

01 Aug 2018

Extinction Transitions in Correlated External Noise

Alexander H. O. Wada

Matthew Small

Thomas Vojta

Missouri University of Science and Technology, vojtat@mst.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/phys_facwork



Part of the [Physics Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

A. H. Wada et al., "Extinction Transitions in Correlated External Noise," *Physical Review E*, vol. 98, no. 2, American Physical Society (APS), Aug 2018.

The definitive version is available at <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.98.022112>

This Article - Journal is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics Faculty Research & Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

Extinction transitions in correlated external noiseAlexander H. O. Wada,^{1,2} Matthew Small,¹ and Thomas Vojta^{1,3}¹*Department of Physics, Missouri University of Science and Technology, Rolla, Missouri 65409, USA*²*Instituto de Física, Universidade de São Paulo, Rua do Matão, 1371, 05508-090 São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil*³*Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, University of California, Santa Barbara, California 93106-4030, USA*

(Received 15 May 2018; published 10 August 2018)

We analyze the influence of long-range correlated (colored) external noise on extinction phase transitions in growth and spreading processes. Uncorrelated environmental noise (i.e., temporal disorder) was recently shown to give rise to an unusual infinite-noise critical point [*Europhys. Lett.* **112**, 30002 (2015)]. It is characterized by enormous density fluctuations that increase without limit at criticality. As a result, a typical population decays much faster than the ensemble average, which is dominated by rare events. Using the logistic evolution equation as an example, we show here that positively correlated (red) environmental noise further enhances these effects. This means, the correlations accelerate the decay of a typical population but slow down the decay of the ensemble average. Moreover, the mean time to extinction of a population in the active, surviving phase grows slower than a power law with population size. To determine the complete critical behavior of the extinction transition, we establish a relation to fractional random walks, and we perform extensive Monte Carlo simulations.

DOI: [10.1103/PhysRevE.98.022112](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.98.022112)**I. INTRODUCTION**

Extinction transitions in spreading and growth processes have attracted great interest in both physics and biology. From a physics point of view, extinction transitions are prototypical nonequilibrium phase transitions between active (fluctuating) states and inactive (absorbing) states. Nonequilibrium phase transitions display cooperative behavior over large distances and long times analogous to phase transitions in thermodynamic equilibrium. Understanding and classifying the resulting critical behaviors has been a prime topic in statistical physics (for reviews, see, e.g., Refs. [1–4]). However, growth and extinction of biological populations have been studied scientifically for centuries, starting with the work of Euler and Bernoulli in the 18th Century [5,6]. Whereas early approaches relied on simple deterministic equations, recent models include fluctuations in space and time as well as features such as heterogeneity and mobility (see, e.g., Refs. [7–9]).

In the time evolution of a (model) population, several sources of noise, i.e., randomness, need to be distinguished. First, there is internal noise (also called demographic noise) that stems from the stochastic character of the population's time evolution itself. As this noise acts locally on each member of the population, it is averaged out for large populations and thus vanishes in the infinite-population limit. Second, there can be external noise, for example, due to random variations of the environmental conditions in time. In the language of statistical physics, such external noise corresponds to temporal disorder. Spatially extended populations can also be subject to spatial disorder, which is known to have dramatic effects on nonequilibrium phase transitions [10–13] but is not considered in this paper.

External (environmental) noise plays an important role for the dynamics of populations close to the extinction transition. In contrast to intrinsic (demographic) noise, external noise

leads to significant fluctuations of the population size with time even for very large populations. As a result, extinction becomes easier. Specifically, in the presence of (uncorrelated) external noise, the mean time to extinction increases only as a power of the population size whereas it grows exponentially for time-independent environments [14,15]. Effects of noise correlations (noise color) on these results have been controversially discussed in the literature (see, e.g., Ref. [9] and references therein).

Recently, Vojta and Hoyos [16,17] demonstrated that external noise leads to highly unusual critical behavior, dubbed infinite-noise criticality, at the extinction transitions of both the space-independent logistic evolution equation and the spatially extended contact process. At an infinite-noise critical point, the (relative) width of the population density distribution increases without limit with time, leading to enormous density fluctuations on long timescales. This implies that the density of a typical system decays much faster than the ensemble average of the density which is dominated by rare events. Infinite-noise critical behavior can be seen as counterpart of infinite-randomness critical behavior [18,19] in spatially disordered systems, but with exchanged roles of space and time.

In the present paper, we generalize the concept of infinite-noise criticality to the case of long-range (power-law) correlated external noise. We use the logistic evolution equation as the prime example, contrasting the cases of positively correlated (red) noise and anticorrelated (blue) noise. We find that positively correlated (red) external noise further enhances the infinite-noise characteristics. This means, the correlations accelerate the decay of a typical population but slow down the decay of the ensemble average at criticality. Moreover, the mean time to extinction of a population in the active, surviving phase grows slower than a power law with population size. Our paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we introduce

the logistic evolution equation with external noise (temporal disorder), and we describe the mapping onto a reflected random walk. We also summarize the results for uncorrelated disorder. In Sec. III, we develop the theory of infinite-noise criticality for power-law correlated noise. Sec. IV is devoted to extensive Monte-Carlo simulations. We conclude in Sec. V.

II. LOGISTIC EQUATION

A. Definition

The logistic equation is a prototypical model for growth and spreading processes in nature [20]. It reads

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} = -\mu\rho + \lambda\rho(1 - \rho), \quad (1)$$

where ρ , μ , and λ are the density of individuals, the death rate and the growth rate, respectively. If μ and λ are time-independent constants, the logistic equation can be solved exactly,

$$\rho^{-1} = \rho_0^{-1} e^{(\mu-\lambda)t} + \lambda \frac{e^{(\mu-\lambda)t} - 1}{\mu - \lambda}, \quad (2)$$

where ρ_0 is the initial density at time $t = 0$. In this solution, the population survives if $\lambda > \mu$ and dies out exponentially if $\lambda < \mu$. Between survival and extinction there is a critical point, at $\mu = \lambda$, where ρ decays as a power law, $\rho \sim t^{-1}$.

