

01 Jul 1994

## Critical Correlations and Susceptibilities in the Random-field Spherical Model

Thomas Vojta

Missouri University of Science and Technology, vojtat@mst.edu

Michael Schreiber

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/phys\\_facwork](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/phys_facwork)



Part of the [Physics Commons](#)

---

### Recommended Citation

T. Vojta and M. Schreiber, "Critical Correlations and Susceptibilities in the Random-field Spherical Model," *Physical Review B (Condensed Matter)*, vol. 50, no. 2, pp. 1272-1274, American Physical Society (APS), Jul 1994.

The definitive version is available at <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.50.1272>

This Article - Journal is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Physics Faculty Research & Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact [scholarsmine@mst.edu](mailto:scholarsmine@mst.edu).

## Critical correlations and susceptibilities in the random-field spherical model

Thomas Vojta and Michael Schreiber

*Institut für Physik, Technische Universität Chemnitz-Zwickau, Postfach 964, D-09009 Chemnitz, Federal Republic of Germany*

(Received 28 December 1993)

We investigate the behavior of the correlation function and the susceptibility of the random-field spherical model at the critical point. In particular we calculate the critical exponents  $\eta$  and  $\bar{\eta}$  describing the divergences of the susceptibility and its disconnected part, respectively. In the case of short-range interactions we obtain  $\eta = \bar{\eta} = 0$ . For power-law interactions  $U_{ij} \sim r_{ij}^{-\sigma}$  we find  $\eta = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta} = D + 2 - \sigma$  (for  $D < \sigma < D + 2$ ), where  $D$  is the spatial dimension. The Schwartz-Soffer exponent inequality  $\bar{\eta} \leq 2\eta$  is satisfied and becomes an equality independent of the functional form of the interaction.

The influence of a quenched random field on the critical behavior of ferromagnetic systems has been extensively studied since the first work on this subject by Imry and Ma<sup>1</sup> (for recent reviews, see Nattermann and Villain<sup>2</sup> and Belanger and Young<sup>3</sup>). While there is a general agreement about upper and lower critical dimensions of the different models, the critical behavior of random-field systems is still poorly understood, and in particular the critical exponents and their relations are now known. In 1985 Schwartz and Soffer<sup>4</sup> obtained an inequality relating the critical behavior of susceptibilities and correlation functions in a general random-field system. While the so-called disconnected part of the susceptibility [see Eq. (5) below] vanishes in systems without a field, it does not vanish in random-field systems. Precisely at the phase transition the disconnected part is expected to diverge even more strongly than the susceptibility itself. A corresponding exponent inequality  $\bar{\eta} \leq 2\eta$  relating the critical exponents  $\eta$  and  $\bar{\eta}$  of the susceptibility and its disconnected part, respectively, was also derived by Schwartz and Soffer.<sup>4</sup> It has further been suggested<sup>5</sup> that both inequalities should actually occur as equalities. This is supported by numerical calculations for the random-field Ising model.<sup>6-8</sup> Rigorous results, however, are not known up to now.

In this paper we investigate the validity of the Schwartz-Soffer inequality and the corresponding exponent inequality on the basis of the random-field spherical model, which is easier to deal with than the corresponding Ising model. The spherical model was first introduced by Berlin and Kac<sup>9</sup> as an approximation for the nearest-neighbor Ising model. Later, it was also used to investigate systems with power-law interactions.<sup>10</sup> The effect of a random field on the properties of the spherical model was first studied by Schwartz.<sup>11</sup> Hornreich and Schuster<sup>12</sup> calculated the thermodynamic properties of a random-field spherical model with short-range interactions, and Vojta<sup>13</sup> extended this study to the case of long-range power-law interactions.

