

Missouri University of Science and Technology Scholars' Mine

Chemistry Faculty Research & Creative Works

Chemistry

01 Apr 2016

A 1-D Coordination Polymer Route to Catalytically Active Co@C Nanoparticles

Anand Pariyar

Siddharth Gopalakrishnan

Joseph Stansbery

Rajankumar L. Patel

et. al. For a complete list of authors, see https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/chem_facwork/2492

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/chem_facwork

Part of the Chemistry Commons

Recommended Citation

A. Pariyar et al., "A 1-D Coordination Polymer Route to Catalytically Active Co@C Nanoparticles," *RSC Advances*, vol. 6, no. 45, pp. 38533-38540, Royal Society of Chemistry, Apr 2016. The definitive version is available at https://doi.org/10.1039/c6ra04650a

This Article - Journal is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Chemistry Faculty Research & Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 38533

A 1-D coordination polymer route to catalytically active Co@C nanoparticles[†]

Anand Pariyar,^a Siddharth Gopalakrishnan,^b Joseph Stansbery,^b Rajankumar L. Patel,^b Xinhua Liang,^b Nikolay Gerasimchuk^c and Amitava Choudhury^{*a}

Pyrolysis of a 1-D polymeric cobalt(III) coordination complex ($[Co(BDC)(Mim)_2]_n$, $H_2BDC =$ benzenedicarboxylic acid; Mim = *N*-methylimidazole) results in the formation of carbon embedded *fcc* cobalt nanoparticle composites, Co@C. The as-prepared Co@C shows an agglomerated secondary structure with a highly embedded carbon shell comprising of cobalt nanoparticles of 20–100 nm. These Co@C particles show excellent catalytic activity in the reduction of nitrophenol to aminophenol, studied as a model reaction, and evolves as a promising candidate for the gas phase reduction process.

Received 22nd February 2016 Accepted 30th March 2016

DOI: 10.1039/c6ra04650a

www.rsc.org/advances

Introduction

Metal-Organic Frameworks (MOFs) have evolved as one of the fastest growing research areas in the last decade primarily because of their unique combination of porous structure and a variety of physicochemical properties.1-3 MOFs, also known as porous coordination polymers (PCPs), have found application in gas sorption, separation, catalysis, sensors, and drug deliveries to name a few.¹⁻⁶ Recently another attribute of MOFs is catching everyone's attention. These MOFs when pyrolyzed under certain conditions can generate materials which are extremely good for catalysis and energy storage applications.7-9 These MOF-decomposed 'left over' residues are metal or metal oxide nanoparticles, and often these nanoparticles are embedded in a carbonaceous shell making them excellent candidates for catalysis and other applications.¹⁰⁻¹⁸ MOFs are crystalline solids made of ionocovalent interactions between the polydentate ligand (aromatic polycarboxylates or imidazolates etc.) and the metal polyhedra or a metal cluster creating a porous network, thus automatically qualifying as singlesource-precursors for metal (M), metal oxide (MO) and carbon.7-18 This precursor route is easy, inexpensive and offers high tunability through easy variation of decomposition conditions. For these reasons investigation of MOF-derived composite materials for energy storage applications and

catalysis are on the rise.7,8 For example, in case of Co-MOFs, Liu et al. formed Co_3O_4 nanoparticles from $Co_3(NDC)_3(DMF)_4$ [NDC = 2,6-naphthalenedicarboxylate] MOF and used as a Li ion battery material.¹⁵ Wei et al. derived Co/C composite from Cobased ZIF-67 [Co(MeIm)₂, MeIM = 2-methylimidazole] and found to be active supercapacitor electrode material.16 Torad et al. used the same ZIF-67 to derive nanoporous carbon embedded Co nanoparticles with suitable adsorbent property.17 Kong et al. formed Co nanocomposites via pyrolysis of a Co-MOF, CPM-24 [(CH₃)₂NH₂]₁₀[Co₂(IN)₄(Ac)₂][Co₂(BTC)₂(H₂O)]₄- $[Co_2(OH)(IN)_3]_4$ (BTC = 1,3,5-benzenetricarboxylate; IN = isonicotinic acid; Ac = acetate) that shows excellent electrocatalytic activity for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) with an efficiency comparable to the commercial Pt/C catalyst.18 Design of such functional material for targeted applications through rational approaches would constitute important goals of this research. So far MOFs which are truly porous by virtue of three dimensionally connected covalent networks have been tested to obtain porous carbon or M/MO@C composites.7-21 However, there are porous two-dimensional (2-D) covalent networks, MOF-2 type,²² or one-dimensional (1-D) coordination polymers²³ that are held together by non-covalent interactions in the remaining directions. These 2-D and 1-D coordination polymers are also highly susceptible to thermolysis even at lower temperatures, which in turn yield nanostructured M/MO.²⁴⁻²⁸ However, synthesis of such nanostructured M/MO@C or porous carbon as functional materials from 1-D coordination polymer is still lacking. The main challenge in coordination polymer synthesis is to establish the right synthetic conditions that eventually will lead to formation of defined inorganic building blocks with desired organic linker to form exclusively 1-D coordination polymer.29 We, therefore, sought to design and synthesize 1-D coordination polymer with aromatic ligands and examine its pyrolysis towards the formation of M/MO@C. Here, we present the synthesis of a Co(II) 1-D coordination polymer

