

1-1-2006

Multiple Lebesgue Integration on Time Scales

Gusein Sh. Guseinov

Martin Bohner

Missouri University of Science and Technology, bohner@mst.edu

Follow this and additional works at: https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/math_stat_facwork

 Part of the [Electrical and Computer Engineering Commons](#), [Mathematics Commons](#), and the [Statistics and Probability Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

G. S. Guseinov and M. Bohner, "Multiple Lebesgue Integration on Time Scales," *Advances in Difference Equations*, Springer Publishing Company, Jan 2006.

The definitive version is available at <https://doi.org/10.1155/ADE/2006/26391>

This Article - Journal is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Mathematics and Statistics Faculty Research & Creative Works by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

MULTIPLE LEBESGUE INTEGRATION ON TIME SCALES

MARTIN BOHNER AND GUSEIN SH. GUSEINOV

Received 26 January 2006; Revised 17 April 2006; Accepted 18 April 2006

We study the process of multiple Lebesgue integration on time scales. The relationship of the Riemann and the Lebesgue multiple integrals is investigated.

Copyright © 2006 M. Bohner and G. Sh. Guseinov. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

1. Introduction

Differential and integral calculus on time scales allows to develop a theory of dynamic equations in order to unify and extend the usual differential equations and difference equations. For single variable differential and integral calculus on time scales, we refer the reader to the textbooks [4, 5] and the references given therein. Multivariable calculus on time scales was developed by the authors [2, 3]. In [3], we presented the process of Riemann multiple delta (nabla and mixed types) integration on time scales. In the present paper, we introduce the definitions of Lebesgue multi-dimensional delta (nabla and mixed types) measures and integrals on time scales. A comparison of the Lebesgue multiple delta integral with the Riemann multiple delta integral is given.

Beside this introductory section, this paper consists of two sections. In Section 2, following [3], we give the Darboux definition of the Riemann multiple delta integral and present some needed facts connected to it. The main part of this paper is Section 3. There, a brief description of the Carathéodory construction of a Lebesgue measure in an abstract setting is given. Then the Lebesgue multi-dimensional delta measure on time scales is introduced and the Lebesgue delta measure of any single-point set is calculated. When we have a measure, integration theory is available according to the well-known general scheme of the Lebesgue integration process. Finally, we compare the Lebesgue multiple delta integral with the Riemann multiple delta integral. We indicate also a way to define, along with the Lebesgue multi-dimensional delta measure, the nabla and mixed types Lebesgue multi-dimensional measures on time scales.

2. Multiple Riemann integration

In this section, following [3], we give the definition of the multiple Riemann integral on time scales over arbitrary bounded regions as we will compare in the next section the Riemann integral with the Lebesgue integral introduced therein. For convenience, we present the exposition for functions of two independent variables.

Let \mathbb{T}_1 and \mathbb{T}_2 be two time scales. For $i = 1, 2$, let σ_i , ρ_i , and Δ_i denote the forward jump operator, the backward jump operator, and the delta differentiation operator, respectively, on \mathbb{T}_i . Suppose $a < b$ are points in \mathbb{T}_1 , $c < d$ are points in \mathbb{T}_2 , $[a, b)$ is the half-closed bounded interval in \mathbb{T}_1 , and $[c, d)$ is the half-closed bounded interval in \mathbb{T}_2 . Let us introduce a “rectangle” (or “delta rectangle”) in $\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ by

$$R = [a, b) \times [c, d) = \{(t, s) : t \in [a, b), s \in [c, d)\}. \quad (2.1)$$

First we define Riemann integrals over rectangles of the type given in (2.1). Let

$$\begin{aligned} \{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\} &\subset [a, b), \quad \text{where } a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b, \\ \{s_0, s_1, \dots, s_k\} &\subset [c, d), \quad \text{where } c = s_0 < s_1 < \dots < s_k = d. \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

The numbers n and k may be arbitrary positive integers. We call the collection of intervals

$$P_1 = \{[t_{i-1}, t_i) : 1 \leq i \leq n\} \quad (2.3)$$

a Δ -partition (or *delta partition*) of $[a, b)$ and denote the set of all Δ -partitions of $[a, b)$ by $\mathcal{P}([a, b))$. Similarly, the collection of intervals

$$P_2 = \{[s_{j-1}, s_j) : 1 \leq j \leq k\} \quad (2.4)$$

is called a Δ -partition of $[c, d)$ and the set of all Δ -partitions of $[c, d)$ is denoted by $\mathcal{P}([c, d))$. Let us set

$$R_{ij} = [t_{i-1}, t_i) \times [s_{j-1}, s_j), \quad \text{where } 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k. \quad (2.5)$$

We call the collection

$$P = \{R_{ij} : 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq k\} \quad (2.6)$$

a Δ -partition of R , generated by the Δ -partitions P_1 and P_2 of $[a, b)$ and $[c, d)$, respectively, and write $P = P_1 \times P_2$. The rectangles R_{ij} , $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$, are called the subrectangles of the partition P . The set of all Δ -partitions of R is denoted by $\mathcal{P}(R)$.