The random-field spherical model consists of  $N = L^D$  dynamic variables  $S_i$  on the sites of a regular  $D$ -dimensional hypercubic lattice. The model has ferromagnetic pair interactions  $U_{ij}$  [which we take as translationally invariant, i.e.,  $U_{ij} = U(|r_i - r_j|)$ , for convenience] between the variables  $S_i$ . The quenched random field  $\varphi_i$  couples linearly to the dynamic variables. The Hamil-

tonian of the model is given by

$$H = \sum_i \varphi_i S_i + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} U_{ij} S_i S_j. \quad (1)$$

The values  $\varphi_i$  of the random potential are independent random variables with a Gaussian probability distribution

$$W(\varphi_i) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\Phi}} \exp\left[-\frac{\varphi_i^2}{2\Phi^2}\right]. \quad (2)$$

The dynamic variables  $S_i$  are continuous real variables ranging from  $-\infty$  to  $\infty$ . To make the model well defined and to avoid states with diverging energy, a constraint on the values of the variables (the spherical constraint) is added:

$$\sum_i S_i^2 = N/4. \quad (3)$$

Our choice of the numerical value  $N/4$  of this constant is influenced by the analogy of the model to the Coulomb glass model<sup>14</sup> and the respective analogy of the dynamic variables  $S_i$  and the occupation numbers  $n_i = \pm \frac{1}{2}$ .

The quantities we are interested in are the  $\mathbf{q}$ -dependent susceptibility

$$\chi(\mathbf{q}) = \langle S_{\mathbf{q}}^2 \rangle - \langle S_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle^2 \quad (4)$$

and its disconnected part

$$\chi^{\text{dis}}(\mathbf{q}) = \langle S_{\mathbf{q}} \rangle^2, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathbf{q}$  is the wave vector and  $S_{\mathbf{q}}$  is the Fourier transform of  $S_i$ . We note that we use a real version of the Fourier transformation which is appropriate for the spherical model.<sup>9</sup> The symbol  $\langle \cdot \rangle$  denotes the thermodynamic average. Precisely at the ferromagnetic transition the configurational averages of these quantities are expected to diverge as

$$\langle \chi(\mathbf{q}) \rangle_{\varphi} \sim |\mathbf{q}|^{-2+\eta} \quad (6)$$

and

$$\langle \chi^{\text{dis}}(\mathbf{q}) \rangle_{\varphi} \sim |\mathbf{q}|^{-4+\bar{\eta}}, \quad (7)$$

which defines the critical exponents  $\eta$  and  $\bar{\eta}$ .<sup>3</sup> Here  $\langle \cdot \rangle_{\varphi}$  denotes the average over the random fields.

The calculation of averaged quantities in random systems is a difficult task, and the usual way to overcome this difficulty is the replica trick.<sup>15</sup> However, in the case of the random-field spherical model, one can avoid the replica trick as was first noted by Schwartz<sup>11</sup> for a special case of the model.

The partition function for the random-field spherical model for a fixed configuration of the random potential is given by

$$Z = \prod_i \left[ \int dS_i \right] \delta \left[ \frac{N}{4} - \sum_i S_i^2 \right] \times \exp \left[ -\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i,j} U_{ij} S_i S_j - \beta \sum_i \varphi_i S_i \right], \quad (8)$$

where  $\beta$  is the inverse temperature  $\beta = (kT)^{-1}$ . Using the Fourier representation of the  $\delta$  function, the Gaussian integrals over the variables  $S_i$  may be carried out. A single integral over the variable  $z$  that stems from the Fourier representation of the  $\delta$  function remains,

$$Z = \frac{\pi^{N/2}}{2\pi i} \int dz e^{N\Psi(z)}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\Psi$  is given by

$$\Psi(z) = \frac{z}{4} - \frac{1}{2N} \text{Tr} \ln \underline{V} + \frac{\beta^2}{4N} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \underline{V}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\varphi}. \quad (10)$$

The matrix  $\underline{V}$  comprises the matrix elements  $V_{ij} = z\delta_{ij} + \beta U_{ij}/2$ . In the thermodynamic limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , the integral (9) can be calculated by means of the saddle-point method yielding

$$Z = \pi^{N/2} (2\pi N |\Psi''(z_0)|)^{-1/2} e^{N\Psi(z_0)}, \quad (11)$$

where  $\Psi''$  denotes the second derivative of  $\Psi$  with respect to  $z$ . The saddle-point equation

$$0 = \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{2N} \text{Tr} \underline{V}^{-1} - \frac{\beta^2}{4N} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \underline{V}^{-2} \boldsymbol{\varphi} \quad (12)$$

determines  $z_0$ . In principle, the saddle-point value  $z_0$  could depend on the special realization of the random potentials. However, a detailed analysis<sup>16</sup> shows that  $z_0$  is independent of the realization of the random potentials in the thermodynamic limit  $N \rightarrow \infty$ .