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla 400 W 11th Street, Rolla, MO 65409, USA. E-mail: choudhurya@mst.edu; Fax: +1-573-341-6033; Tel: +1-573-341-6332

^bDepartment of Chemical and Biochemical Engineering, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, MO 65409, USA

Department of Chemistry, Missouri State University, Springfield, MO 65897, USA

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Tables for crystallographic details and interaction for 1; cif file for 1; IR, TGA, XPS and other details. CCDC 1436062. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/c6ra04650a

based on 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (BDC) as linker in which a co-ligand *N*-methylimidazole (Mim) has been used to block the remaining coordination sites and their thermolysis for the generation of highly surface active magnetically separable Co@C reduction catalyst.

Experimental

Materials and physical methods

Cobalt nitrate $(Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O)$, *para*-nitrophenol and sodium borohydride were purchased from Alfa Aesar. 1,4-Benzene dicarboxylic acid and 1-methyl imidazole were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. All Chemicals and solvents were used as received unless otherwise mentioned. *para*-Nitrophenol was recrystallized twice from hot water before use.

Single-crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) intensity data sets were collected on a Bruker Smart Apex diffractometer with monochromated Mo Ka radiation (0.7107 Å). Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) pattern was obtained from a PANalytical X'Pert Pro diffractometer equipped with a Cu K $\alpha_{1,2}$ anode and a linear array PIXcel detector over a 2θ range of 5° to 90° with an average scanning rate of 0.0472° s⁻¹. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) has been performed on the sample with a TA instrument Q50 TGA with a scan rate of 10 $^{\circ}$ C min⁻¹ under N₂ flow rate of 40 mL min⁻¹. FT-IR spectrum was collected using Thermo Nicolet Nexus 470 FT-IR spectrometer over 400-4000 cm⁻¹ on a sample embedded in KBr pellet. UV-Vis spectroscopy was performed in Varian CARY 100 Bio UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Temperature dependent UV-Vis spectra were recorded in 1 cm quartz cuvette (Starna) using the Agilent 8453 diode array spectrophotometer equipped with TC1 Peltier temperature controller by Quantum Northwest. Independent temperature control was provided by Cen-Tech 92242 digital thermometer with K-type probe. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were taken using Helios Nanolab-600 equipped with Energy Dispersive Spectrometry (EDS) detector (Oxford Instrument) for elemental analysis. X-ray Photoelectron Spectrometry (XPS) was performed on a Kratos Axis 165 Photoelectron Spectrometer. The binding energies of all peaks were corrected as compared with reference peak of adventitious carbon (C1s, 284.8 eV).30 TEM images were obtained on FEI Tecnai F20 and Tecnai Osiris TEM operating at 200 kV. The aspyrolized sample was dispersed in an acetone solution to prepare the TEM sample. A drop of the "as dispersed" solution was placed onto a carbon coated TEM grid and dried in air prior to TEM imaging and EDS. High resolution TEM was obtained with the Tecnai Osiris operated at 200 keV with a probe current of 2.5 nA. Raman measurement was performed in Horiba Jobin Yvon spectrometer using Nd:YAG laser with 30 s exposure time. Gas adsorption experiments were carried out in Quantachrome's Autosorb-1 surface area measurement instrument. Before the start of the gas-adsorption experiments, the asprepared samples were outgassed at 120 °C under vacuum for 12 h. The specific surface areas of the samples were calculated using the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) method. The pore size distribution curves were derived from the adsorption and

desorption isotherms using the Barrett-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) methods.

