

01 Aug 2008

## Link Path Design on a Block-by-Block Basis

Francesco de Paulis

Jun Fan

Missouri University of Science and Technology, jfan@mst.edu

Jay Diepenbrock

Samuel Connor

*et. al.* For a complete list of authors, see [https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ele\\_comeng\\_facwork/1703](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ele_comeng_facwork/1703)

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ele\\_comeng\\_facwork](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ele_comeng_facwork)



Part of the [Electrical and Computer Engineering Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

F. de Paulis et al., "Link Path Design on a Block-by-Block Basis," *Proceedings of the 2008 IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnetic Compatibility, 2008*, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), Aug 2008.

The definitive version is available at <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISEMC.2008.4652140>

This Article - Conference proceedings is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electrical and Computer Engineering Faculty Research & Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact [scholarsmine@mst.edu](mailto:scholarsmine@mst.edu).

# Link Path Design on a Block-by-Block Basis

Francesco de Paulis, Jun Fan  
EMCLab, Missouri University of  
Science and Technology,  
Rolla, MO, USA

Jay Diepenbrock, Bruce  
Archambeault, Samuel Connor  
IBM Corporation, USA  
RTP, NC, USA

Antonio Orlandi  
EMCLab, University of L'Aquila,  
L'Aquila, Italy

**Abstract**—In high-speed data communication systems, the complexity of link path between transmitters and receivers present a challenge for designers to maintain an acceptable bit error rate. An approach is presented in this paper to design the link path on a block-by-block basis. The unique advantage of this approach lies on the physics-based model of each block, which relates performance to geometry and makes design improvement and optimization possible. An example link path involving a backpanel is investigated using the approach. The via stubs and the dielectric materials in the backpanel are demonstrated to be critical factors for link performance in certain situations.

**Keywords**—link path design, block-by-block approach, via stub, backpanel

## I. INTRODUCTION

In high-speed communication systems, link path may involve many different components. Understanding the effects of each component is critical to design a link path that can meet the design specifications with a minimum cost and a high reliability. For example, in a backpanel application, high-speed signals need to be transferred between daughter cards through backpanel traces. The link involves the traces, vias, connectors, and dielectric materials in both the daughter cards and the backpanel. All these parameters, to some extent, affect the performance of the entire link.

Due to cost considerations, through-hole vias are used in most of the PCB designs to move signals from one signal layer to another. Depending on the signal-layer locations, these vias can present open-ended stubs, which may significantly affect signal transmission depending on the stub length, the capacitive loading, and the signal bit rate. The via-stub effects are more significant in backpanels since they are normally much thicker than daughter cards.

The dielectric loss is another issue that the designer needs to take into account in the backplane designs where the length of the signal traces can reach tens of inches. Using an exotic dielectric material may improve the performance. But cost may increase significantly. Quantification of the performance improvement is obviously necessary to make an educated engineering decision.

This paper presents an approach to design a link path on a block-by-block basis. In other words, the entire link is divided into multiple blocks, and the contribution of each block to the overall link performance such as eye diagram is investigated. In this approach, every block can be modeled with a physics-based equivalent circuit if needed, so that geometry is linked with circuit elements. This unique feature can relate a geometrical parameter to its effects on the overall link performance; thus improvement and optimization become intuitive. The approach is described in Section II. Obtaining S-parameters from physics-based models in an example backpanel link is illustrated in Section III. Section IV presents results and discussions.

## II. LINK PATH ANALYSIS

To analyze a link path on a block-by-block basis, the entire link path is divided into multiple blocks, and frequency-domain S-parameters are obtained for each block so the blocks can be easily cascaded in the frequency domain. A link path analysis tool has been developed to generate an output waveform in the format of an eye diagram based on the frequency-domain S-parameters and an input waveform. The tool can calculate the eye openings and jitter to quantify the performance of a link. The high-level structure of the tool is shown in Fig. 1.




Figure 1. Block Diagram of the link path analysis tool.

### A. S-Parameter Manager

The S-Parameter Manager allows the user to load up to 24 S-parameter data sets related to 2-port, 4-port, and 8-port



### A. Design Cases

Three different via transition designs in the backpanel, as illustrated in Fig. 4, are investigated:

1. the backpanel stripline is on S1 and the backpanel vias are through-hole vias;
2. the backpanel stripline is on S10 and the backpanel vias are through-hole vias;
3. the backpanel stripline is on S1 and the backpanel vias are blind vias.

In addition, one more design using a Rogers material in the backpanel is also studied:

4. the backpanel stripline is on S1, the backpanel vias are blind vias, and the backpanel material is a Rogers material with a low loss tangent (dielectric constant 3.8, loss tangent 0.008).




Figure 4. Design cases under investigation: (a) case 1; (b) case 2; and (c) cases 3 and 4.

Fig. 5 shows the  $|S_{21}|$  of the daughter card microstrip traces, as well as the backpanel stripline trace with the two different dielectric materials. The data shown in Fig. 5 come from VNA measurements.




Figure 5. The  $|S_{21}|$  for the microstrip and stripline traces.

### B. Backpanel Via Modeling

Every signal via shown in Fig. 4 is accompanied with two closely spaced ground vias, as shown in Fig. 6. The diameter of the vias is 23mils, and the diameter of the antipads is 48 mils.