To determine the susceptibility (4) and its disconnected part (5), we have to calculate the thermodynamic averages  $\langle S_q \rangle$  and  $\langle S_q^2 \rangle$ , which are given by

$$\langle S_q^m \rangle = \frac{1}{Z} \prod_i \left[ \int dS_i \right] \delta \left[ \frac{N}{4} - \sum_i S_i^2 \right] S_q^m \times \exp \left[ -\frac{\beta}{2} \sum_{i,j} U_{ij} S_i S_j - \beta \sum_i \varphi_i S_i \right]. \quad (13)$$

The calculation of  $\langle S_q \rangle$  and  $\langle S_q^2 \rangle$  is completely analogous to the calculation of the partition function. After having carried out the saddle-point integration over  $z$ , almost all terms in the numerator and denominator cancel, and we obtain

$$\langle S_q \rangle = -\frac{\beta \varphi_q}{2\lambda_q} \quad (14)$$

and

$$\langle S_q^2 \rangle = \frac{1}{2\lambda_q} + \frac{\beta^2 \varphi_q^2}{4\lambda_q^2}, \quad (15)$$

with

$$\lambda_q = z_0 + \beta U_q / 2, \quad (16)$$

where  $U_q$  and  $\varphi_q$  are the Fourier transforms of the interaction and the particular realization of the random field, respectively. Equation (14) establishes a linear relation between the thermal averages of the variables  $S_q$  and the random field. We note that this relation was assumed to be valid in leading order in  $q$  by Schwartz and Soffer in their study<sup>5</sup> of critical correlations and susceptibilities. In the spherical model, this relation is a *rigorous result*. From Eqs. (14) and (15), we obtain

$$\langle \chi(\mathbf{q}) \rangle_\varphi = \frac{1}{2\lambda_q} \quad (17)$$

and

$$\langle \chi^{\text{dis}}(\mathbf{q}) \rangle_\varphi = \frac{\beta^2 \Phi^2}{4\lambda_q^2}. \quad (18)$$

The Schwartz-Soffer inequality<sup>5</sup> for the susceptibilities (4) and (5)

$$\beta^2 \Phi^2 \langle \chi(\mathbf{q}) \rangle_\varphi^2 \leq \chi^{\text{dis}}(\mathbf{q}) \quad (19)$$

is obviously satisfied as an equality independent of the special choice of the interaction  $U_{ij}$ .

In the following we calculate the critical exponents  $\eta$  and  $\bar{\eta}$ . To do this we study the behavior of  $\lambda_q$  for small  $|\mathbf{q}|$  precisely at the transition. In the case of short-range interactions we obtain  $\lambda_q \sim |\mathbf{q}|^2$ , which can be easily seen by expanding  $U_q$  in powers of  $q$ . We note that the term proportional to  $q^0$  vanishes at the transition, because  $z_0 = -\beta U_{q=0}/2$  as shown by Berlin and Kac.<sup>9</sup> Inserting this into Eq. (17) yields  $\langle \chi(\mathbf{q}) \rangle_\varphi \sim |\mathbf{q}|^{-2}$ , and therefore the critical exponent  $\eta$  in Eq. (6) is equal to zero. Analogously, we obtain  $\langle \chi^{\text{dis}}(\mathbf{q}) \rangle_\varphi \sim |\mathbf{q}|^{-4}$ , and the critical exponent  $\bar{\eta}$  in Eq. (7) is also equal to zero. If the model contains long-range power-law interactions  $U_{ij} \sim r_{ij}^{-\sigma}$  (with  $\sigma > D$  to ensure the convergence of the average energy per site), the asymptotic behavior of  $\lambda_q$  precisely at the transition is given<sup>13</sup> by  $\lambda_q \sim |\mathbf{q}|^{\sigma-D}$  for  $D < \sigma < D+2$ . Inserting this into Eqs. (17) and (18), we obtain  $\eta = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta} = D+2-\sigma$ . For  $\sigma > D+2$  the susceptibilities show the same behavior as for short-range interactions, i.e.,  $\eta = \bar{\eta} = 0$ .