Synthesis

Synthesis of $[Co(BDC)(Mim)_2]_n$ (1). $Co(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O$ (0.2910 g, 1 mmol), 1,4-benzenedicarboxylate (0.1661 g, 1 mmol) and *N*-methylimidazole (0.18 mL, 2.26 mmol) were stirred with 8 mL of a DMF and EtOH solution (3 : 1 by volume) at room temperature. After all the solutes were dissolved, the solution was sealed in a HDPE bottle and placed in a preheated oven at 100 °C for 24 hours, giving dark purple crystals. The crystals were washed repeatedly with DMF followed by EtOH, to remove unreacted ligands/metal salts and dried in air. Yield = 42%. Analytically calculated for $C_{16}H_{16}N_4O_4Co$: C 49.62; H 4.16; N 14.47: found C 49.75; H 4.32; N 14.34. FT-IR (KBr, cm⁻¹): 652, 739, 825, 1094, 1360, 1425, 1588, 2963 and 3125.

Synthesis of Co@C (2). The coordination polymer, 1 (0.5410 g) was taken in a heating boat and subjected to pyrolysis in a CVD furnace at 550 °C for 2 h under N_2 gas with 50 SCCM flow rate. The sample was heated at a rate of 100 °C h⁻¹ till 550 °C and held at that temperature for 2 h followed by cooling down to room temperature at the rate of 100 °C h⁻¹. Such treatment yielded a black solid product (0.1550 g) with a weight loss of 76.8%. CHN elemental analysis C: 35.05%.

Crystal structure analysis of $[Co(BDC)(Mim)_2]_n$ (1)

A suitable crystal of **1** was selected and mounted on a glass fiber using epoxy-based glue. The data were collected at 220 K employing a scan of 0.3° in ω with an exposure time of 20 s per frame. The data sets were collected using SMART software,³¹ the cell refinement and data reduction were carried out with SAINT,³² while the program SADABS³² was used for the absorption correction. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELX-97 and difference Fourier syntheses.³³ Full-matrix least-squares refinement against $|F^2|$ was carried out using the SHELXL-2014 using WINGX programs suite.³³

Catalytic reduction of *p*-nitrophenol

All catalytic reduction reactions were performed at room temperature in air. In a typical reaction, 2 mg of 2 was added to 5 mL of 1 mM *para*-nitrophenol solution in water followed by freshly prepared 1 mL, 50 mM NaBH₄ solution. The final concentration of *para*-nitrophenol and NaBH₄ in the reaction mixture was 0.83 mM and 8.3 mM, respectively.

Results and discussion

1-D coordination polymer was designed by using 1,4-benzenedicarboxylic acid (BDC) as bridging ligand. Multicarboxylate ligands are widely used in the assembly of supramolecular architectures because of their diverse coordination modes to generate structures with various building blocks such as honeycomb, brick wall, rectangular grid, bilayer, ladder, diamond networks as well as open frameworks.^{22,29} To restrict the structure to grow strictly in 1 dimension, we have used *N*methylimidazole (Mim) as a co-ligand to cap some of the coordination sites on the metal and make them unavailable for BDC. Interestingly, a reaction of equivalent amount of Co(II) nitrate and BDC in DMF/EtOH solvent mixture with 2 equiv. of Mim at 100 °C yielded a pure phase purple crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction. The solid was found to be stable in air, water and organic solvents. The bulk purity of the product is evident by a good match of the experimental PXRD pattern with the one simulated from SCXRD (Fig. 1). The product crystallizes in triclinic, $P\bar{1}$ space group and shows the formation of polymeric coordination complex with [Co(BDC)(Mim)₂]_n (1) as a formula unit. Table 1 list the summary of the crystallographic data and the structure refinement results.

The Co(II) in 1 adopts a tetrahedral coordination with two oxygen atoms from two BDC units in bis-monodentate (synanti) fashion along with two N-donors from two Mim units (Fig. 2a). The polymeric 1-D chain is propagated via bridging BDC connecting Co atoms in a zig-zag fashion (Fig. 2b). The Co1-Co1'-Co1" angle is 100.56(1)° and the distance between two Co centers is 10.74(8) Å and 10.94(7) Å depending on the oxygen it is bonded to, O1 for the former and O3 for the latter (Table S1, ESI[†]). This is related to the fact that the Co1-O1 and the Co1-O3 bond lengths are 2.007(2) and 2.008(3) Å, respectively, which are typical for a tetrahedral $Co(\pi)$ ion bonded to carboxylate ion.³⁴ The Co(II) oxidation state is further supported by Co-N bond length of 2.029(2) Å (Co1-N1) and 2.056(2) Å (Co1-N3).34 Similar chain like structures are reported in other Cu, Zn and Ni complexes with bridged BDC where the M-M-M (M = metal) angles range between 65° to ideal 120° and are noted to be a function of steric contribution from the coligand.³⁵⁻³⁷ The 1-D polymeric complex 1 packs as infinite chains along a-axis via inter-chain hydrogen bonding to form 2-D array (Fig. 3a).

