

Missouri University of Science and Technology [Scholars' Mine](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/) 

[Electrical and Computer Engineering Faculty](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ele_comeng_facwork)

**Electrical and Computer Engineering** 

01 Jan 1993

# Artificial Intelligence Applied to Detection of Melanoma

Arve Kjoelen

Scott E. Umbaugh

William V. Stoecker Missouri University of Science and Technology, wvs@mst.edu

Randy Hays Moss Missouri University of Science and Technology, rhm@mst.edu

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ele\\_comeng\\_facwork](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ele_comeng_facwork?utm_source=scholarsmine.mst.edu%2Fele_comeng_facwork%2F1462&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

**C** Part of the Electrical and Computer Engineering Commons

## Recommended Citation

A. Kjoelen et al., "Artificial Intelligence Applied to Detection of Melanoma," Proceedings of the 15th Annual International Conference of the IEEE Engineering in Medicine and Biology Society, 1993, Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers (IEEE), Jan 1993.

The definitive version is available at <https://doi.org/10.1109/IEMBS.1993.978711>

This Article - Conference proceedings is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Electrical and Computer Engineering Faculty Research & Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact [scholarsmine@mst.edu](mailto:scholarsmine@mst.edu).

# **ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE APPLIED TO DETECTION OF MELANOMA**

*Arve* Kjoelen', **Scott E. Umbaugh', Randy H. Moss2, and William V.** Stoecker3 'Electrical Engineering Department. **200** University **Park, Southern** Illinois University at Edwardsville. Edwardsville, IL 62026. Departments **of** 2Electrical **Engineering** and 'Computer Science. University **of** Missouri-Rolla. Rolla. MO 65401.

### **Abstract**

The results of applying artificial intelligence methods to diagnose *skin* **tumors are** described. **The** 1st-Class Fusion automatic induction **software** [ 11 **was used** to classify tumors **based** on a **set** of 16 features extracted **from** each mar. Two hundred fity one skin tumor images were separated into a training set and a test set for **the** experiment.

diagnosed correctly **when sixty** percent of **the tumor** images were used for training. When *one* class **d tumors (the** dysplastic nevi) was excluded. **this success** ratio grew to **ninety**five percent. It was **determined** *that* **the** automatic induction software used primarily high-level features *such* **as area.**  asymmetry and **irregularity** to induce **the** classificatian rules. dthough some lower-level features **were also** used. This provides an indication as to which features should be emphasized or excluded in **future** experiments. Seventy percent of the malignant tumors in the test set were

#### **Introduction**

The **incidence** of **malignant melanoma** - **the deadliest** form of *skin* cancer - is now over 15 *times* **higher** than in **the** 1930s [21. Medical *costs* **am** *soaring,* **and** *skin* **biopsies** have becane the **most** frequently reimbursed **Medicare** procedure **[3].**  When **diagnosed** in time. melanoma is relatively easy to treat. **and** patients show survival rates near *one* hundred **percent [2].**  Automated diagnosis - if deemed feasible - may increase the chances *of* early **detection** and lower **the** cost *of unnecessary*  biopsies. **Computer** vision **methods have** shown **promise of**  good results when applied to the problem of *skin tumor* **diagnosis** in the past [4.5.6.7,81.

#### **Materials and Methods**

The images used in **this** research **were** digitized from **35**  mm color slides obtained from a private dermatology practice and from New York University. **The** digital images had spatial resolution *of 5* 12x5 12 pixels. had **a** brightness resolution of *256* levels **per** color plane, and **consisted** *of* three color planes (Red. **Green and Blue).** 

guage *on* SUN workstations **Nnning under the SunOS** 4.1.3 operating **system.**  The software **was** written in **the ANSI C programming lan-**

ity - a measure of **tbe** irregularity *of* **the** tumor **border [SI; Asymmetry** - a measure *of* **the** asymmetry *af* the tumor **141; Variance** *of* the **Red, Green** and Blue color **components** in the **tumors** - an indication of the tumor texture: The relative The features used in **this** experiment consisted *of: Irregular-* intensities **of** the **three** color components; Spherical coordi**nates** - **A** color representation developed for detection **of** variegated coloring in **skin tumors** [81; The coordinates of the **EIS** color transfom; Elevation; and Area.