Temporal disorder can be introduced into the logistic equation by adding time-dependent noise $\Delta\mu$ and $\Delta\lambda$ to μ and λ . We consider noise that is constant during time intervals Δt_n ; our rates will thus have constant values $\mu_n = \mu + \Delta\mu_n$ and $\lambda_n = \lambda + \Delta\lambda_n$. The noise terms have zero mean, $[\Delta\lambda_n] = [\Delta\mu_n] = 0$, where $[\dots]$ denotes average over disorder configurations.

Correlations between different time intervals can be characterized by the correlation function

$$G_\phi(i, j) = [\phi_i \phi_j] - [\phi_i][\phi_j], \quad (3)$$

where ϕ refers to $\Delta\lambda$ or $\Delta\mu$.

In the present paper, we are particularly interested in long-range correlations that asymptotically decay as

$$G(i, j) \sim |i - j|^{-\gamma}, \quad (4)$$

with $0 < \gamma < 2$. Most of our simulations will make use of the fractional Gaussian noise [21] that has the correlation function

$$G_{\text{FBM}}(i, j) = \frac{\sigma^2}{2} [|t + 1|^{2-\gamma} - 2|t|^{2-\gamma} + |t - 1|^{2-\gamma}] \underset{t \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} t^{-\gamma}, \quad (5)$$

with $t = j - i$. The exponent γ [related to the Hurst exponent by $H = (2 - \gamma)/2$] controls the color of the noise: it is red when $\gamma < 1$ (positive correlations), blue if $\gamma > 1$ (negative correlations), and white at $\gamma = 1$ (uncorrelated). Figure 1 shows examples of the fractional Gaussian noise for different values of γ .

Alternatively, one could also use a generic power-law decay such as

$$G = \frac{1}{(1 + t^2)^{\gamma/2}}. \quad (6)$$




FIG. 1. Panels (a), (b), and (c) show examples of the fractional Gaussian noise $\Delta\mu_n$ for the superdiffusive ($\gamma < 1$), uncorrelated ($\gamma = 1$), and subdiffusive ($\gamma > 1$) regimes, respectively. To emphasize the differences, we show $\text{sgn}(\Delta\mu_n)$ in panels (d), (e), and (f). These plots show that positive correlations ($\gamma < 1$) make the noise less likely to alternate between positive and negative values, while negative correlations ($\gamma > 1$) cause the noise to alternate more frequently.

For $\gamma < 1$, this generic correlation function produces red noise just like the fractional Gaussian noise Eq. (5) because its Fourier transform $\tilde{G}(\omega)$ diverges for $\omega \rightarrow 0$. However, for $\gamma > 1$, $\tilde{G}(\omega)$ approaches a constant for $\omega \rightarrow 0$, i.e., the noise has a white component. This will become important in Sec. III A when we discuss the Harris criterion.

B. Mapping to random walk

The logistic equation can be solved exactly within each of the time intervals Δt_n , during which the rates are constant. Using the exact solution given in Eq. (2), we find the recursion relation

$$\rho_{n+1}^{-1} = \rho_n^{-1} a_n + c_n, \quad (7)$$

for the density ρ_n at the beginning of time interval Δt_n . Here, $a_n = \exp\{(\mu_n - \lambda_n)\Delta t_n\}$ and $c_n = (a_n - 1)\lambda_n/(\mu_n - \lambda_n)$.

Neglecting c_n for the moment, and defining $x_n = -\ln \rho_n$, this recursion can be mapped onto a random walk $x_{n+1} = x_n + \ln a_n$. The average $[\ln a_n] = [\Delta t_n(\mu_n - \lambda_n)]$ controls the bias of the walker, whose velocity is given by

$$v = (\mu - \lambda). \quad (8)$$

The velocity is directly related to the distance to criticality. When $v > 0$, $x = -\ln \rho$ increases, therefore ρ decreases exponentially. Thus, $v > 0$ represents the inactive phase. The exact opposite happens for $v < 0$, thus $v < 0$ is the active phase. The critical point is located at $v = 0$. The effect of c_n can be approximated by a reflecting wall at $x = 0$; c_n is only




FIG. 2. Density $\rho(t)$ for an individual noise configuration, plotted as $x = -\ln \rho$ vs. time interval n . The initial condition is $\rho_0 = 0.5$; the parameters are $\Delta t = 2$, $\lambda_n = 1$, and μ_n is an uncorrelated Gaussian random variable with average and variance both equal to 1.

important for larger densities and prevents the density to rise above $\rho = 1$.

The mapping onto a random walk is illustrated in Fig. 2. As we can see, x changes randomly with increasing time. While x changes linearly at each time interval, ρ goes through an exponential growth or decay.

C. Uncorrelated temporal disorder

In Ref. [16], Vojta and Hoyos studied the effects of uncorrelated temporal disorder on the logistic equation treating the external noise $\Delta\mu_n$ and $\Delta\lambda_n$ as uncorrelated random variables. Here, we briefly summarize their results for later comparison with the correlated case.

In the active phase and close to criticality, the average stationary density scales as $\rho_{st} = [e^{-x}] \sim |v|$, while the typical density behaves as $\ln \rho_{typ} = -[x] \sim 1/v$. The difference in the typical and average values stems from a singularity in the probability distribution $P(\rho)$: while ρ_{st} is dominated by rare events at $x = -\ln \rho = 0$, the leading contribution for ρ_{typ} comes from the singularity at small ρ .

At the critical point, $P(\rho)$ broadens without limit with increasing time, justifying the term infinite-noise criticality. The average and typical densities show different time dependencies. The average density decays as a power law [ρ] $\sim t^{-1/2}$, in contrast to the exponential decay for the typical density, $-\ln \rho_{typ} \sim t^{1/2}$.

In the inactive phase, the typical and the average densities both decay exponentially. Close to the critical point, $-\ln[\rho] \sim v^2 t$ and $-\ln \rho_{typ} \sim vt$. Moreover, the correlation time scales as $\xi_t \sim |v|^{-2}$.

