path to transition state structure **74**. There are many options to accomplish the tasks of second CN cleavage and proton transfer (from NH or NH₂ to O or N), these elemental reactions could occur within ranges of the up-path to the rotational transition state region, and these tasks might occur stepwise or in concert. The PES exploration of reaction $23 \rightarrow 74^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 75$ suggests, for example, that one amino-H in a **74**-like structure would be well-positioned to transfer to N3, that is, for carbodiimide elimination via transition state structure **99** and leading directly to **100** (Scheme 6). The prominent peaks with m/z values of 82, 55, and 28 further suggest decarbonylation to **101**, and HCN (or HNC) eliminations to **102** and **56**. The possibility of proton transfer to O along the up-path to **74** cannot be dismissed in and of itself; such a course of reaction would make the CO elimination a complicated affair but not an impossible one.

ESI-MS Spectrometry of 5-Cyanoamino-Imidazole-4-Carboxamide 13e

We synthesized cyanoamine **13e** (**13**, <u>e</u>ther $R = CH_2OCH_2CH_2OH$) and studied its cyclization reaction and cross-link formation chemistry [21,22]. The product-ion spectra of [**13e** + H]⁺,

m/z 226, and $[13h + H]^+$, m/z 152, are reported in Figure 4. As with Figure 1, the spectrum in Figure 4a shows the replacement of the R-group by an H-atom to form $[13h + H]^+$, m/z 152.

Ion $[13h + H]^+$ eliminates ammonia as in the case of $[2h + H]^+$. However, $[13h + H - NH_3]^+$ does *not decarbonylate* subsequently and instead loses CN to form m/z 109. The potential energy surfaces of 13 and $[13 + H]^+$ are complex because of the possibility for rotamers (about both exocyclic bonds) and tautomerism (cyanoamine *vs.* carbodiimide) and a complete discussion will be presented elsewhere while some relevant data are included here. For the present purpose it is important to know that (*E*,*Z*)-13h is preferred over any of the carbodiimides and also preferred by $\Delta H_{rel} = 60.3$ kJ/mol over (*Z*,*Z*)-13h. Studies of amides suggest that NH₃ elimination occurs only from the ammonium ion [55]. Yet, neither carbonyl-O nor amino-N protonation can compete with nitrilium or imidazolium ion formation (Table 2). Hence, we considered paths to ammonium ion formation from nitrilium and imidazolium ions (Scheme 8).

Cyano-*N* protonation is by far the best option for cyanoamine (*E*,*Z*)-13**h** and ion 9 becomes accessible by NH₃ elimination from ammonium ion 107. Cyano-*N* protonation of (*E*,*Z*)-13**h** does not form a stable nitrilium ion 30 and O-protonated carbodiimide 103 is formed instead. Its rotamer 105 is easily accessible via rotational transition state structure 104 ($\Delta H_{act} = 39.3$ kJ/mol) but proton migration (from the OH-donor) $105 \rightarrow 106^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 107$ requires an activation energy of $\Delta H_{act} = 170.3$ kJ/mol. Another path from 103 to 107 via 109 and 111 involves a series of rotations and offers the advantage of forming the ammonium ion by H-shift from the NH-donor 113 with a barrier of only $\Delta H_{act} = 58.9$ kJ/mol. The highest rotational barrier along this path is $\Delta H_{act} = 74.7$ kJ/mol for the isomerization $103 \rightarrow 108^{\ddagger} \rightarrow 109$ (and this isomerization could be accomplished in three steps with lower activation barriers via intermediates 105 and 116). There are many paths from 103 to 107 and it is clear that at least some of them are kinetically facile.

As with the formation of **103** from (*E*,*Z*)-**13h**, ion **118** would be easily available by cyano-N protonation of (*Z*,*Z*)-**13h**. In the absence of (*Z*,*Z*)-**13h**, however, **118** would have to be produced from **116** by H-shift via **120** or by isomerization of **107** via rotational transition state structure **119**. At least the latter path is accessible but none of this matters anyhow because **118** is less stable than **107** by $\Delta H_{rel} = 29.4$ kJ/mol.

The direct formation of **28** by N7-protonation of (E,Z)-**13h** would offer the advantage to make ammonium ion **27** accessible via transition state structure **121** with activation energy $\Delta H_{act} =$ 83.6 kJ/mol. Direct protonation of (Z,Z)-**13h** favors the formation of imidazolium ion **33** (Table 2) and ammonium ion **123** is then accessible by the H-shift **33** \rightarrow **122**[‡] \rightarrow **123** with an activation energy of only $\Delta H_{act} = 41.7$ kJ/mol. Yet, in the MS experiment, **28** and **33** have to be formed from **103** and these ions are 48.4 and 61.0 kJ/mol less stable, respectively, than **103**. These relative energies together with the computed barriers to form **27** and **123** show that there are no low-energy alternatives to the formation of ammonium ion **107**.

The potential energy surface of protonated 5-cyanoimino-4-oxomethylene-dihydroimidazole is complicated [24] and we have already seen that it includes rotamers of **9** and **9'**, rotamers of **10** and **10'**, and rotamers of **95**. In addition, geometrical isomers of O-protonated species also are possible, and it becomes relevant that O-protonation leads to bicyclic ions **125** and **125'**. Cation **9** prefers the *E*-conformation about the C-(N₂CH) bond and the equilibration (*Z*)-**9** \rightleftharpoons (*E*)-**9** is facile [19]. The intramolecular H-shift from nitrogen in (*E*)-**9** to the oxygen in **125** requires an activation energy of $\Delta H_{act} = 268.3$ kJ/mol and the accessibility of **125** provides a rational for CN elimination from **9** or **126**.