Two strong hydrogen bonding with donor (D)–acceptor (A) distances of 2.59 and 2.55 Å with D–H···A angles of 167 and 160°, respectively, for C10–H10A···O2 and C12–H12C···O1, were noted between the *N*-methyl hydrogens (Table S2, ESI†) and the metal coordinated carboxylate oxygen. Furthermore, the 2-D



Fig. 1 Experimental (as synthesized) and simulated (single crystal diffraction data) PXRD pattern of 1.

View Article Online RSC Advances

 Table 1
 Crystallographic data and structure refinement results for 1

Empirical formula Formula weight (g mol ⁻¹) Wavelength (Å) Crystal system Space group a (Å) b (Å) c (Å) α (°) β (°) γ (°) Volume (Å ³)	$\begin{array}{c} C_{16}H_{16}N_4O_4Co\\ 387.26\\ 0.71073\\ Triclinic\\ P\bar{1}\\ 7.3256\ (7)\\ 9.0006\ (9)\\ 13.7874\ (14)\\ 82.0240\ (10)\\ 78.2670\ (10)\\ 72.3450\ (10)\\ 845.25\ (15)\\ \end{array}$
Space group	$P\bar{1}$
a (Å)	7.3256 (7)
<i>b</i> (Å)	9.0006 (9)
<i>c</i> (Å)	13.7874 (14)
α (°)	82.0240 (10)
β(°)	78.2670 (10)
γ (°)	72.3450 (10)
Volume (Å ³)	845.25 (15)
Z	2
Calculated density $(mg m^{-3})$	1.522
Goodness of fit on F^2	1.056
Final R indices $I > 2$ sigma	$R_1 = 0.0426 \text{ w} R_2 = 0.1059$
<i>R</i> indices (all data)	$R_1 = 0.0503 \text{ w} R_2 = 0.1101$
Largest diff. peak/hole	0.460/-0.240

layers give rise to 3-D supramolecular network (Fig. 3b) *via* C– H… π interactions (Table S3, ESI[†]) as evident from short C–H… π distance of 2.83 to 2.93 Å. In FT-IR spectrum (Fig. S1, ESI[†]), coordination of carboxylic group to the metal center is evident from the change of ν (C=O) band to lower wavelength, from 1720 cm⁻¹ in uncoordinated BDC to 1588 cm⁻¹ in 1.³⁵⁻³⁸ The presence of Mim unit is also evident through characteristic



Fig. 2 (a) View of the asymmetric unit of 1 with thermal ellipsoids given at 50% probability. (b) The zig-zag 1-D coordination polymer chain bridged through BDC. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Color scheme: pink (cobalt), red (oxygen), blue (nitrogen) and grey (carbon).



Fig. 3 (a) Packing diagram showing 2-D arrangement of the polymeric compound **1** through hydrogen bonds (shown by green dotted line). (b) C-H··· π induced extensive 3-D network. Color scheme: pink (cobalt), red (oxygen), blue (nitrogen), grey (carbon) and light grey (hydrogen).

peaks at 2963, 1425 and 1360 cm⁻¹, known for coordinated Mim.³⁸ Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) reveals incremental loss, first, at 200 °C and an overall mass loss of 42.4% at a temperature of 400 $^\circ$ C, that can be accounted for the loss of both Mim moieties (Fig. S2, ESI[†]) from the overall structure of 1. The decomposition of the Co-BDC backbone is therefore expected to decompose from temperature above 400 °C. Interestingly, PXRD of the calcined product of 1 after the TGA which was carried out up to 850 °C did not show any formation of oxides or carbides but metallic cobalt and the final weight $(\sim 23\%)$ found was slightly higher than required for just metallic cobalt (15.2%). This indicates retention of some chemical residues presumably carbon along with metallic cobalt. The polymeric complex 1 was then subjected to controlled pyrolysis under N2 in a CVD furnace. The synthesis was optimized at 550 °C and a dark carbonaceous solid Co@C (2) was formed. The PXRD of 2 revealed the formation of metallic *fcc* cobalt (Co^0) phase (Fig. 4).39 It is to be noted that 2 is devoid of any conventional carbides of Co such as Co₂C and Co₃C, which may be due to lower decomposition temperature of Co₂C (300 °C) and Co₃C (315 °C).⁴⁰ Elemental analysis of 2 prepared through five independent syntheses supports TGA results with carbon