The results for the average density and the correlation time can be compared with our expectations for critical phenomena. In the active phase $\rho_{st} \sim |v|^{\beta_{unc}}$, at the phase transition [ρ] $\sim t^{-\delta_{unc}}$, and in the inactive phase $\xi_t \sim |v|^{-\nu_{unc}}$. This comparison yields the exponents

$$\beta^{unc} = 1, \quad \delta^{unc} = 1/2 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu_{\parallel}^{unc} = 2, \quad (9)$$

for the (uncorrelated) infinite-noise critical point. They are different from the exponents of the clean logistic equation ($\beta^{clean} = 1$, $\delta^{clean} = 1$ and $\nu_{\parallel}^{clean} = 1$).

The lifetime τ of a population is the average time it takes to reach extinction. Starting from $\rho_0 > 0$, the logistic equation never truly reaches extinction ($\rho = 0$). Nonetheless, for a population of size N , τ can be estimated as the time it takes

ρ to fall below $1/N$. Through this definition, it was found that τ grows as $\tau \sim N^{a|v|}$ (a is a constant) in the active phase, $\tau \sim \ln^2 N$ at criticality, and $\tau \sim \ln N$ in the inactive phase. These results are in agreement with the notion of a temporal Griffiths phase caused by temporal disorder [22].

III. LOGISTIC EQUATION WITH CORRELATED TEMPORAL DISORDER: THEORY

A. Generalized Harris criterion

Before developing our theory, it is interesting to know if power-law correlated temporal disorder is expected to change the critical behavior. This can be ascertained via a generalization of the Harris criterion [23]. Following Ref. [24], a critical point should be stable against power-law correlated temporal disorder, if the correlation time exponent ν_{\parallel} fulfills $\gamma \nu_{\parallel} > 2$.

Starting from the clean logistic equation ($\nu_{\parallel}^{clean} = 1$), we see that any value of $\gamma < 2$ violates this criterion. We therefore expect the clean critical behavior to be destabilized for all our values of γ .

However, we could also ask if weak correlated disorder changes the critical behavior of the logistic equation with uncorrelated temporal disorder. Applying the same criterion with $\nu_{\parallel}^{unc} = 2$, we see that the power-law correlations should only change the critical behavior if $\gamma < 1$.

B. Reflected fractional Brownian motion

In Sec. IIB, we have seen that the time evolution of the logistic equation with temporal disorder can be mapped onto a random walk with a reflecting wall. In our case of long-range correlated disorder, the result of this mapping is a reflected fractional random walk (i.e., reflected fractional Brownian motion). Reflected fractional Brownian motion is an interesting problem itself; it was recently studied via large-scale Monte Carlo simulations [25]. Here, we briefly summarize the key results.

The probability distribution $P(x, t)$ of the position x of an unbiased walker at time t (if it started at $x = 0$ at $t = 0$) takes the scaling form

$$P(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sigma t^{(2-\gamma)/2}} Y\left(\frac{x}{\sigma t^{(2-\gamma)/2}}\right), \quad (10)$$

where σ is the width of the noise distribution. From this equation, we can see that the width of P increases as $t^{(2-\gamma)/2}$. As the walker is restricted to the positive x axis, its average position behaves accordingly,

$$[x] \sim t^{(2-\gamma)/2}. \quad (11)$$

This means the motion is superdiffusive for positive correlations ($\gamma < 1$), while subdiffusion occurs when the correlations are negative ($\gamma > 1$).

However, a surprising feature of reflected fractional Brownian motion is the non-Gaussian character of its probability distribution. $P(x, t)$ was found to be Gaussian in x for large x only. It features a power-law singularity close to the wall (for $x \rightarrow 0$),

$$Y(y) \sim y^{2/(2-\gamma)-2} \quad \text{or} \quad P(x, t) \sim \frac{x^{2/(2-\gamma)-2}}{t^{\gamma/2}}. \quad (12)$$

This means $P(x, t)$ diverges for $x \rightarrow 0$ in the superdiffusive case, while it goes to zero in the subdiffusive case.

C. Critical behavior

The results of the last subsection allow us to determine the critical behavior of the logistic equation with long-range correlated external noise.

From the anomalous diffusion law Eq. (11) and the singularity Eq. (12) close to the wall, we can evaluate the typical and average densities. The leading contribution to the average density $[\rho]$ is given by the singularity, therefore,

$$[\rho] = \int dx P(x, t) e^{-x} \sim t^{-\gamma/2}. \quad (13)$$

In contrast, the typical density $\rho_{\text{typ}} = e^{-[x]}$ decays much faster

$$\rho_{\text{typ}} = e^{-\int dx P(x, t)x} \sim e^{-Dt^\phi}, \quad (14)$$

where D is a constant and $\phi = (2 - \gamma)/2$.

The typical time for ρ to fall below $1/N$ is also the time it takes for x to reach $\ln N$. From Eq. (11), we therefore obtain the lifetime τ of a population of finite-size N at criticality as

$$\tau \sim (\ln N)^\eta, \quad (15)$$

with $\eta = 2/(2 - \gamma)$.

To derive the correlation time ξ_t , we analyze the time it takes for the displacement of the walker to significantly deviate from its critical counterpart. In the inactive phase, the walker simply walks to the right, far away from wall, meaning that the movement is ballistic, with speed $v = \mu - \lambda$ as in Eq. (8). This ballistic motion overcomes the anomalous diffusion Eq. (11) when $|v|t \sim t^{1-\gamma/2}$, therefore the correlation time follows

$$\xi_t \sim |v|^{-2/\gamma}. \quad (16)$$

The stationary density ρ_{st} in the active phase can be estimated from the density reached at time ξ_t . Combining Eqs. (13) and (16) we obtain

$$\rho_{\text{st}} \sim \xi_t^{-\gamma/2} \sim |v|. \quad (17)$$

The critical exponents can be easily extracted from Eqs. (13), (16), and (17), giving us

$$\delta = \gamma/2, \quad \nu_{\parallel} = 2/\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 1. \quad (18)$$

The theory therefore predicts that the critical exponents (except β) differ from the clean ones, in agreement with the generalized Harris criterion. Setting $\gamma = 1$ (uncorrelated or white noise), our predictions agree with the results reported for the logistic equation with uncorrelated temporal disorder [16] [see Eq. (9)]. Furthermore, our exponents obey the scaling relation $\delta = \beta/\nu_{\parallel}$.