ESI-MS Spectrometry of 2-Methylthiohypoxanthine 14h

Thioether **14e** (**14**, with <u>e</u>ther $R = CH_2OCH_2CH_2OH$) is a side-product in the synthesis of cyanoamine **13e** and presents as a possible precursor for ions **9** and **10**. The product-ion spectrum of [**14h** + H]⁺ shown in Figure 4 is similar to that of the respective guanosine analog [**2h** + H]⁺ of Figure 3; its fragmentation is described in Scheme 9 and relevant stationary structures are shown in Scheme 10.

The proton affinities of H_2S and MeSH are 744.8±10.0 kJ/mol [56] and 774.0±4.2 kJ/mol [57], respectively. The computed affinities for S-protonation of **14h** fall into this range (Table 2). As with guanine, N7-protonation is preferred and we discuss the fragmentation options of the N7-protonated ion **39** of [**14h** + H]⁺. The major characteristics of the fragmentation of [**14h** + H]⁺ and [**2h** + H]⁺ are analogous.

The initially formed ion **39** occurs in the (*Z*)-conformation only and its conversion to (*Z*)-**129** via transition state structure **128** requires $\Delta H_{act} = 250.5$ kJ/mol. Once (*Z*)-**129** is reached, its slightly more stable rotamer (*Z*)-**129** also is easily accessible via rotational transition state structure **130**. The reaction (*E*)-**129** \rightarrow **133**[‡] \rightarrow (*E*)-**134** requires an activation barrier of $\Delta H_{act} = 194.8$ kJ/mol and (*Z*)-**134** then becomes accessible by facile S-inversion. In the case of **132**, (*E*)-**132** is the only existing rotamer and it is formed by the reaction (*Z*)-**129** \rightarrow **131**[‡] \rightarrow (*E*)-**132** with an activation barrier of $\Delta H_{act} = 214.0$ kJ/mol.

As with protonated guanine, we explored whether a ring-opened structure of type **135** might exist as a minimum, and it was found that such a structure serves as the transition state structure for the formation of **136**. The ascent to **135** requires $\Delta H_{act} = 283.8$ kJ/mol and significantly more activation than the formation of **129**. It remains to be explored whether the PES region along the up-path to **135** offers entry points for methyl isothiocyanate expulsion. The above discussion of carbodiimide elimination from protonated guanine via transition state structure **99** and leading to **100** (Scheme 6) applies in complete analogy to the formation of **100** via **137**.

The computational analysis suggests that **134** is the precursor for MeSH elimination and, hence, ion $[14h + H - MeSH]^+$ most likely leads to structure **9'** via the least-energy path. Yet, structure **10'** cannot be dismissed because every (*Z*)-**129** formed contains enough energy to overcome any of the barriers along the paths to **9'** or **10'**.

The discussions of the fragmentations of $[2h + H]^+$ and $[13h + H]^+$ (Schemes 6 & 8) suggest that the preference of $[2h + H]^+$ for CO elimination from 95 and the preference of $[13h + H]^+$ for CN elimination from 9 are consequences of the hydrogen pattern. The absence of CO loss in the fragmentation of $[14h + H]^+$ shows that an NH in the proximity of the putative carbene site is not sufficient to enable decarbonylation. Decarbonylation only occurs from 95, the ion in which the proximate NH is part of the imidazolium system.

ESI-MS of Deoxycytidine

The mass spectrum of electrosprayed deoxycytidine in Figure 1 shows two peaks: the quasimolecular ion $[3d + H]^+$ and the fragment $[3h + H]^+$, m/z 112, in which the sugar was replaced by hydrogen. The fragmentation of $[3h + H]^+$ was studied by MS/MS and the spectrum of Figure 5 is rationalized in Scheme 11 with reference to the energies of the computed structures shown in Scheme 12.

The proton affinities show that amino group protonation cannot compete with protonation at the carbonyl-O or N3. With **50** present and/or accessible from **51** and **52**, ammonium ion **48** becomes available via transition state structure **138** with $\Delta H_{act} = 227.1$ kJ/mol. Ion **11h** easily

We also considered options for NH₃ elimination from ring-opened structures and three modes were considered for ring-opening, namely by way of C2-N3 cleavage and rotation of either the amidine unit via **139** or of the protonated isocyanato group via **141** as well as by way of N1-C2 cleavage and rotation of the protonated isocyanato group via **145**. The activation enthalpies ΔH_{act} for the reactions **50** \rightarrow **139**[‡] \rightarrow **140**, **50** \rightarrow **141**[‡] \rightarrow **142** \rightarrow **143**[‡] \rightarrow **144** and **50** \rightarrow **145**[‡] \rightarrow **146** are 352.0, 308.2, and 315.9 kJ/mol, respectively, and these energy requirement are too high to compete with the path via **48** (Table 3).