Fig. 4 PXRD pattern of as synthesized 2 (top, black line) is shown against literature reported metallic fcc Co³⁹ (bottom, red line).

percentage between 35.07% and 35.36%, showing bulk carbonization of **2**. This implies the presence of carbon along with the metallic cobalt phase. SEM image shows hierarchical near spherical carbonaceous aggregated metal clusters (Fig. 5).

Furthermore, when a laboratory magnet was placed near a suspension of 2 in EtOH, almost quantitative collection of the content around the vicinity of the magnet was found within 2 min (Fig. S3, ESI†). This strong interaction with magnet shows that the Co-metal particles are highly magnetic and the carbon is highly embedded on Co-particles. TEM image of 2 taken from dispersion of ethanolic solution shows cobalt particles of 20– 100 nm size highly embedded in carbon shell (Fig. 6a). Based on the mass contrast as shown in Fig. 6b on a single cobalt nanoparticle, the light contrast can be assigned to carbon rich materials and the dark contrast to the cobalt core. The dark domain is highly single-crystalline as evident from the SAED pattern which can be indexed to *fcc* cobalt while the shell



Fig. 5 SEM image of as synthesized Co@C (2) collected with Au sputtering.



Fig. 6 (a) TEM image of as synthesized 2 showing Co particles embedded by graphitic and amorphous carbon. (b) TEM micrograph along the boundary region showing the presence of graphitic carbon shell over cobalt particles evident from analysis of the lattice fringes.

around it is amorphous (Fig. S4, ESI†). The TEM micrograph shows the presence of domains of graphitic carbon (Fig. S5, ESI†) around the cobalt particles as evident from analysis of the lattice fringes with *d*-spacing of 0.34 nm (Fig. 6b). It is also noticeable that the thickness of the carbon shell is not uniform and varies from 5 to 8 nm. The presence of carbon coating is further supported by Raman spectroscopy of 2 which shows two signature bands at 1364 and 1587 cm⁻¹ for D and G bands of disordered and graphitic carbon, respectively (Fig. 7).^{19,41} Furthermore, XPS analysis of 2 (Fig. S6, ESI†) done without Ar ion sputtering exhibited Co $2p_{3/2}$ peak at 778.3 eV characteristic of zero-valent metallic cobalt.⁴² However, peak at 781.3 eV along with shake-up satellite peaks for Co(n) species was also found.



Fig. 7 Raman spectra for Co@C (2) designating the D (1364 cm⁻¹) and G (1587 cm⁻¹) band ($I_G/I_D = 0.37$).

Since PXRD excludes the possibility of crystalline $Co(\pi)$ species, the observed $Co(\pi)$ indicates oxidation of reactive metallic cobalt surface to CoO, such slow oxidation in air has been observed previously for Co nanoparticles.¹⁷

The suitability of **2** as a catalyst was evaluated as cobalt particles are very well known for catalytic applications. As a model reaction, the hydrogenation of *para*-nitrophenol (PNP) to *para*-aminophenol (PAP) at room temperature was performed to test the catalytic activity of **2** (Scheme 1). PNP and other nitro phenol derivatives are common byproducts of pesticides, herbicides, and synthetic dye productions and the reaction is a strong indicator for catalytic potential.⁴³

Preliminary reaction of PNP with 5 mol% of 2 using 20 eq. NaBH₄ (2 : PNP : NaBH₄ = 1 : 20 : 400) in H₂O at 20 °C gave quantitative conversion to PAP (Fig. S7, ESI†). For comparison, identical reaction without 2 (blank) or with 1 shows almost no or negligible PNP conversion which indicates the indispensable role of 2 for the conversion. Careful literature review shows ample use of carbon or silica supported metal particles for the catalytic conversion of PNP to PAP and almost all reports show that the support such as carbon, graphene oxide, silica or alumina augments the catalytic property of the material but



Scheme 1 Schematic presentation of conversion of PNP to PAP.