The logistic equation does not contain a notion of space. However, imagining a d -dimensional space of N individuals, we can define a length scale $L \sim N^{1/d}$. According to Eq. (15), this length scale behaves as $\ln L \sim \tau^{(2-\gamma)/2}$ suggesting an exponential relation between correlation length and time,

$$\ln \xi \sim \xi_t^{(2-\gamma)/2}. \quad (19)$$

This emphasizes the infinite-noise character of the critical point, since the logarithmic relation Eq. (19) can be understood

as the temporal counterpart of activated scaling in spatial disordered systems [11,18,19]. The exponent $\omega = (2 - \gamma)/2$ is the temporal equivalent of the tunneling exponent ψ .

D. Temporal Griffiths phase

(Spatial) Griffiths phases in spreading and growth processes exist due to rare spatial regions that are locally active while the bulk system is inside the inactive phase [10,19]. In contrast, temporal Griffiths phases are observed in the active phase of temporally disordered systems [22]. Here, the rare regions are long time intervals that are locally on the inactive side of the extinction transition. Specifically, we are looking for rare time intervals in the active phase (having effective death rate above the critical point) that are strong enough to make the density fall below $1/N$ (causing extinction of a population of size N).

A time interval (rare region) of size T_{RR} has an effective death rate given by

$$\mu_{\text{RR}} = \frac{1}{T_{\text{RR}}} \sum_{i \in \text{RR}} \mu_i. \quad (20)$$

For extinction to occur its contribution to the density evolution should make ρ fall below $1/N$,

$$a_{i+1} a_{i+2} \dots a_{i+T_{\text{RR}}} = e^{(\lambda - \mu_{\text{RR}})T_{\text{RR}}} \sim 1/N. \quad (21)$$

Thus, the rare region size necessary for the population to become extinct is $T_{\text{RR}} \sim (\ln N)/(\mu_{\text{RR}} - \lambda)$.

Analogously to Ref. [26], the probability to find a rare region of size T_{RR} and effective death rate μ_{RR} is

$$P \sim \exp\{-AT_{\text{RR}}^\gamma\}, \quad (22)$$

where A is a constant. This means, for $\gamma < 1$, long rare regions are more likely than in the uncorrelated case. If we combine this equation with the rare region size, the lifetime τ , which is proportional to the inverse extinction probability, scales as

$$\tau \sim \exp\{C(\ln N)^\gamma\}, \quad (23)$$

Therefore, the lifetime increases more slowly than a power law in N for $\gamma < 1$. The constant $C = C(\mu)$ plays the role of the dynamical exponent of the temporal Griffiths phase. The dependence of C on μ does not directly follow from the above argument, but it can be found from scaling.

Given the simplicity of the logistic equation, there are only three relevant variables, time, distance from criticality, and the (artificially introduced) system size N , defined as a cutoff for the density, $\rho = 1/N$ (if ρ falls below $1/N$, a population of size N goes extinct). From the lifetime at criticality Eq. (15) and $(\ln N)^{-\gamma} \sim |v|^{2-\gamma}$ [which can be derived from Eqs. (17) and (19)], we can infer the scaling function

$$\tau = (\ln N)^{2/(2-\gamma)} \Phi[|v|^{(2-\gamma)} (\ln N)^\gamma]. \quad (24)$$

Comparing Eqs. (23) and (24), we get $\Phi(z) \sim \exp(C'z)$ (C' is a constant), therefore the lifetime in the temporal Griffiths phase should, more precisely, behave as

$$\tau \sim \exp\{C'|v|^{2-\gamma} (\ln N)^\gamma\}. \quad (25)$$

Moreover, setting $\gamma = 1$, we obtain the power law $\tau \sim N^{C'|\mu - \mu_c|}$, just as in the uncorrelated case.




FIG. 3. Average density ρ as a function of t close to the critical point for $\gamma = 1.5$. Below $\mu = \mu_c = 128$ the population survives by reaching a stationary density, whereas it decays if $\mu > \mu_c$. Between survival and extinction there is a critical point at $\mu = \mu_c$. The solid black line shows a power-law fit whose exponent 0.75(1) agrees with the one predicted by Eq. (13). The data are averages over 10^7 disorder configurations.

IV. MONTE CARLO SIMULATIONS

A. Overview

To test the predictions resulting from the mapping to the fractional random walk, we now perform Monte Carlo simulations by numerically iterating the recursion relation Eq. (7). The relevant simulation parameters are: the average rates μ and λ , the noise $\Delta\mu_n$ and $\Delta\lambda_n$, and Δt .

The recursion Eq. (7) is invariant under the simultaneous transformation of the rates and the time interval according to $\lambda_n \rightarrow M\lambda_n$, $\mu_n \rightarrow M\mu_n$, $\Delta t \rightarrow M^{-1}\Delta t$. We can use this freedom to choose numerically convenient parameters.

The average rates control the distance to criticality. We fix $\lambda = 128$ and tune the extinction transition by varying μ . The extinction transition occurs at $\mu = \mu_c = \lambda = 128$. $v = \mu - \mu_c > 0$ means we are in the inactive phase (bias of the walker is to the right). In contrast, $v = \mu - \mu_c < 0$ implies a bias toward the wall (located at $x = 0$), thus the system is in the active phase.

Concerning the temporal disorder, we set $\Delta\lambda = 0$. The noise terms $\Delta\mu_n$ of the death rates are Gaussian distributed numbers following the long-range correlation function Eq. (5).