The strong peak with m/z 69 shows that protonated cytosine can eliminate isocyanic acid and our potential surface analyses provide information about possible paths for HNCO elimination. The stage is set for HCNO elimination once the N-C bond of **50** is broken along any of the uppaths that lead to the transition state structures 139, 141, and 145. Actual elimination might occur within wide ranges of the up-paths and well before the respective transition state region for rotation would be reached. This scenario for HNCO elimination from 50 is related to the eliminations of CN₂H₂ from 23 and of MeSCN from 39, but it is much less complicated. Only one more CN cleavage has to occur (no additional proton transfer) and 2D-scans (C-N rotational coordinate & C-N bond length) and/or dynamics studies could provide any desired level of mechanistic detail. Considering the energies of the rotational transition state structures and basic principles of potential energy surface analysis, the up-paths to 141 and 145 appear most suited and these paths also provide consistent rationales for the results of the MS experiments. The elimination of H-N3=C=O along the up-path to 145 would lead to 147 and explain the formation of protonated HCN, 56 (m/z 28). The elimination of H-N1=C=O along the up-path to 141 would lead to 148 instead and subsequent loss of acetylene would result in protonated cyanamide (m/z 42). Alternatively, this mode of HNCO elimination might be followed by 1,2-H-shift to 148 and NH₃ elimination to protonated cyanoacetylene (m/z 52).

Conclusion

MS experiments are rather sensitive to instrument settings (Table 1) and significant quantitative differences in fragmentation modes may occur from one experiment to the next. Nevertheless, our study shows that the protonated nucleobases $[\mathbf{1h} + H]^+$, $[\mathbf{2h} + H]^+$, and $[\mathbf{3h} + H]^+$ can be observed by ESI-MS and that their modes of initial fragmentation can be understood with the knowledge of the tautomer stabilities and the consideration of the paths for intramolecular proton migration. It is for this understanding, that we have confidence in the completeness of our study and we contend that we have observed all of the main modes of initial fragmentation.

We discussed ions 8 - 10 and 12 as possible reactive intermediates in nitrosative deamination chemistry in solution and it has been our aim to provide more direct experimental evidence for the existence of these ions. Hence, we studied NH_3 elimination from the conjugate acids of the nucleobases 1h - 3h and models 13h and 14h and the key results are summarized in Scheme 13: Everyone of the postulated ions either was found to exist in the gas phase or to exist in the gas phase as a tautomer. These results provide "semi-direct" experimental evidence for the existence of these ions. The new data go well beyond the "indirect evidence" stemming from inference from mechanistic studies and the additional structural characterization in the MS experiment [59] could provide for "direct" evidence.

The amino group hardly ever is the best protonation site of the substrate and we discussed possible mechanisms for NH_3 elimination that feature the amino group as the dissociative protonation site. The hydrogen migration is facilitated by electrophilic catalysis and the standard Lewis structure might obscure the propensity of a hydrogen atom to migration (*i.e.*)

63, **23**, **50**). Examples have been provided for cases in which the acidic H and the NH₂-group are in a 1,2-relation (**16**, **21**, **22**, **23**, **50**, **63**, **68**, **81**, **105**, **142**), a 1,3-relation (**17**, **28**, **77**, **113**), or a 1,5-relation (**65**, "**30**", "**36**", **33**). This kind of electrophilic catalysis also facilitates the elimination of H₂NCN from **23** and of MeSH and MeSCN from **39**.

Supplementary Material

Refer to Web version on PubMed Central for supplementary material.

Acknowledgment

This study was supported by the United States National Institutes of Health (GM61027). MU Research Support Computing was made possible by Federal Earmark NASA Funds for Bioinformatics Consortium Equipment and additional financial support from Dell, SGI, Sun Microsystems, TimeLogic, and Intel.