Let $f : R \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a bounded function. We set

$$M = \sup \{f(t, s) : (t, s) \in R\}, \quad m = \inf \{f(t, s) : (t, s) \in R\} \quad (2.7)$$

and for $1 \leq i \leq n$, $1 \leq j \leq k$,

$$M_{ij} = \sup \{f(t, s) : (t, s) \in R_{ij}\}, \quad m_{ij} = \inf \{f(t, s) : (t, s) \in R_{ij}\}. \quad (2.8)$$

The upper Darboux Δ -sum $U(f, P)$ and the lower Darboux Δ -sum $L(f, P)$ of f with respect to P are defined by

$$U(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k M_{ij}(t_i - t_{i-1})(s_j - s_{j-1}), \tag{2.9}$$

$$L(f, P) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k m_{ij}(t_i - t_{i-1})(s_j - s_{j-1}).$$

Note that

$$U(f, P) \leq \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^k M(t_i - t_{i-1})(s_j - s_{j-1}) = M(b - a)(d - c) \tag{2.10}$$

and likewise $L(f, P) \geq m(b - a)(d - c)$ so that

$$m(b - a)(d - c) \leq L(f, P) \leq U(f, P) \leq M(b - a)(d - c). \tag{2.11}$$

The upper Darboux Δ -integral $U(f)$ of f over R and the lower Darboux Δ -integral $L(f)$ of f over R are defined by

$$U(f) = \inf \{U(f, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}(R)\}, \quad L(f) = \sup \{L(f, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}(R)\}. \tag{2.12}$$

In view of (2.11), $U(f)$ and $L(f)$ are finite real numbers. It can be shown that $L(f) \leq U(f)$.

Definition 2.1. The function f is called Δ -integrable (or delta integrable) over R provided $L(f) = U(f)$. In this case, $\iint_R f(t, s) \Delta_1 t \Delta_2 s$ is used to denote this common value. This integral is called the Riemann Δ -integral.

We need the following auxiliary result. The proof can be found in [5, Lemma 5.7].

LEMMA 2.2. For every $\delta > 0$ there exists at least one partition $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ generated by a set

$$\{t_0, t_1, \dots, t_n\} \subset [a, b], \quad \text{where } a = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n = b, \tag{2.13}$$

such that for each $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ either

$$t_i - t_{i-1} \leq \delta \tag{2.14}$$

or

$$t_i - t_{i-1} > \delta, \quad \rho_1(t_i) = t_{i-1}. \tag{2.15}$$

Definition 2.3. The set of all $P_1 \in \mathcal{P}([a, b])$ that possess the property indicated in Lemma 2.2 is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_\delta([a, b])$. Similarly, $\mathcal{P}_\delta([c, d])$ is defined. Further, the set of all $P \in \mathcal{P}(R)$

4 Multiple Lebesgue integration on time scales

such that

$$P = P_1 \times P_2, \quad \text{where } P_1 \in \mathcal{P}_\delta([a, b]), P_2 \in \mathcal{P}_\delta([c, d]) \quad (2.16)$$

is denoted by $\mathcal{P}_\delta(R)$.

The following is a Cauchy criterion for Riemann delta integrability (see [3, Theorem 2.11]).

THEOREM 2.4. *A bounded function f on R is Δ -integrable if and only if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that*

$$P \in \mathcal{P}_\delta(R) \text{ implies } U(f, P) - L(f, P) < \varepsilon. \quad (2.17)$$

Remark 2.5. In the two-variable time scales case, four types of integrals can be defined:

- (i) $\Delta\Delta$ -integral over $[a, b] \times [c, d]$, which is introduced by using partitions consisting of subrectangles of the form $[\alpha, \beta] \times [\gamma, \delta]$;
- (ii) $\nabla\nabla$ -integral over $(a, b) \times (c, d)$, which is defined by using partitions consisting of subrectangles of the form $(\alpha, \beta) \times (\gamma, \delta)$;
- (iii) $\Delta\nabla$ -integral over $[a, b) \times (c, d]$, which is defined by using partitions consisting of subrectangles of the form $[\alpha, \beta) \times (\gamma, \delta]$;
- (iv) $\nabla\Delta$ -integral over $(a, b) \times [c, d]$, which is defined by using partitions consisting of subrectangles of the form $(\alpha, \beta) \times [\gamma, \delta]$.