The Gaussian correlated random numbers are generated by the Fourier filtering method [27]. Given the uncorrelated Gaussian random numbers v_n and the correlation function $G(n)$, we calculate their Fourier transforms \tilde{v}_n and $\tilde{G}(n)$. The correlated random numbers μ_n are given by the inverse Fourier transform of

$$\tilde{\mu}_n = \tilde{v}_n \{\tilde{G}(n)\}^{1/2}. \quad (26)$$

All simulations start from $\rho = 1$ ($x = 0$), and run up to 2^{26} ($\approx 6.7 \times 10^7$) iterations. Unless otherwise mentioned, we set the variance of $\Delta\mu_n$ to $\sigma^2 = 1$ and $\Delta t = 2$. With these parameters, the typical change of the density ρ over one time interval at criticality is approximately $e^{\pm\sigma\Delta t} = e^{\pm 2}$.




FIG. 4. Probability distribution P as function of $x = -\ln \rho$ at criticality and at different times t , using $\sigma = 1$ and $\Delta t = 2$. Panel (a) shows the singularity at $x = 0$ for $\gamma = 0.5$ (red noise or superdiffusive regime). In the double-logarithmic plot in panel (b), we can see that the singularity takes a power-law form. Panel (c) shows the uncorrelated case, $\gamma = 1$, where P follows the half Gaussian $2 \exp\{-x^2/(\sigma^2\Delta t^2)\}/\sqrt{2\pi\sigma\Delta t^2}$ (represented by the solid lines). Panel (d) displays this same data, but in a double-logarithmic scale. Panel (e) shows that the singularity is also present for $\gamma = 1.5$ (subdiffusive regime or blue noise), however, P gets depleted instead of diverging. Panel (f) displays the same data as in panel (e), however, in a double-logarithmic plot, again demonstrating the power-law behavior of the singularity. These simulations run up to $t = 2^{16}$ ($\approx 16 \times 10^3$) and average over 10^7 disorder realizations. Uncertainties are smaller than the symbol sizes.

B. Critical behavior

We first perform simulations near the critical point $\mu_c = \lambda = 128$ to check if the phase transition still exists in the presence of correlations. Figure 3 shows the average density ρ as a function of time for $\gamma = 1.5$. This plot verifies that the extinction transition exists at the expected μ_c ; for $\mu > \mu_c$ the population decays, while for $\mu < \mu_c$ it has a nonzero stationary density. Other values of γ leads to the same qualitative behavior near μ_c .




FIG. 5. (a) Typical density at criticality plotted as $[x] = -\ln \rho$ vs. time t for several γ . (b) Average density $[\rho]$ vs. time t . In both cases the curves follow power laws for more than two decades in time for all values of γ we have simulated. The inset, panel (c), compares $-\ln \rho_{\text{typ}}$ and $-\ln[\rho]$, for $\gamma = 1.5$. Each curve averages over 10^6 disorder configurations, making uncertainties about the size of the symbols.

Now we turn our attention to the critical probability distribution $P(x, t)$ of the logarithmic density $x = -\ln \rho$ at time t . Figure 4 displays the probability distribution as a function of x for several values of the correlation exponent γ .

In all cases, the probability distribution broadens without limit for $t \rightarrow \infty$, demonstrating the infinite-noise character of the critical point. Moreover, Fig. 4(a) shows that $P(x, t)$ develops a divergence for $x \rightarrow 0$ in the case of positive (persistent) correlations ($\gamma = 0.5$). The same data is plotted in a double-logarithmic scale in panel (b), revealing this singularity to be a power law. Figures 4(c) and 4(d) display simulations for the uncorrelated case ($\gamma = 1$) together with the half Gaussian, $P(x, t) = 2 \exp\{-x^2/(2\sigma^2 \Delta t^2 t)\} / \sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2 \Delta t^2 t}$, expected from the drift-diffusion equation with flux-free boundary condition at $x = 0$. Our simulation results for the uncorrelated case match very well with the half Gaussian. The power-law singularity is also verified in the case of negative correlations ($\gamma = 1.5$), as shown in Fig. 4(e) and Fig. 4(f). In this case, P gets depleted close to $x = 0$. These results are in very good agreement with the predictions of the reflected random walk theory.

After analyzing the probability distribution, we look for important implications: do average and typical density scale differently? Figure 5 shows our results for $[x] = -\ln \rho_{\text{typ}}$ [Fig. 5(a)] and $[\rho]$ [Fig. 5(b)] as functions of time, with γ ranging from 0.2 to 1.5.

The data in both panels are compatible with the expected power laws over two decades in time ($-\ln \rho_{\text{typ}} = [x] \sim t^\phi$ and $[\rho] \sim t^{-\delta}$). We can thus extract their exponents to compare




FIG. 6. Exponents δ and ϕ extracted from the data in Fig. 5. The solid and dashed (green and red) lines are our theoretical predictions $\phi = (2 - \gamma)/2$ and $\delta = \gamma/2$. All exponents agree with the predictions within the uncertainty bars that are smaller than the symbol size. The small deviations in the exponent ϕ for $\gamma \geq 1.3$ are also observed in fractional Brownian motion [25] and stem from corrections to scaling.

them with the values predicted by Eqs. (13) and (14). Figure 6 shows that the extracted exponents match our predictions, $\phi = (2 - \gamma)/2$ and $\delta = \gamma/2$, very well, although there are small deviations in the exponent ϕ due to strong corrections to scaling deep in the subdiffusive regime (γ approaches 2).

To finish our analysis of the properties at criticality, $\mu = \mu_c$, we run simulations for the lifetime τ of a population of size N . In Fig. 7(a) we plot τ vs. $\ln N$ for several values of γ . Our data follows Eq. (15) for almost two decades in τ , therefore we




FIG. 7. (a) Lifetime τ at the critical point as a function of the population size N for several γ using $\sigma = 2$. The expected behavior Eq. (15) corresponds to a straight line. (b) Effect of the disorder strength σ on the lifetime for $\gamma = 1.5$. (c) Exponents were determined by fitting the lifetime to $\tau \sim (\ln N)^\eta$. The uncertainties are smaller than the symbol size unless explicitly shown. The solid magenta line represents the prediction $\eta = 2/(2 - \gamma)$. Each curve is averaged over a number of disorder configurations that ranges from 10^4 to 10^5 .