References

- 1(a). Geiduschek EP. Reversible Denaturation of Deoxyribonucleic Acid (DNA). Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1961;47:950–955. [PubMed: 13704192] (b) Geiduschek EP. On the Factors Controlling the Reversibility of DNA Denaturation. J. Mol. Biol 1962;4:467–487. [PubMed: 13897515]
- Caulfield JL, Wishnok JS, Tannenbaum SR. Nitric Oxide-Induced Interstrand Cross-Links in DNA. Chem. Res. Toxicol 2003;16:571–574. [PubMed: 12755585]
- Committee on Nitrite and Alternative Curing Agents in Food. The Health Effect of Nitrate, Nitrite, and N-Nitroso Compounds. National Academy Press; Washington, D.C.: 1981.
- 4. Furia, TE. Handbook of Food Additives. 2nd ed.. CRC Press; Cleveland: 1972. p. 150-155.
- 5. Wakabayashi, K. Mutation and the Environment, Part E. Albertini, RJ., editor. Wiley-Liss, Inc; New York: 1990. p. 107-116.
- 6. Culotta E, Koshland DE. NO News is Good News. Science 1992;258:1862–1865. [PubMed: 1361684]
- Marnett LJ. Nitric Oxide: Chemical Events in Toxicity. Chem. Res. Toxicol 1996;9:807–808. [PubMed: 8828914]
- Davis KL, Martin E, Turko IV, Murad F. Novel Effects of Nitric Oxide. Annu. Rev. Pharmacol. Toxicol 2001;41:203–236. [PubMed: 11264456]
- 9. Jackson AL, Loeb LA. The Contribution of Endogenous Sources of DNA Damage to the Multiple Mutations in Cancer. Mutat. Res 2001;477:7–21. [PubMed: 11376682]
- Ohshima H, Bartsch H. Chronic Infections and Inflammatory Processes as Cancer Risk Factors: Possible Role of Nitric Oxide in Carcinogenesis. Mutat. Res 1994;305:253–264. [PubMed: 7510036]
- Tamir S, Tannenbaum SR. The Role of Nitric Oxide (NO) in the Carcinogenic Process. Biochim. Biophys. Acta 1996;1288:F31–F36. [PubMed: 8876631]
- Suzuki T, Ide H, Yamada M, Endo N, Kanaori K, Tajima K, Morii T, Makino K. Formation of 2'-Deoxyoxanosine from 2'-Deoxyguanosine and Nitrous Acid: Mechanism and Intermediates. Nucleic Acids Res 2000;28:544–551. [PubMed: 10606654]
- Lucas LT, Gatehouse D, Shuker DEG. Efficient Nitroso Group Transfer from N-Nitrosoindoles to Nucleotides and 2'-Deoxyguanosine at Physiological pH. A New Pathway for N-Nitrosocompounds to Exert Genotoxicity. J. Biol. Chem 1999;274:18319–18326. [PubMed: 10373435]
- Dong M, Wang C, Deen WM, Dedon PC. Absence of 2'-Deoxyoxanosine and Presence of Abasic Sites in DNA Exposed to Nitric Oxide at Controlled Physiological Concentrations. Chem. Res. Toxicol 2003;16:1044–1055. [PubMed: 12971791]
- Glaser R, Son M-S. Pyrimidine Ring Opening in the Unimolecular Dediazoniation of Guanine Diazonium Ion. An *ab Initio* Theoretical Study of the Mechanism of Nitrosative Guanosine Deamination. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1996;118:10942–10943.
- 16. Glaser R, Rayat S, Lewis M, Son M-S, Meyer S. Theoretical Studies of DNA Base Deamination. 2. *Ab Initio* Study of DNA Base Diazonium Ions and of Their Linear, Unimolecular Dediazoniation Paths. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1999;121:6108–6119.
- Glaser R, Lewis M. Single- and Double-Proton-Transfer in the Aggregate Between Cytosine and Guaninediazonium Ion. Org. Lett 1999;1:273–276. [PubMed: 10822562]

- Glaser R, Wu H, Lewis M. Cytosine Catalysis of Nitrosative Guanine Deamination and Interstrand Cross-Link Formation. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:7346–7358. [PubMed: 15898783]
- Rayat S, Glaser R. 5-Cyanoimino-4-Oxomethylene-dihydroimidazole and Nitrosative Guanine Deamination. A Theoretical Study of Geometries, Electronic Structures and N-Protonation. J. Org. Chem 2003;68:9882–9892. [PubMed: 14682679]
- Rayat S, Majumdar P, Tipton P, Glaser R. 5-Cyanoimino-4-oxomethylene-4,5-dihydroimidazole and 5-Cyanoamino-4-imidazolecarboxylic Acid Intermediates in Nitrosative Guanosine Deamination. Evidence from (18)O-Labeling Experiments. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:9960–9969. [PubMed: 15303870]
- Qian M, Glaser R. 5-Cyanoamino-4-imidazolecarboxamide and Nitrosative Guanine Deamination: Experimental Evidence for Pyrimidine Ring-Opening During Deamination. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2004;126:2274–2275. [PubMed: 14982409]
- Qian M, Glaser R. Demonstration of an Alternative Mechanism for G-to-G Cross-Link Formation. J. Am. Chem. Soc 2005;127:880–887. [PubMed: 15656626]
- Hodgen B, Rayat S, Glaser R. Nitrosative Adenine Deamination: Facile Pyrimidine Ring-Opening in the Dediazoniation of Adeninediazonium Ion. Org. Lett 2003;5:4077–4080. [PubMed: 14572253]
- 24. Ammonia Elimination from Protonated Nucleobases. Shuo Yang, M.S. Dissertation. University of Missouri-Columbia; 2007. Proton Mobility, Ammonium Ion Formation, and Fragmentation Paths.
- McLafferty, FW.; Wachs, T.; Koppel, C.; Dymerski, PP.; Bockhoff, FM. Advances in Mass Spectrometry. Daly, NR., editor. 7b. Institute of Petroleum; London: 1978.
- 26(a). Koch, W.; Holthausen, MC. A Chemist's Guide to Density Functional Theory. 2nd ed.. Wiley-VCH; Weinheim: 2001. Parr, RG.; Weitao, Y. Density-Functional Theory of Atoms and Molecules. Oxford University Press; Oxford, UK: 1994. International Series of Monographs on Chemistry
- Frisch, MJ.; Trucks, GW.; Schlegel, HB.; Scuseria, GE.; Robb, MA.; Cheeseman, JR.; Montgomery, JA., Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, KN.; Burant, JC.; Millam, JM.; Iyengar, SS.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, GA.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, JE.; Hratchian, HP.; Cross, JB.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, RE.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, AJ.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, JW.; Ayala, PY.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, GA.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, JJ.; Zakrzewski, VG.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, AD.; Strain, MC.; Farkas, O.; Malick, DK.; Rabuck, AD.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, JB.; Ortiz, JV.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, AG.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, BB.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, RL.; Fox, DJ.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, MA.; Peng, CY.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, PMW.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, MW.; Gonzalez, C.; Pople, JA. Gaussian 03, Revision D.01. Gaussian, Inc; Wallingford CT: 2004.
- Rice JM, Dudek GO. Mass Spectra of Nucleic Acid Derivatives. II. Guanine, Adenine, and Related Compounds. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1967;89:2719–2725. [PubMed: 6043801]
- Barrio MCG, Scopes DIC, Holtwick JB, Leonard NJ. Syntheses of all Singly Labeled [15N]-Adenines: Mass Spectral Fragmentation of Adenine. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 1981;78:3986–3988. [PubMed: 16593042]
- Sethi SK, Gupta SP, Jenkins EE, Whitehead CW, Townsend LB, McCloskey JA. Mass Spectrometry of Nucleic Acid Constituents. Electron Ionization Spectra of Selectively Labeled Adenines. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1982;104:3349–3353.
- Rice JM, Dudek GO, Barber M. Mass Spectra of Nucleic Acid Derivatives. Pyrimidines. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1965;87:4569–4576. [PubMed: 5845072]
- Fryčák P, HušKová R, Tomáš A, Lemr K. Atmospheric Pressure Ionization Mass Spectrometry of Purine and Pyrimidine Markers of Inherited Metabolic Disorders. J. Mass. Spectrom 2002;37:1242– 1248. [PubMed: 12489084]
- Wilson MS, McCloskey JA. Chemical ionization mass spectrometry of nucleosides. Mechanisms of ion formation and estimations of proton affinity. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1975;97:3436–3444. [PubMed: 1141575]
- Nelson CC, McCloskey JA. Collision-Induced Dissociation of Adenine. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1992;114:3661–3668.