Fig. 8 Catalytic reduction of PNP studied by UV-Vis with increment of time. Inset: plot of natural log of A/A_o vs. time showing the apparent rate constant^{36,37} marked by red line.

themselves (support) are inactive (Table S4, ESI[†]).44-49 For example, the catalytic activity of carbon as in carbon nanotube with surface area as high as 176.7 $m^2 g^{-1}$ (Table S4, entry 1, ESI[†]) show extremely sluggish rate ($K_{app} = 0.001 \text{ min}^{-1}$) for the reduction of nitrophenol to aminophenol and can be considered as almost inactive.44 Fig. 8 shows the reduction of PNP through the decrease in absorbance maxima at 400 nm due to the nitro functionality and the generation of PAP as indicated by the gradual emergence of peak intensity at 300 nm due to the amine functionality. Furthermore, kinetic measurements were performed by monitoring the decrease in absorbance at 400 nm with a molar ratio of $2: PNP : NaBH_4 = 1: 10^2: 10^5$ (catalyst = 0.375 μ M).⁴³⁻⁵¹ The ln(A/A₀) vs. time plotted in Fig. 8 (Inset) depicts negligible change in absorbance at 400 nm in the absence of catalyst (blank). On the other hand, upon addition of 2, a certain period of time was required for the reaction to start. Under limiting NaBH₄ concentration, pseudo-first order kinetics with respect to PNP is applied to the kinetic data.43-51 The slope of near linear fit plot of the natural log of absorbance at 400 nm versus time (inset Fig. 8, red line) gives the apparent rate constant^{43–50} ($K_{app} = 0.31 \pm 0.02 \text{ min}^{-1}$). Under the given reaction condition, the induction period (t_0) is 1.6 minutes for three independent kinetic measurements at 20 °C. It was noted that the induction period is independent of the concentration of NaBH₄ and depends strongly on PNP concentration. This finding points to the fact that PNP restructuring over the active catalytic center dictates the induction period. Lowering of the induction time over higher temperature further supports substrate (PNP) induced surface restructuring necessary for the catalytic activity of Co@C composites.43 The activation energy measured between 20 and 50 °C for the catalytic process is calculated to be 24.97 kJ mol⁻¹ (Fig. S8, ESI⁺) and is relatively lower than earlier reported Co/Ni nanoparticles (25.7-27.8 kJ mol^{-1})^{52,53} but relatively higher than Pt-cubes (12 kJ mol⁻¹)⁵⁴ (Table S5, ESI⁺).⁵²⁻⁵⁸ As shown in Fig. 9 the N₂ adsorption-



Fig. 9 The N_2 adsorption (black) and desorption (red) isotherm of Co@C (2) at 77 K.

desorption isotherm of the composite Co@C (2) follow type IV isotherm with a type H3 hysteresis loop. A type IV adsorptiondesorption isotherms typically indicate the presence of mesopores (pore diameter, 2–50 nm) and a type H3 hysteresis loop is often correlated with slit-shaped pores due to assemblage of non-rigid plate-like particles.⁵⁹

The BET surface area of composite Co@C (2) is calculated to be 128 m² g⁻¹ with a pore volume of 0.4261 cm³ g⁻¹ measured using N₂ adsorption. The pore size distribution as obtained from the desorption isotherm of the composite yielded an average diameter of 4 nm (Fig. S9, ESI[†]) calculated using the BJH method.⁶⁰ The catalytic activity of 2 can be explained by considering the reaction at the metal surface where the presence of porous yet conformal coating around cobalt nanoparticle allows easy and control access of substrates to the active metal center. This is also indirectly substantiated by the reaction of PNP in presence of non-porous parent Co(II)-polymer, 1 $(SA_{BET} = 23.82 \text{ m}^2 \text{ g}^{-1})$ as catalyst. The reaction produced negligible product (Fig. S7, ESI[†]) elaborating the role of the porous carbon shell around the metallic Co particles on the activity of the overall catalytic system. Although 3-D porous MOFs are well-known to play crucial role in producing uniform distribution of metal particles in a nano-porous carbonaceous matrix,^{7,8} in this article we showed that Co nanoparticles can be produced from 1-D non-porous coordination polymer with sufficient porosity and surface area making it a good candidate for catalyst.

Conclusions

In summary, the work presents an easy pathway for the generation of carbon coated *fcc* cobalt nanoparticles, Co@C, 2, through decomposition of polymeric 1-D coordination polymer. The facile reduction of PNP to PAP using NaBH₄ with 2 shows the active nature of the material. The presence of catalytically active metallic particles with strong adsorption property, makes it a promising candidate for use in LT Fisher Tropsch synthesis (FTS).⁶¹ The absence of conventional cobalt carbides, known to be responsible for deactivation process in FTS, further enhances its suitability as a catalyst for the reaction and its study is currently been pursued by the group.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the funding from Materials Research Centre (Missouri S&T). The authors would like thank Professor Brow, Missouri S&T, for the use of Raman spectrometer.