For brevity the first integral we call simply a Δ -integral, and in this paper we are dealing mainly with such Δ -integrals.

For $i = 1, 2$ let us introduce the set \mathbb{T}_i^0 which is obtained from \mathbb{T}_i by removing a possible finite maximal point of \mathbb{T}_i , that is, if \mathbb{T}_i has a finite maximum t^* , then $\mathbb{T}_i^0 = \mathbb{T}_i \setminus \{t^*\}$, otherwise $\mathbb{T}_i^0 = \mathbb{T}_i$. Briefly we will write $\mathbb{T}_i^0 = \mathbb{T}_i \setminus \{\max \mathbb{T}_i\}$. Evidently, for every point $t \in \mathbb{T}_i^0$ there exists an interval of the form $[\alpha, \beta) \subset \mathbb{T}_i$ (with $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{T}_i$ and $\alpha < \beta$) that contains the point t .

Definition 2.6. Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \mathbb{T}_2^0$ be a bounded set and let f be a bounded function defined on the set E . Let $R = [a, b) \times [c, d) \subset \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ be a rectangle containing E (obviously such a rectangle R exists) and define F on R as follows:

$$F(t, s) = \begin{cases} f(t, s) & \text{if } (t, s) \in E, \\ 0 & \text{if } (t, s) \in R \setminus E. \end{cases} \quad (2.18)$$

Then f is said to be *Riemann Δ -integrable* over E if F is Riemann Δ -integrable over R in the sense of Definition 2.1, and

$$\iint_E f(t, s) \Delta_1 t \Delta_2 s = \iint_R F(t, s) \Delta_1 t \Delta_2 s. \quad (2.19)$$

If E is an arbitrary bounded subset of $\mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \mathbb{T}_2^0$, then even constant functions need not be Riemann Δ -integrable over E . In connection with this we introduce the so-called *Jordan Δ -measurable* subsets of $\mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \mathbb{T}_2^0$. The definition makes use of the Δ -boundary of

a set $E \subset \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$. First, we recall the definition of the usual boundary of a set as given in [3].

Definition 2.7. Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$. A point $x = (t, s) \in \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ is called a *boundary point* of E if every open (two-dimensional) ball $B(x; r) = \{y \in \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2 : d(x, y) < r\}$ of radius r and center x contains at least one point of E and at least one point of $(\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2) \setminus E$, where d is the usual Euclidean distance. The set of all boundary points of E is called the *boundary* of E and is denoted by ∂E .

Definition 2.8. Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$. A point $x = (t, s) \in \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ is called a Δ -*boundary point* of E if $x \in \mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \mathbb{T}_2^0$ and every rectangle of the form $[t, t'] \times [s, s'] \subset \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ with $t' \in \mathbb{T}_1$, $t' > t$, and $s' \in \mathbb{T}_2$, $s' > s$, contains at least one point of E and at least one point of $(\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2) \setminus E$. The set of all Δ -boundary points of E is called the Δ -*boundary* of E , and it is denoted by $\partial_\Delta E$.

Definition 2.9. A point $(t^0, s^0) \in \mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \mathbb{T}_2^0$ is called Δ -*dense* if every rectangle of the form $V = [t^0, t) \times [s^0, s) \subset \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ with $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$, $t > t^0$, and $s \in \mathbb{T}_2$, $s > s^0$, contains at least one point of $\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ distinct from (t^0, s^0) . Otherwise the point (t^0, s^0) is called Δ -*scattered*.

Note that in the single variable case Δ -dense points are precisely the right-dense points, and Δ -scattered points are precisely the right-scattered points. Also, a point $(t^0, s^0) \in \mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \mathbb{T}_2^0$ is Δ -dense if and only if at least one of t^0 and s^0 is right dense in \mathbb{T}_1 and \mathbb{T}_2 , respectively.

Obviously, each Δ -boundary point of E is a boundary point of E , but the converse is not necessarily true. Also, each Δ -boundary point of E must belong to $\mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \mathbb{T}_2^0$ and must be a Δ -dense point in $\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$.

Example 2.10. We consider the following examples.

- (i) For arbitrary time scales \mathbb{T}_1 and \mathbb{T}_2 , the rectangle of the form $E = [a, b) \times [c, d) \subset \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{T}_1$, $a < b$, and $c, d \in \mathbb{T}_2$, $c < d$, has no Δ -boundary point, that is, $\partial_\Delta E = \emptyset$.
- (ii) If $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{Z}$, then any set $E \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ has no boundary as well as no Δ -boundary points.
- (iii) Let $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{R}$ and $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}$ with $a < b$ and $c < d$. Let us set

$$E_1 = [a, b) \times [c, d), \quad E_2 = (a, b) \times (c, d), \quad E_3 = [a, b) \times [c, d). \quad (2.20)$$

Then all three rectangles E_1, E_2 , and E_3 have the boundary consisting of the union of all four sides of the rectangle. Moreover, $\partial_\Delta E_1$ is empty, $\partial_\Delta E_2$ consists of the union of all four sides of the rectangle E_2 , and $\partial_\Delta E_3$ consists of the union of the right and upper sides of E_3 .