FIG. 8. Off-critical simulations. Panel (a) displays $[\rho]$ as a function of time for $\gamma = 1.5$ and several μ . The stationary density ρ_{st} is obtained fitting a constant to the long time behavior of $[\rho]$. Representing the critical curve as $At^{-\delta}$, the dashed (green) and dash-dotted (red) lines show $1.9\rho_{crit}$ with one uncertainty in δ . The crossover time t_x (black circles) is evaluated as the average time between those curves and its uncertainty is the half width. In panels (b) and (c) we can see that ρ_{st} and t_x follow power laws in $|\mu - \mu_c|$ for several γ . In panel (b), uncertainties are much smaller than the symbol size. Uncertainties in panel (c) are of the order of the symbol size.

can proceed to extract the exponent. When performing the fits, we realized that changing the value of σ significantly changes the crossover to the asymptotic behavior. The crossover for small σ can be understood as the crossover from the clean logistic equation to the temporally disordered one, since $\sigma = 0$ represents absence of temporal disorder. This implies that for small σ , the system will follow the clean behavior at short times before crossing over to the asymptotic regime. This is illustrated in Fig. 7(b). For large σ , the asymptotic regime is only reached for large N because small populations can go extinct in a single time step.

To determine the optimal σ that leads to the fastest crossover, we took only fits with reduced $\chi^2 < 1.5$. Although the range of optimal σ seems to change slightly with γ , values of σ between 0.5 and 4 generally yield good results.

Repeating the analysis for several γ , we found the exponents shown in Fig. 7(c). The figure demonstrates that our measured exponents match very well with the prediction Eq. (15) $\eta = 2/(2 - \gamma)$.

C. Off-critical properties

In the last section we found the numerical results at criticality to be in perfect agreement with the predictions of the reflected fractional Brownian motion theory. Specifically, the exponent δ agrees with the prediction $\delta = \gamma/2$. To complete




FIG. 9. Critical exponents β and ν_{\parallel} extracted from the data in Fig. 8. Simulations for $\gamma < 1$ required times up to $2^{26} \approx 6.7 \times 10^7$ due the long crossover to the asymptotic behavior. All values agree with the theoretical predictions. The uncertainty in β is of the order of 10^{-2} .

the set of critical exponents, we analyze the stationary density ρ_{st} and the correlation time ξ_t to obtain β and ν_{\parallel} .

Figure 8(a) shows simulations close to the critical point. When $\mu < \mu_c$, $[\rho]$ reaches the stationary density ρ_{st} in the long-time limit. The stationary density is evaluated by fitting a constant to the density data at long times and then plotted in Fig. 8(b) versus $|\mu - \mu_c|$. As all values of ρ_{st} are very close to each other for different values of γ , correlations have only a small impact on the stationary density. The behavior of ρ_{st} versus $|\mu - \mu_c|$ is compatible with power laws and yields exponents that agree with $\beta = 1$, as is shown in Fig. 9.




FIG. 10. Lifetime τ vs. population size N plotted as $\ln \tau$ vs. $(\ln N)^\gamma$, such that straight lines indicates a behavior compatible with Eq. (23). For $\gamma = 1.5$ [panel (a)], straight lines can be fitted over almost three decades in time and for μ within 2σ of μ_c ($\sigma = 1$). However, for $\gamma = 0.5$, we see a smaller range in time and μ . The prefactor of $[\ln N]^\gamma$ in Eq. (23) was also evaluated and plotted in Fig. (11).




FIG. 11. Analysis of the prefactor C of Eq. (23), $\tau \sim \exp\{C(\ln N)^\gamma\}$. Panels (a) and (b) shows C extracted from the data in Fig. 10 vs. $|\mu - \mu_c|$. The prefactor C is expected to behave as a power law $C = C'|\mu - \mu_c|^{2-\gamma}$ [see Eq. (25)]. Panel (c) shows the prefactor exponent extracted from the data (blue circles) and our prediction $2 - \gamma$ (solid magenta line). Uncertainties are of the order of the symbol size if not shown.

As an estimate of the correlation time ξ_t , we take the time t_x at which the off-critical density equates 1.9 times the critical one. The power-law behavior of t_x versus $|\mu - \mu_c|$ can be observed in Fig. 8(c), and the extracted exponents $\nu_{||}$ are shown in Fig. 9. The exponents agree very well with the relation $\nu_{||} = 2/\gamma$ predicted in Eq. (16).

D. Temporal Griffiths phase

So far, all our simulation results are in agreement with the reflected fractional Brownian motion theory. What about the temporal Griffiths phase?

To observe the temporal Griffiths phase, we run simulations on the active side of the extinction transition ($\mu < \mu_c$), but with μ close enough to μ_c , such that there are extended time intervals with $\mu_n > \mu_c$. Specifically, we run simulations up to 2σ away from the critical point for a minimum chance of approximately 2.5% to get a value of μ_n in the inactive phase.

Figure 10 shows the lifetime as a function of the population size N plotted as $\ln \tau$ vs. $(\ln N)^\gamma$, such that the predicted behavior Eq. (25) will look like a straight line. For $\gamma = 1.5$ [Fig. 10(a)], our data for large N follow straight lines for almost three decades in τ . In contrast, for $\gamma = 0.5$, our plot shows a very long crossover to the behavior predicted by Eq. (25). This is illustrated in Fig. 10(b), where we can see straight lines for only about one and half decades in τ . The range of μ is also significantly smaller: while the data for $\gamma = 1.5$ display the expected behavior for μ up to 2σ away from μ_c , for $\gamma = 0.5$ the predicted behavior has a smaller range.




FIG. 12. Scaling collapse of the lifetime in the temporal Griffiths phase. To achieve this collapse we employed very high (unphysical) disorder $\sigma = 32$ and $\Delta t = 10^{12}$. The correction to scaling $\tau_0(\mu)$ was obtained adjusting $\tau_0(\mu) = B(\mu) \exp\{C[\ln N]^\gamma\}$ in every curve. The grey background is a plot of $\tau = D_1 e^{D_2 z}$ with the appropriate parameters.