Notes and references

- 1 N. W. Ockwig, O. D. Friedrichs, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 176–182.
- 2 R. J. Kuppler, D. J. Timmons, Q. R. Fang, J. R. Li, T. A. Makal, M. D. Young, D. Yuan, D. Zhao, W. Zhuang and H. C. Zhou, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2009, 253, 3042–3066.
- 3 G. Férey, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2008, 37, 191-214.
- 4 H. Furukawa, K. E. Cordova, M. O'Keeffe and O. M. Yaghi, *Science*, 2013, **341**, 1230444.
- 5 S. Kitagawa, R. Kitaura and S. Noro, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2004, **43**, 2334–2375.
- 6 J. Y. Lee, O. K. Farha, J. Roberts, K. A. Scheidt, S. B. T. Nguyen and J. T. Hupp, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2009, **38**, 1450–1459.
- 7 J.-K. Sun and Q. Xu, Energy Environ. Sci., 2014, 7, 2071–2100.
- 8 W. Xia, A. Mahmood, R. Zou and Q. Xu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2015, **8**, 1837–1866.
- 9 Y. Song, X. Li, L. Sun and L. Wang, *RSC Adv.*, 2015, 5, 7267–7279.
- 10 H. B. Wu, B. Y. Xia, L. Yu, X. Y. Yu and X. W. Lou, Nat. Commun., 2015, 6(1-8), 6512.
- 11 H. L. Jiang, B. Liu, Y. Q. Lan, K. Kuratani, T. Akita, H. Shioyama, F. Zong and Q. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, 133, 11854–11857.
- 12 W. Chaikittisilp, N. L. Torad, C. Li, M. Imura, N. Suzuki, S. Ishihara, K. Ariga and Y. Yamauchi, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2014, 20, 4217–4221.
- 13 N. L. Torad, M. Hu, Y. Kamachi, K. Takai, M. Imura, M. Naitoa and Y. Yamauchi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, 49, 2521–2523.
- 14 H. L. Jiang, B. Liu, Y. Q. Lan, K. Kuratani, T. Akita,
 H. Shioyama, F. Zong and Q. Xu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011,
 133, 11854–11857.
- 15 B. Liu, X. Zhang, H. Shioyama, T. Mukai, T. Sakai and Q. Xua, J. Power Sources, 2010, **195**, 857–861.
- 16 F. Wei, J. Jiang, G. Yu and Y. Sui, *Mater. Lett.*, 2015, **146**, 20–22.
- 17 N. L. Torad, M. Hu, S. Ishihara, H. Sukegawa, A. A. Belik, M. Imura, K. Ariga, Y. Sakka and Y. Yamauchi, *Small*, 2014, **10**, 2096–2107.
- 18 A. Kong, C. Mao, Q. Lin, X. Wei, X. Bu and P. Feng, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, 44, 6748–6754.
- 19 S. J. Yang, S. Nam, T. Kim, J. H. Im, H. Jung, J. H. Kang, S. Wi, B. Park and C. R. Park, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 7394– 7397.