- Gregson JM, McCloskey JA. Collision-Induced Dissociation of Protonated Guanine. Int. J. Mass Spectro. Ion Proc 1997;165/166:475–485.
- 36. Tureček F, Chen X. Protonated Adenine: Tautomers, Solvated Clusters, and Dissociation Mechanisms. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectro 2005;16:1713–1726.
- Curtiss LA, Redfern PC, Frurip DJ. Theoretical methods for computing enthalpies of formation of gaseous compounds. Rev. Comput. Chem 2000;15:147–211.
- Giese B, McNaughton D. Density Functional Theoretical (DFT) and Surface-Enhanced Raman Spectroscopic Study of Guanine and Its Alkylated Derivatives. Part 1. DFT Calculations on Neutral, Protonated and Deprotonated Guanine. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys 2002;4:5161–5170.
- Jang YH, Goddard WA III, Noyes KT, Sowers LC, Hwang S, Chung DS. pK_a Values of Guanine in Water: Density Functional Theory Calculations Combined with Poisson-Boltzmann Continuum-Solvation Model. J. Phys. Chem. B 2003;107:344–357.
- Greco F, Liguori A, Sindona G, Uccella N. Gas-Phase Proton Affinity of Deoxyribonucleosides and Related Nucleobases by Fast Atom Bombardment Tandem Mass Spectrometry. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1990;112:9092–9096.
- 41. Chandra AK, Nguyen MT, Uchimaru T, Zeegers-Huyskens T. Protonation and Deprotonation Enthalpies of Guanine and Adenine and Implications for the Structure and Energy of Their Complexes with Water: Comparison with Uracil, Thymine, and Cytosine. J. Phys. Chem. A 1999;103:8853–8860.
- 42. Taft, RW. Proton Transfer Reaction. Caldin, EF.; Gold, V., editors. Wiley-Halstead; New York: 1975. Chapter 2
- 43. Lias SG, Bartmess JE, Liebman JF, Holmes JL, Levin RD, Mallard WG. Gas-Phase Ion and Neutral Thermochemistry. J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data 1988;(Suppl 1):17.
- 44. Karpas Z, Berant Z, Stimac RM. An Ion Mobility Spectrometry / Mass Spectrometry (IMS/MS) Study of the Site of Protonation in Anilines. Struct. Chem 1990;1:201–204.
- 45. Flammang R, Dechamps N, Pascal L, Van Haverbeke Y, Gerbaux P, Nam P-C, Nguyen MT. Ring versus Nitrogen Protonation of Anilines. Lett. Org. Chem 2004;1:23–30.
- 46. Tu Y-P. Dissociative Protonation Sites: Reactive Centers in Protonated Molecules Leading to Fragmentation in Mass Spectrometry. J. Org. Chem 2006;71:5482–5488. [PubMed: 16839126]
- Paizs B, Suhai S. Fragmentation pathways of protonated peptides. Mass Spectrom. Rev 2005;24:508– 548. [PubMed: 15389847]
- Mouls L, Subra G, Aubagnac J-L, Martinez J, Enjalbal C. Tandem mass spectrometry of amidated peptides. J. Mass Spectrom 2006;41:1470–1483. [PubMed: 17072914]
- Csonka IP, Paizs B, Suhai S. Modeling of the gas-phase ion chemistry of protonated arginine. J. Mass Spectrom 2004;39:1025–1035. [PubMed: 15386755]
- Cooper T, Talaty E, Grove J, Van Stipdonk M, Suhai S, Paizs B. Isotope Labeling and Theoretical Study of the Formation of a3* Ions from Protonated Tetraglycine. J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom 2006;17:1654–1664. [PubMed: 16934997]
- Glaser R, Hodgen B, Farrelly D, McKee E. Adenine Synthesis in Interstellar Space: Mechanisms of Prebiotic Pyrimidine Ring-Formation in Monocyclic HCN-Pentamers. Astrobiology 2007;7:455– 470. [PubMed: 17630841]
- Morgan KM. Reaction mechanisms. Part (iii). Pericyclic reactions. Annual Rep. Prog. Chem. B 2005;101:284–304.
- Tordini F, Bencini A, Bruschi M, Gioai LD, Zampella G, Fantucci P. Theoretical Study of Hydration of Cyanamide and Carbodiimide. J. Phys. Chem. A 2003;107:1188–1196.
- Polce MJ, Kim Y, Wesdemiotis C. First experimental characterization of aminocarbene. Int. J. Mass Spectrom. Ion Proc 1997;167/168:309–315.
- Lin HY, Ridge DP, Uggerud E, Vulpius T. Unimolecular Chemistry of Protonated Formamide. Mass Spectrometry and ab Initio Quantum Chemical Calculations. J. Am. Chem. Soc 1994;116:2996– 3004.
- Marynick DS, Scanion K, Eades RA, Dixon DA. Absolute Proton Affinities of PH₃ and H₂S. J. Phys. Chem 1981;85:3364–3366.