Most of the image features were extracted **directly** from **raw** image data via **computer** vision **algorithms** developed specifically for **this** application [5.6.7.81. In the case **of** area **and** elevation, **the** features were estimated by a dermatologist by **inspection** of **the** slides.

The tumor images were separated into a training **and a** test **set.** which were randomly chosen. The **sizes of** these *sets*  were varied. Ten experiments were run for each combination **of training** and test set *sizes* to aSCertain tbe statistical validity *of* the results.

**Induction** is **the** process of producing a general classification algorithm from a set of specific examples [8]. The mechanism used in this **research** is based *on* **an** algorithm known **as**  ID3. **The** ID3 algorithm **is** the induction engine of **the** 1st-Class software [1], and operates by generating decision trees **based** *on* **the** input examples. These **decision** trees are coded **as rules** in **the** C programming language and incorporated *into*  the **software** devdoped to classify **the tumors.** 

#### **Results**

The success rates in diagnosing melanoma in the test tu" **are shown** in figure 1. Moderate **success was**  achieved. with seventy **percent of** the malignant tumors cor**rectly classified** when **the** size of the training set **was sixty percent** The standard deviation for this case **was less than**  five **percent.** and **is** also indicated in **the figure,** 

**For** the second **part** of the experiment, all **tumors that were**  dysplastic nevi were excluded. **The** first experiment was **then**  nepeated with features from the new set of 162 tumors. The success rates in diagnosing melanoma are summarized in fig*ure* **2. The success** rates **are** significantiy higher than for **the**  first **part** of the experiment. For a training set *size* of **sixty per**cent. **the success** rate for **diagnosing** melanoma **was** Over ninety-five **percent. The** standard deviation was **lower than** three percent.

## **Discussion**

**The** low standard deviation and the fact **that** the **success**  ratio increased monotonically with increasing training set size, indicate that the results **are** reliable. The increased accuracy for part two of **the** experiment **indicates that** the presence *af* dysplastic nevi confused the automatic induction mecha-



nism. This is important, because it means that the diagnosis ratio can be significantly improved if an effective method can be found to rule out the dysplastic nevi.

#### **Conclusions**

This research has shown that features extracted from skin tumor images by computer vision methods can be reliable discriminators of malignant tumors from benign ones. Reliability was demonstrated by the monotonically increasing success ratios with increasing training set size and the small standard deviations from the mean success rates. The presence or absence of dysplastic nevi in the training and test sets was shown to have a dramatic impact on the effectiveness of the generated classification rules.

#### References

1. Thomas, W.; Hapgood, W. 1st-Class Fusion. 1st-Class Expert Systems, Inc., Wayland, Mass; 1987.

2. Silverberg, E. Cancer statistics. CA 35: 19-35; 1985. 3. Stoecker, W.V. and Moss, R.H. Digital imaging in dermatology. Editorial, Computerized Medical Imaging and

Graphics. 16: 145-150; 1992.

4. Stoecker, W.V.; Li, W.W; Moss, R.H. Automatic detection of asymmetry in skin tumors. Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics. 16: 191-197; 1992. 5. Golston, J.E.; Stoecker, W.V.; Moss, R.H. Automatic detection of irregular borders in melanoma and other skin tumors. Computerized Medical Imaging and Graphics. 16: 199-203; 1992.

6. Umbaugh, S.E.; Moss, R.H.; Stoecker, W.V. Applying artificial intelligence to the identification of variegated coloring in skin tumors. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. 8(4): 43-52; 1989.

7. Umbaugh, S.E. Computer Vision in Medicine: Color metrics and image segmentation methods for skin cancer diagnosis. Ph.D. Dissertation. Electrical Engineering Department, University of Missouri - Rolla. UMI Dissertation Services. Ann Arbor, MI; 1990.

8. Umbaugh, S.E.; Moss, R.H.; Stoecker, W.V. Automatic color segmentation of images with application to detection of variegated coloring in skin tumors. IEEE Eng. Med. Biol. 10: 57-62; 1991.