- 20 X. Xu, R. Cao, S. Jeong and J. Cho, *Nano Lett.*, 2012, **12**, 4988–4991.
- 21 W. Chaikittisilp, N. L. Torad, C. Li, M. Imura, N. Suzuki, S. Ishihara, K. Ariga and Y. Yamauchi, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2014, 20, 4217–4221.
- 22 M. E. Braun, C. D. Steffek, J. Kim, P. G. Rasmussen and O. M. Yaghi, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 2532–2533.
- 23 W. L. Leong and J. J. Vittal, Chem. Rev., 2011, 111, 688-764.
- 24 S. Jung, W. Cho, H. J. Lee and M. Oh, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 1459–1462.
- 25 Y. Xiong, Z. Li, R. Zhang, Y. Xie, J. Yang and C. Wu, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2003, **107**, 3697–3702.
- 26 Z. Li, Y. Xiong and Y. Xie, *Nanotechnology*, 2005, **16**, 2303–2308.
- 27 F. Zhang, F.-L. Bei, J.-M. Cao and X. Wang, J. Solid State Chem., 2008, 181, 143–149.
- 28 M. Y. Masoomi and A. Morsali, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2012, **256**, 2921–2943.
- 29 T. R. Cook, Y. R. Zheng and P. J. Stang, *Chem. Rev.*, 2013, **113**, 734–777.
- 30 D. Briggs and M. P. Sech, *Practical Surface Analysis*, Wiley, New York, USA, 1983, p. 119.
- 31 Bruker, *SMART*, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2002.
- 32 Bruker, *SAINT and SADABS*, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 2008.
- 33 G. M. Sheldrick, Shelxl, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A: Found. Crystallogr., 2008, 64, 112–122.
- 34 X. Li, X. Sun, X. Li and X. Xu, New J. Chem., 2015, **39**, 6844–6853.
- 35 Y. B. Go, X. Wang, E. V. Anokhina and A. J. Jacobson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2004, **43**, 5360–5367.
- 36 Y. J. Song, P. Zhang, J. W. Ji and Z. B. Han, Russ. J. Coord. Chem., 2009, 35, 698–703.
- 37 L. N. Zhu, L. Z. Zhang, W. Z. Wang, D. Z. Liao, P. Cheng, Z. H. Jiang and S. P. Yan, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 5, 1017–1021.
- 38 D. Cheng, M. A. Khan and R. P. Houser, *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.*, 2002, 4555–4560.
- 39 E. A. Owen and D. M. Jones, Proc. Phys. Soc., London, 1954, 67, 456–466.
- 40 Z. J. Huba and E. E. Carpenter, *Dalton Trans.*, 2014, 43, 12236–12242.
- 41 F. Tuinstra and J. L. Koenig, J. Chem. Phys., 1970, 53, 1126– 1130.
- 42 M. C. Biesinger, B. P. Payne, A. P. Grosvenor, L. W. M. Lau, A. R. Gerson and R. S. C. Smart, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2011, 257, 2717–2730.
- 43 J. Zeng, Q. Zhang, J. Chen and Y. Xia, *Nano Lett.*, 2010, **10**, 30–35.
- 44 X. Gu, W. Qi, X. Xu, Z. Sun, L. Zhang, W. Liu, X. Pan and D. Su, *Nanoscale*, 2014, **6**, 6609–6616.
- 45 S. Tang, S. Vongehr and X. Meng, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 5436–5445.
- 46 R. Nie, J. Wang, L. Wang, Y. Qin, P. Chen and Z. Hou, *Carbon*, 2012, **50**, 586–596.

Paper

View Article Online

- 47 J. Guo and K. S. Suslick, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 11094–11096.
- 48 J. Lee, J. C. Park and H. Song, *Adv. Mater.*, 2008, **20**, 1523–1528.
- 49 N. Yan, Z. Zhao, Y. Li, F. Wang, H. Zhong and Q. Chen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2014, **53**, 9073–9079.
- 50 Z. D. Pozun, S. E. Rodenbusch, E. Keller, K. Tran, W. Tang,
 K. J. Stevenson and G. Henkelman, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2013, 117, 7598–7604.
- 51 Y. Lu, Y. Mei, M. Drechsler and M. Ballauff, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 813–816.
- 52 N. Sahiner, H. Ozay, O. Ozay and N. Aktas, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2010, **385**, 201–207.
- 53 N. Sahiner, H. Ozay, O. Ozay and N. Aktas, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2010, **101**, 137–143.
- 54 M. A. Mahmoud, B. Snyder and M. A. El-Sayed, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2010, 1, 28–36.

- 55 N. Pradhan, A. Pal and T. Pal, *Colloids Surf.*, B, 2002, **196**, 247–257.
- 56 K. Kuroda, T. Ishida and M. Haruta, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2009, **298**, 7–11.
- 57 S. Saha, A. Pal, S. Kundu, S. Basu and T. Pal, *Langmuir*, 2010, 26, 2885–2893.
- 58 S. Arora, P. Kapoor and M. L. Singla, *React. Kinet.*, *Mech. Catal.*, 2010, 99, 157–165.
- 59 K. S. W. Sing, D. H. Everett, R. A. W. Haul, L. Moscou, R. A. Pierotti, J. Rouquerol and T. Siemieniewska, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 1985, 57, 603–619.
- 60 E. P. Barrett, L. G. Joyner and P. P. Halenda, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1951, 73, 373-380.
- 61 B. Todic, V. V. Ordomsky, N. M. Nikacevic, A. Y. Khodakov and D. B. Bukur, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **5**, 1400–1411.