- Haney MA, Franklin JL. Mass Spectrometric Determination of the Proton Affinities of Various Molecules. J. Phys. Chem 1969;73:4328–4331.
- Glaser R, Wu H, Saint Paul F. v. Chemical Carcinogens in Non-Enzymatic Cytosine Deamination: 3-Isocyanatoacrylonitrile. J. Mol. Model 2006;12:731–737. [PubMed: 16411080]
- Cooper HJ, Hakansson K, Marshall AG. The role of electron capture dissociation in biomolecular analysis. Mass Spectrom. Rev 2005;24:201–222. [PubMed: 15389856]



Scheme 1.

Nucleoside and nucleobase deamination by nitrosation and by proton-catalyzed ammonia elimination.



Scheme 2.

Conjugate acids formed by protonation of **1h**, **2h**, **3h**, **13h** and **14h** are numbered according to the protonation sites as indicated.



Scheme 3. Conversion of protonated nucleosides to protonated nucleobases.







Qian et al.

Page 18



Scheme 5.

Intramolecular proton transfer allows for the conversions of N1- and N7-protonated tautomer **16** and **18** (bold single-lined boxes) to the ammonium tautomer **15** (double-lined). Pyrimidine ring-opening of N1-protonated adenine may lead to amidines **63** and **65** but these paths are not competitive.

Qian et al.



Scheme 6. Fragmentation paths of protonated guanine $[2h + H]^+$.

Qian et al.

Page 20



Scheme 7.

N7-Protonated guanine 23 (bold single-lined) is the most stable structure of $[2h + H]^+$. The paths are shown for the formations of ammonium ion precursor 81 (single-lined) and of their ammonium ions 83, 85, and 87 (double-lined).



Scheme 8. Fragmentation of protonated 5-cyanoaminoimidazole-4-carboxamide $[13h + H]^+$.







Scheme 10. Paths to sulfonium ions (double-lined) from the conjugate acids of 14h.

Qian et al.





Qian et al.

Page 25



Scheme 12.

The N3-protonated cytosine **50** (single-lined) leads to NH_3 elimination from $[3h + H]^+$ via ammonium ion **48** (double-lined). Paths involving CN cleavage and rotation via **139**, **141**, and **145** also have been explored.



Scheme 13. Summary of results.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript



Figure 1.

Product-ion spectra of (a) protonated adenosine $[\mathbf{1r} + \mathbf{H}]^+$, m/z 268, and (b) protonated guanosine $[\mathbf{2r} + \mathbf{H}]^+$, m/z 284. (c) The mass spectrum of deoxycytidine features $[\mathbf{3d} + \mathbf{H}]^+$, m/z 228, and $[\mathbf{3h} + \mathbf{H}]^+$, m/z 112.

a



Figure 2.

Product-ion spectra of (a) $[\mathbf{1h} + \mathbf{H}]^+$, m/z 136, (b) **8**', m/z 119, and (c) **16**, m/z 109. All of these precursor ions were produced by in-source fragmentation of $[\mathbf{1r} + \mathbf{H}]^+$ at increasing energies.





Product-ion spectra of (a) $[2h + H]^+$, m/z 152, (b) 9', m/z 135, and (c) 98, m/z 110. All precursors were produced by in-source fragmentation of $[2r + H]^+$ at increasing energies.





(a) Product-ion spectrum of $[13r + H]^+$, m/z 226. (b) Product-ion spectrum of $[13h + H]^+$, m/z 152, produced by in-source fragmentation of $[13r + H]^+$. (c) Product-ion spectrum of $[14r + H]^+$, m/z 257.





Product-ion spectrum of $[12h + H]^+$, m/z 112, produced by in-source fragmentation of protonated deoxycytidine.