In conclusion, we have studied the behavior of the susceptibility  $\chi(\mathbf{q})$  and its disconnected part  $\chi^{\text{dis}}(\mathbf{q})$  in the random-field spherical model. The Schwartz-Soffer inequality relating these two quantities is satisfied as an equality independent of the choice of the interaction  $U_{ij}$ . Consequently, the exponent inequality  $\bar{\eta} \leq 2\eta$  is also satisfied as an equality. We have calculated  $\eta$  and  $\bar{\eta}$  for the random-field spherical model with short-range in-

teractions as well as long-range power-law interactions. We have obtained  $\eta = \bar{\eta} = 0$  and  $\eta = \frac{1}{2}\bar{\eta} = D + 2 - \sigma$  for  $D < \sigma < D + 2$ , respectively. Since the spherical model is equivalent to the  $n \rightarrow \infty$  limit of the  $n$ -vector model,<sup>17</sup> it seems to be interesting to calculate corrections to these results by means of an  $1/n$  expansion starting from the spherical model.<sup>18</sup> This, however, remains a task of the

future.

*Note added in proof.* In a recent paper<sup>19</sup> Gofman *et al.* investigated the validity of the Schwartz-Soffer inequality in the random-field Ising model (RFIM) by means of extensive high-temperature series expansions. They provided evidence that the inequality is valid for the short-range RFIM in arbitrary dimension.

---

<sup>1</sup>Y. Imry and S.-K. Ma, Phys. Rev. Lett. **35**, 1399 (1975).

<sup>2</sup>T. Nattermann and J. Villain, Phase Transit. **11**, 817 (1988).

<sup>3</sup>D. P. Belanger and A. P. Young, J. Magn. Magn. Mater. **100**, 272 (1991).

<sup>4</sup>M. Schwartz and A. Soffer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2499 (1985).

<sup>5</sup>M. Schwartz and A. Soffer, Phys. Rev. B **33**, 2059 (1986).

<sup>6</sup>A. T. Ogielski, Phys. Rev. Lett. **57**, 1251 (1986).

<sup>7</sup>A. T. Ogielski and D. A. Huse, Phys. Rev. Lett. **56**, 1298 (1986).

<sup>8</sup>H. Rieger and A. P. Young, J. Phys. A **26**, 5279 (1993).

<sup>9</sup>T. H. Berlin and M. Kac, Phys. Rev. **86**, 821 (1952).

<sup>10</sup>G. S. Joyce, Phys. Rev. **146**, 349 (1966).

<sup>11</sup>M. Schwartz, Phys. Lett. **76A**, 408 (1980).

<sup>12</sup>R. M. Hornreich and H. G. Schuster, Phys. Rev. B **26**, 3929 (1982).

<sup>13</sup>T. Vojta, J. Phys. A **26**, 2883 (1993).

<sup>14</sup>A. L. Efros and B. I. Shklovskii, J. Phys. C **8**, L49 (1975).

<sup>15</sup>S. F. Edwards and P. W. Anderson, J. Phys. F **5**, 965 (1975).

<sup>16</sup>T. Vojta and M. Schreiber, Phys. Rev. B **49**, 7861 (1994).

<sup>17</sup>H. E. Stanley, Phys. Rev. **176**, 718 (1968).

<sup>18</sup>R. Abe, Prog. Theor. Phys. **49**, 113 (1973).

<sup>19</sup>M. Gofman *et al.*, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71**, 1569 (1993).