The slow crossover can be understood as follows. Positive correlations ($\gamma < 1$) enhance the fluctuations, therefore it takes longer for the bias $v = \mu - \mu_c$ (8) to take over. This means that all off-critical properties, such as the stationary density, the crossover time t_x and the temporal Griffiths behavior [Figs. 8(b), 8(c), and 10], require longer simulation times to reach their true asymptotic behaviors. The exact opposite happens in the presence of negative correlations ($\gamma > 1$).

To further verify our theory, we can use the lifetime data to find the prefactor multiplying $[\ln N]^\gamma$ in Eq. (25) and compare it to $C'(\mu - \mu_c)^{2-\gamma}$. Figures 11(a) and 11(b) show the prefactor extracted from Fig. 10 for $\gamma = 1.5$ and $\gamma = 0.5$, respectively. The data follow power-law behavior in both cases. Deviations for small $|\mu - \mu_c|$ (for $\gamma = 0.5$) can be attributed to the slow crossover from the critical regime to the asymptotic behavior. Too far away from μ_c , rare regions becomes rarer, thus longer times are again required to achieve the true asymptotic behavior.

Following this argument, we discarded too small and large values of $|\mu - \mu_c|$. The exponent of the prefactor, shown in Fig. 11(c), follows the theoretical prediction $2 - \gamma$ for γ between 0.5 and 1.5.

Even though the prefactor has the expected behavior, we could not obtain a perfect scaling collapse as implied by Eq. (24). A nearly perfect scaling collapse can be obtained for very strong disorder $\sigma = 32$ and $\Delta t = 10^{12}$ [28], as shown in Fig. 12. This plot uses the functional form $\tau = \tau_0(\mu) \exp\{C'(\mu - \mu_c)^{2-\gamma} [\ln N]^\gamma\}$, where $\tau_0(\mu)$ acts as a correction to scaling.

All the above results were for the active phase. On the inactive side of the transition, the walker simply walks ballistically to the right, far away from the wall, regardless of its correlated steps. This way, we expect the lifetime to behave as in the uncorrelated case $\tau \sim \ln N$. We have numerically verified this behavior for $\gamma = 0.5$ and 1.5.

V. CONCLUSION

In summary, we have studied the effects of power-law correlated temporal disorder on the extinction transition of

the logistic equation. Temporal disorder (external noise) was introduced by making the death rate a random function of time, whose correlations decay as $t^{-\gamma}$.

The generalized Harris criterion predicts the critical point of the logistic equation to be destabilized in the presence of correlated temporal disorder. Two cases must be distinguished. First, we apply the generalized Harris criterion to the clean logistic equation. In this case, the criterion predicts the temporal disorder to destabilize the clean critical behavior for all $\gamma < 2$. Second, we apply the generalized Harris criterion to the logistic equation with uncorrelated temporal disorder. In this case, the correlations are only expected to be relevant if $\gamma < 1$. In other words, *if the system already contains uncorrelated (white) noise*, additional correlated noise will only change the behavior if the correlations fulfill $\gamma < 1$.

To develop a theory of the extinction transition, we have mapped our problem onto fractional Brownian motion with a reflecting wall. At the critical point, the reflected fractional random walk theory predicts that the probability distribution $P(x, t)$ of the logarithmic density $x = -\ln \rho$ broadens without limit with increasing time, in perfect agreement with the notion of infinite-noise criticality [16]. Moreover, $P(x, t)$ features a power-law singularity close to $x = 0$. As a result, the average density decays as a power law in time [Eq. (13)], while the typical density decays exponentially [Eq. (14)]. The lifetime of a finite-size population increases as a power of the logarithm of its size [Eq. (15)]. Compared to the uncorrelated case [16], positive (negative) power-law correlations, $\gamma < 1$ ($\gamma > 1$), results in a slower (faster) decay of the average density, a faster (slower) decay of the typical density, and a slower (faster) growth of the lifetime.

In the active phase, the lifetime grows more slowly than exponential with time, in perfect agreement with the notion of temporal Griffiths phases caused by temporal disorder [22]. More specifically, the lifetime of the population grows slower (faster) than a power of the population size [Eq. (25)] if the correlations are positive (negative).

All these predictions were confirmed by large scale Monte Carlo simulations, for times up to $2^{26} \approx 6.7 \times 10^7$.

Over the course of this paper, we have shown results for the fractional Gaussian noise with the correlation function Eq. (5). Does a generic power-law correlation function, such as Eq. (6), affect the critical point in the same way? As explained in Sec. II A, the generic correlation function Eq. (6) has a white (uncorrelated) component, therefore we expect the correlations to be relevant only for $\gamma < 1$. In this case, the critical behavior will be identical to that produced by the fractional Gaussian noise Eq. (5). If $\gamma > 1$, in contrast, the white component overwhelms the blue (anticorrelated) noise, hence the behavior is the same as for the uncorrelated case ($\gamma = 1$). We have numerically verified this behavior by performing simulations using Eq. (6) for $\gamma = 1.5, 0.8, 0.6$, and 0.4 . These results are

in agreement with the generalized Harris criterion discussed above.

It is interesting to compare the infinite-noise critical behavior induced by long-range correlated temporal disorder with the infinite-randomness behavior which is induced by long-range spatial disorder in the contact process [26]. For $\gamma < 1$ and close to the critical point, the correlation length ξ^{CP} of the 1d contact process with quenched correlated spatial disorder [26] scales as $\xi^{\text{CP}} \sim r^{-2/\gamma}$, where r is the distance from the critical point. At the critical point, $r = 0$, we have activated scaling $(\xi^{\text{CP}})^{(2-\gamma)/2} \sim \ln \xi^{\text{CP}}$. In the inactive phase, we observe the (spatial) Griffiths phase behavior $\rho \sim \exp\{-C^{\text{CP}} r^{2-\gamma} (\ln t)^\gamma\}$, where C^{CP} is a constant. These relations are the spatial counterparts of Eqs. (16), (19), and (25).

What about critical behavior of the stationary density (17)? As shown by Rieger and Iglói in Refs. [29,30], the average surface magnetization $[m_s]$ of the random transverse-field Ising model can be mapped onto a random walk. This mapping allows us to compare the critical behavior of $[m_s]$ with ρ_{st} . For $\gamma < 1$, Rieger and Iglói found that $[m_s]$ varies linearly with distance from criticality, in agreement with Eq. (17).