Table 1

Voltages for in-source and in-collision cell CID

Amalata	Vo	Voltage		
Analyte	in-source CID	collision-cell CID	rigure	
Adenosine, 1r		40		
Guanosine, 2r		30	1b	
Deoxycytidine, 3d		0	1c	
$[\mathbf{1h} + \mathbf{H}]^+, m/z \ 136$	30	50	2a	
$[8]^+, m/z 119$	30	35	2b	
$[16]^+, m/z, 109$	60	30	2c	
$[2\mathbf{r} + \mathbf{H} - 132]^+, m/z, 152$	20	50	3a	
$[9]^+, m/z$ 135	40	50	3b	
$[25]^+, m/z, 110$	50	50	3c	
$[13r + H]^+, m/z, 226$		20	4a	
$[13h + H]^+, m/z, 152$	30	5	4b	
$[14r + H]^+, m/z 257$		35	4c	
$[12h + H]^+$. m/z 112	30	50	5	

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

expt. 874 ^b 976.4 ^c NH ₂ 879.9 819.9 NI 874.6 ^d		Ð	13h (E,Z)	13h (Z,Z)	14h (Z)	14h (E
NH ₂ 879.9 819.9 NI NI 874.6 ^d	978.0^{c}	981.4 ^C				
N1 874.6 ^d	848.5	793.2	831.3	894.1		
	943.8	813.6^{d}				
N3 955.4	937.5	887.2			874.1	891.6
N7	6.006	959.8	876.2	923.9	944.9	946.5
6N	755.9	766.0				
C2-0, NI 921.6 C2 0 N2 055 0						
C2-0, NJ C6-0, NI		900.7			895.9	890.2
C6-0, N7		936.3		914.4	927.7	925.1
C6-0, NH ₂			868.0	872.2		
NCN, cyano			924.5	890.2		
NCN, amino			849.4	827.7		

aProton affinities (*AH*) in kJ/mol computed at B3LYP/6-31++G**. Data for guanine reported in ref. 38.

 $b_{\text{Exp.}}$ value for aniline from refs. 42 and 43.

 $^{\rm C}{\rm Exp.}$ values for nucleobases from ref.40 (p. 9095).

 d_{These} protonated systems are ring-opened structures.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

NIH-PA Author Manuscript

Qian et al.

Table 3

Calculated relative energies and activation barriers^{*a*}

Parameter	ΔΕ	ΔH_0	ΔH_{298}	⊿G ₂₉₈
DE: Adapina 1h				
$E_{\text{out}}(16 \rightarrow 60^{\ddagger})$	203 72	191.07	189.86	191 74
$E_{\rm act}^{\rm act}(17 \rightarrow 61^{\ddagger})$	175.40	164.26	162.42	164.50
$E_{\rm act}^{\rm act}(16 \rightarrow 62^{\ddagger})$	279.83	263.29	266.26	259.51
$E_{\rm rel}(63 \ vs. \ 16)$	279.64	263.57	268.67	256.76
$E_{\rm act}(63 \rightarrow 64^{\ddagger})$	60.67	59.54	57.24	48.90
$E_{\rm rel}(63 \ vs. \ 65)$	60.42	59.75	59.55	58.97
RE: Guanine 2h				
$E_{\rm act}(23 \rightarrow 74^{+})$	303.46	293.24	294.54	290.16
$E_{\rm rel}(75 \ vs. \ 23)$	131.27	119.88	123.81	112.97
$E_{\rm act}(75 \rightarrow 76^*)$	32.02	30.85	29.43	32.15
$E_{\rm rel}(77 \text{ vs. 75})$	7.96	7.62	7.41	7.96
$E_{\text{act}}(77 \rightarrow 78^{\circ})$	84.79	/3.45	72.36	/4./0
$E_{rel}(79 \text{ Vs. } 77)$	39.14	39.10	39.81	38.51
$E_{act}(23 \rightarrow 60)$ $E_{act}(23 \rightarrow 60)$	208.34	201.04	251.29	249.31
$E_{\text{rel}}(81 \rightarrow 82^{+})$	22.18	20.03	207.08	20.04
$E_{act}(81 \times 82)$	126.85	128.98	128.36	129.01
$E_{rel}(81 \rightarrow 84^{\dagger})$	235.28	224.23	222.30	225 54
$E_{\rm rel}(85 vs. 81)$	156.34	157.43	157.39	156.49
$E_{\rm act}(23 \rightarrow 86^{\ddagger})$	215.12	204.06	202.39	205.24
$E_{\rm rel}(87 \ vs. \ 23)$	124.47	126.56	126.73	124.12
$E_{\rm rel}(22 \ vs. \ 23)$	75.60	71.33	72.79	69.90
$E_{\rm rel}(22 \ vs. \ 81)$	53.41	50.69	51.49	49.85
$E_{\rm act}(22 \rightarrow 88^{\ddagger})$	243.33	232.11	230.19	233.57
$E_{\rm rel}(89 \ vs. \ 22)$	167.90	167.90	168.32	166.18
$E_{\rm act}(22 \rightarrow 91^{\ddagger})$	172.77	165.90	166.07	163.47
$E_{\rm rel}(92 \ vs. \ 22)$	150.79	148.36	148.48	144.88
$E_{\rm act}(22 \rightarrow \mathbf{90^{+}})$	195.97	185.88	183.28	187.95
$E_{\rm rel}(20 \ vs. \ 22)$	91.37	137.22	94.05	96.01
$E_{\rm rel}(21 \ vs. \ 23)$	152.19	142.68	146.45	136.28
$E_{\rm act}(21 \rightarrow 93^{*})$	239.08	225.89	224.97	224.73
$E_{\rm rel}(94 \ vs. \ 21)$	126.37	127.21	127.59	125.98
$E_{act}(95 \rightarrow 15(95,10))$ RE: Model 13b	194.02	1/8.41	102./1	104.23
$\operatorname{Erel}(\mathbf{13h}, (Z,Z) v_{S}, (E,Z))$	60.55	60.42	60.42	60.07
$E_{\rm act}(103 \rightarrow 104^{\ddagger})$	50.06	44.66	39.34	38.30
$E_{\rm rel}(105 \ vs. \ 103)$	27.12	24.86	25.87	23.27
$E_{\rm act}(105 \rightarrow 106^{\ddagger})$	183.54	170.68	170.27	170.58
$E_{\rm rel}(107 \ vs. \ 105)$	38.57	38.91	39.49	37.68
$E_{\rm act}(103 \rightarrow 108^{\ddagger})$	77.23	76.06	74.72	76.71
$E_{\rm rel}(109 \ vs. \ 103)$	-0.92	-1.00	-0.88	-1.46
$E_{\rm act}(109 \rightarrow 110^{*})$	54.20	43.14	42.22	43.87
$E_{\rm rel}(111 \ vs. \ 109)$	1.84	1.38	1.92	0.51
$E_{\text{act}}(111 \rightarrow 112^*)$	44.76	43.51	42.04	44.76
$E_{\rm rel}(113 \text{ Vs. 111})$	42.87	41.53	42.20	39.41
$E_{\text{act}}(113 \rightarrow 114^{\circ})$	/1./5	60.44 21.86	28.89	62.88
$E_{\rm rel}(107 V3. 113)$ E (118 vs. 107)	21.91	21.80	22.12	22.49
$E_{\rm rel}(110 vs. 107)$ E (118 vs. 103)	25.62	29.90	29.40	91.63
$E_{\rm rel}(110 \text{vs. 105})$ $E_{\rm rel}(28 \rightarrow 121^{\frac{1}{4}})$	95.51	85.52	94.70 83.50	91.03
$E_{\rm act}(27 \text{ vs. } 28)$	40.66	42.71	42.46	44 99
$E_{\rm act}(33 \rightarrow 122^{\ddagger})$	57.68	47.25	45.16	50.24
$E_{\rm rel}(123 \ vs. \ 33)$	41.50	42.68	41.71	45.00
$E_{\rm rel}(28 \text{ vs. } 103)$	46.28	47.16	48.41	43.21
$E_{\rm rel}(33 \text{ vs. } 103)$	57.84	60.22	61.02	58.11
$E_{\rm act}(9 \rightarrow TS(9, 125))$	294.63	289.27	286.34	294.88
RE: Model 14h				
$E_{\rm act}(39 \rightarrow 128^{*})$	267.85	250.14	250.52	247.38
$E_{\rm rel}((Z)-129 \ vs. \ 39)$	33.97	32.92	31.12	35.40
$E_{\text{act}}((Z) - 129 \rightarrow 130^{*})$	15.22	15.14	13.13	17.96
$E_{\rm rel}((E)-129 \ vs. \ (Z)-129)$	-1.11	-1.24	-1.11	-1.74