The backpanel via geometry is modeled using a segmentation approach combined with a via-capacitance model and a plane-pair cavity model [4-5]. The entire backpanel geometry is divided into multiple blocks at the middle of every ground plane. Every block includes a pair of ground planes and portions of the signal and ground vias. The pair of the planes is modeled as a multi-port impedance matrix that is obtained using a cavity method [6], while the via portions are modeled with via-plane capacitances. Then, all the blocks are connected together by enforcing the current and voltage continuity conditions. The complete via circuit models are shown in Fig. 7.




Figure 6. Top view showing the position of the signal and the two ground vias.

The circuit models for the backpanel vias are analyzed and their S-parameters are obtained. Fig. 8 shows the transfer function  $|S_{21}|$ . As clearly shown, a resonance at 6.5 GHz is present in Case 1. It is due to the open stub generated by the unused portion of the signal via between the layer S1 and the bottom layer. At the same frequency the phase of the  $S_{21}$  does not have a linear trend, showing a phase jump instead, as shown in Fig 9. This behavior is completely absent in the responses of the other designs where the stub length is very short.

After the S-parameters for the backpanel via blocks are obtained, the 5 S-parameter blocks in Fig. 2 are cascaded together through the S-Parameter Manager, as shown in Fig. 10. The overall  $|S_{21}|$  results are shown in Fig. 11 for the four designs under investigation.






Figure 7. Geometry versus equivalent circuit model for: (a) Case 1; (b) Case 2; (c) Case 3 and 4.




Figure 8. Magnitude of the transfer function  $S_{21}$  for each backpanel via block.




Figure 9. Phase of the transfer function  $S_{21}$  for each backpanel via block.



Figure 10. S-Parameter Manager window for cascading the S-parameters.





Figure 11.  $|S_{21}|$  for the entire link path including traces.

#### IV. LINK PERFORMANCE


Eye diagrams are generated for the backpanel link path described in the previous section for a data rate of 5 Gb/s, and are shown in Fig. 12. Case 1 has the smallest eye opening and the largest jitter value due to the effect of the long stub present in the geometry, even though the fundamental frequency, 2.5GHz, is pretty far away from the stub resonance at approximately 6.5 GHz. In Case 2, signal flows through almost entire portion of the vias, leaving very short stubs. The performance of the entire link slightly improves over Case 1. Case 3 is further slightly better than Case 2, due to the fact that signal only travels a shorter distance in the vias and the stub length is very short as well. The best performance is

achieved in Case 4, where the dielectric loss is further reduced compared to Case 3.


It's worth mentioning that Cases 3 and 4 do incur more cost by introducing non-conventional manufacturing processes such as blind via or via back-drilling, as well as non-conventional board materials. In this particular case, since the performance improvement in Cases 3 and 4 is only marginal compared to Case 2, the optimal design choice could be Case 2.




(a)



(b)




(c)




(d)

Figure 12: Eye diagrams at 5Gbps. (a) Case 1; (b) Case 2; (c) Case 3; (d) Case 4.


If the data rate increases to 10 Gb/s, the fundamental frequency increases to 5GHz, which is close to the stub resonance. A second set of the eye diagrams are simulated and they are shown in Fig. 13.



(a)



(b)






Figure 13: Eye diagrams at 10Gbps. (a) Case 1; (b) Case 2; (c) Case 3; (d) Case 4.

The eye openings at 10Gbps are much smaller than those at 5Gbps because the channel attenuation is higher at the higher frequencies. In Case 1, the eye is completely closed, demonstrating that the effects of the via stub is much more significant at this data rate. Cases 2 and 3 are slightly better due to the shorter stubs. The eye diagram in Case 4 is widely open, providing the best performance among the four designs. At this data rate, the best choice might be Case 4 even it is the most expensive solution, if the requirements for link performance are relatively high.

## V. CONCLUSION

A design approach on a block-by-block basis is introduced in this paper to characterize high-speed link paths. It relates

geometry to performance, and has been shown to be very efficient for investigating the effects of every block on the entire link performance.

Via stubs in a thick backpanel can cause unintentional resonances that could significantly affect the transmission of high-speed signals. The dielectric loss can also play an important role in determining the high-frequency link performance. Engineering compromises shall be carefully made with the considerations of cost, performance, manufacturing easement, etc.

## REFERENCES

- [1] G. Antonini, A. Orlandi, V. Ricchiuti, "Causality Check for SI Data Validation", 9th IEEE Workshop on Signal Propagation on Interconnects, 10-13 May 2005.
- [2] R. Mandrekar, M. Swaminthan, "Delay Extraction from Frequency Domain Data for Causal Macro-modeling of Passive Networks" IEEE International Symposium on Circuits & System, May 2005
- [3] D. Saraswat, R. Achar, M. Nakhla, "Restoration of Passivity in S-parameter Data of Microwave Measurements", IEEE MTT-S International Microwave Symposium Digest, 12-17 June 2005.
- [4] C. Schuster, Y. Kwark, G. Selli, and P. Muthana, "Developing a 'physical' model for vias," *DesignCon 2006*, February 6-9, 2006, Santa Clara, CA.
- [5] G. Selli, C. Schuster, Y. H. Kwark, M. B. Ritter, and J. L. Drewniak, "Developing a physical model for vias – part II: coupled and grounded return vias," *Designcon 2007*, Santa Clara, 2007.
- [6] C. Wang, J. Mao, G. Selli, S. Luan, L. Zhang, J. Fan, D. Pommerenke, R. E. DuBroff, and J. L. Drewniak, "An efficient approach for power delivery network design with closed-form expressions for parasitic interconnect inductances," *IEEE Transactions on Advanced Packaging*, vol. 29, no. 2, pp. 320-334, May 2006.