How generic is the infinite-noise critical behavior observed in the logistic equation with correlated temporal disorder? As the disorder only affects the linear growth and death rates, we expect our results to hold for all single-variable growth models with disorder in the linear rates and a non-linear term restricting the population growth. In contrast, if the leading growth and/or decay terms in the evolution equation are nonlinear in ρ , the time evolution of ρ in each of the time intervals will not be exponential, necessitating modifications to our theory.

In this work we have studied a model devoid of spatial structure. Nonetheless, spatial structure is also an important factor in the population dynamics. Therefore, we are currently investigating the effects of power-law correlated temporal disorder on the contact process by means of Monte Carlo simulations.

Extinction transitions (i.e., absorbing state phase transitions) have been observed in systems ranging from liquid crystals [31] and driven suspensions [32,33] to bacteria colonies [34,35]. Our theory describes the effects of external noise on such transitions. They could be studied, for example, by growing yeast or bacteria in well-mixed media under fluctuating environmental conditions.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was supported in part by the NSF under Grants No. PHY-1125915 and No. DMR-1506152 and by the São Paulo Research Foundation (FAPESP) under Grant No. 2017/08631-0. T.V. is grateful for the hospitality of the Kavli Institute for Theoretical Physics, Santa Barbara where part of the work was performed.

[1] J. Marro and R. Dickman, *Nonequilibrium Phase Transitions in Lattice Models* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999).

[2] H. Hinrichsen, *Adv. Phys.* **49**, 815 (2000).

[3] G. Odor, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **76**, 663 (2004).

- [4] M. Henkel, H. Hinrichsen, and S. Lübeck, *Non-equilibrium Phase Transitions. Vol 1: Absorbing Phase Transitions* (Springer, Dordrecht, 2008).
- [5] L. Euler, *Introductio in Analysin Infinitorum* (Lausannae, Switzerland, 1748).
- [6] D. Bernoulli, in *Mém. Math. Phys. Acad. Roy. Sci. Paris* (1760), pp. 1–45.
- [7] M. Bartlett, *Stochastic Population Models in Ecology and Epidemiology* (Wiley, New York, 1961).
- [8] T. Britton, *Math. Biosciences* **225**, 24 (2010).
- [9] O. Ovaskainen and B. Meerson, *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **25**, 643 (2010).
- [10] A. J. Noest, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 90 (1986).
- [11] J. Hooyberghs, F. Iglói, and C. Vanderzande, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **90**, 100601 (2003); J. A. Hoyos, *Phys. Rev. E* **78**, 032101 (2008).
- [12] T. Vojta and M. Dickison, *Phys. Rev. E* **72**, 036126 (2005); T. Vojta, A. Farquhar, and J. Mast, *ibid.* **79**, 011111 (2009); T. Vojta, *ibid.* **86**, 051137 (2012).
- [13] T. Vojta and M. Y. Lee, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 035701 (2006).
- [14] E. G. Leigh Jr., *J. Theor. Biol.* **90**, 213 (1981).
- [15] R. Lande, *Am. Nat.* **142**, 911 (1993).
- [16] T. Vojta and J. A. Hoyos, *Europhys. Lett.* **112**, 30002 (2015).
- [17] H. Barghathi, T. Vojta, and J. A. Hoyos, *Phys. Rev. E* **94**, 022111 (2016).
- [18] D. S. Fisher, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **69**, 534 (1992); *Phys. Rev. B* **51**, 6411 (1995).
- [19] T. Vojta, *J. Phys. A* **39**, R143 (2006).
- [20] P. Verhulst, *Corresp. Math. Phys.* **10**, 113 (1838).
- [21] H. Qian, Fractional brownian motion and fractional gaussian noise, in *Processes with Long-Range Correlations: Theory and Applications*, edited by G. Rangarajan and M. Ding (Springer, Berlin/Heidelberg, 2003), pp. 22–33.
- [22] F. Vazquez, J. A. Bonachela, C. López, and M. A. Muñoz, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **106**, 235702 (2011).
- [23] A. B. Harris, *J. Phys. C* **7**, 1671 (1974).
- [24] T. Vojta and R. Dickman, *Phys. Rev. E* **93**, 032143 (2016).
- [25] A. H. O. Wada and T. Vojta, *Phys. Rev. E* **97**, 020102 (2018).
- [26] A. K. Ibrahim, H. Barghathi, and T. Vojta, *Phys. Rev. E* **90**, 042132 (2014).
- [27] H. A. Makse, S. Havlin, M. Schwartz, and H. E. Stanley, *Phys. Rev. E* **53**, 5445 (1996).
- [28] It is worthwhile noting that this strong disorder is unphysical, because at each step the change in ρ should be of the order of $a_n = \exp\{\pm\sigma\Delta t\} = \exp\{\pm 32 \times 10^{12}\}$, which is clearly unrealistic for any population. Moreover, since we are doing simulations near $\mu_c = 128$, setting $\sigma = 32$ put us only 4σ away from $\mu < 0$. This allows negative μ (negative death rates) to appear in our simulations; they could be interpreted as a spontaneous creation of organisms.
- [29] F. Iglói and H. Rieger, *Phys. Rev. B* **57**, 11404 (1998).
- [30] H. Rieger and F. Iglói, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **83**, 3741 (1999).
- [31] K. A. Takeuchi, M. Kuroda, H. Chaté, and M. Sano, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **99**, 234503 (2007).
- [32] L. Corté, P. M. Chaikin, J. P. Gollub, and D. J. Pine, *Nat. Phys.* **4**, 420 (2008).
- [33] A. Franceschini, E. Filippidi, E. Guazzelli, and D. J. Pine, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 250603 (2011).
- [34] K. S. Korolev and D. R. Nelson, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **107**, 088103 (2011).
- [35] K. S. Korolev, J. B. Xavier, D. R. Nelson, and K. R. Foster, *Am. Nat.* **178**, 538 (2011).