Parameter	ΔE	ΔH_0	ΔH_{298}	ΔG_{298}
$E_{\rm act}((Z)-129 \rightarrow 131^{\ddagger})$	231.37	214.45	214.03	215.04
$E_{\rm rel}((E)$ -132 vs. (Z)-129)	179.15	169.27	169.98	168.13
$\overline{E}_{act}((E)-129 \rightarrow 133^{\ddagger})$	212.53	195.41	194.78	196.67
$E_{\rm rel}((E)$ -134 vs. (E)-129)	171.44	161.69	162.19	159.80
$E_{\rm rel}((Z)-134 \ vs. \ (E)-134)$	15.04	14.37	14.70	12.75
$E_{\rm act}((Z)$ -39 \rightarrow 135 [‡])	293.51	281.58	283.84	277.92
$E_{\rm rel}(136 \ vs. (Z)-39)$	122.22	109.32	114.22	99.48
RE: Cytosine 3h				
$E_{\rm act}(50 \rightarrow 138^{\ddagger})$	241.60	227.99	227.07	228.31
$E_{\rm rel}(48 \ vs. \ 50)$	141.80	141.38	142.09	139.41
$E_{\rm act}(50 \rightarrow 139^{\ddagger})$	364.07	349.34	352.02	343.21
$E_{\rm rel}(140 \ vs. \ 50)$	230.92	226.65	227.32	224.54
$E_{\rm act}(50 \rightarrow 141^{\ddagger})$	320.02	305.45	308.22	300.89
$E_{\rm rel}(142 \ vs. 50)$	77.96	70.38	74.27	64.24
$E_{\rm act}(142 \rightarrow 143^{\ddagger})$	240.50	227.31	226.35	227.31
$E_{\rm rel}(144 \ vs. \ 142)$	143.76	143.76	144.17	142.05
$E_{\rm act}(50 \rightarrow 145^{\ddagger})$	341.93	327.70	315.89	310.08
$E_{\rm rel}(146 \ vs. 50)$	87.79	80.76	77.87	67.13

^aAll data in kJ/mol and determined at B3LYP/6-31++G**.

NIH-PA Author Manuscript