- (iv) Let $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = [0, 1] \cup \{2\}$, where $[0, 1]$ is the real number interval, and let $E = [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$. Then the boundary ∂E of E consists of the union of the right and upper sides of the rectangle E whereas $\partial_\Delta E = \emptyset$.
- (v) Let $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = [0, 1] \cup \{(n+1)/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, where $[0, 1]$ is the real number interval, and let $E = [0, 1) \times [0, 1)$. Then the boundary ∂E as well as the Δ -boundary $\partial_\Delta E$ of E coincides with the union of the right and upper sides of E .

6 Multiple Lebesgue integration on time scales

Definition 2.11. Let $E \subset \mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \mathbb{T}_2^0$ be a bounded set and let $\partial_\Delta E$ be its Δ -boundary. Let $R = [a, b] \times [c, d]$ be a rectangle in $\mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$ such that $E \cup \partial_\Delta E \subset R$. Further, let $\mathcal{P}(R)$ denote the set of all Δ -partitions of R of type (2.5) and (2.6). For every $P \in \mathcal{P}(R)$ define $J_*(E, P)$ to be the sum of the areas (for a rectangle $V = [\alpha, \beta] \times [\gamma, \delta] \subset \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$, its “area” is the number $m(V) = (\beta - \alpha)(\delta - \gamma)$) of those subrectangles of P which are entirely contained in E , and let $J^*(E, P)$ be the sum of the areas of those subrectangles of P each of which contains at least one point of $E \cup \partial_\Delta E$. The numbers

$$J_*(E) = \sup \{J_*(E, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}(R)\}, \quad J^*(E) = \inf \{J^*(E, P) : P \in \mathcal{P}(R)\} \quad (2.21)$$

are called the (two-dimensional) *inner* and *outer Jordan Δ -measure* of E , respectively. The set E is said to be *Jordan Δ -measurable* if $J_*(E) = J^*(E)$, in which case this common value is called the *Jordan Δ -measure* of E , denoted by $J(E)$. The empty set is assumed to have Jordan Δ -measure zero.

It is easy to verify that $0 \leq J_*(E) \leq J^*(E)$ always and that

$$J^*(E) - J_*(E) = J^*(\partial_\Delta E). \quad (2.22)$$

Hence E is Jordan Δ -measurable if and only if its Δ -boundary $\partial_\Delta E$ has Jordan Δ -measure zero.

Note that every rectangle $R = [a, b] \times [c, d] \subset \mathbb{T}_1 \times \mathbb{T}_2$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{T}_1$, $a < b$, and $c, d \in \mathbb{T}_2$, $c < d$, is Jordan Δ -measurable with Jordan Δ -measure $J(R) = (b - a)(d - c)$. Indeed, it is easily seen that the Δ -boundary of R is empty, and therefore it has Jordan Δ -measure zero.

For each point $x = (t, s) \in \mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \mathbb{T}_2^0$, the single point set $\{x\}$ is Jordan Δ -measurable, and its Jordan measure is given by

$$J(\{x\}) = (\sigma_1(t) - t)(\sigma_2(s) - s) = \mu_1(t)\mu_2(s). \quad (2.23)$$

Example 2.12. Let $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{R}$), let $a < b$ be rational numbers in \mathbb{T}_1 , and let $c < d$ be rational numbers in \mathbb{T}_2 . Further, let $[a, b]$ be the interval in \mathbb{T}_1 , let $[c, d]$ be the interval in \mathbb{T}_2 , and let E be the set of all points of $[a, b] \times [c, d]$ with rational coordinates. Then E is not Jordan Δ -measurable. The inner Jordan Δ -measure of E is 0 (there is no nonempty Δ -rectangle entirely contained in E), while the outer Jordan Δ -measure of E is equal to $(\sigma_1(b) - a)(d - c)$. The Δ -boundary of E coincides with E itself.

If $E \subset \mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \mathbb{T}_2^0$ is any bounded Jordan Δ -measurable set, then the integral $\iint_E 1 \Delta_1 t \Delta_2 s$ exists, and we have

$$\iint_E 1 \Delta_1 t \Delta_2 s = J(E). \quad (2.24)$$

In the case $\mathbb{T}_1 = \mathbb{T}_2 = \mathbb{Z}$, for any bounded set $E \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\partial_\Delta E = \emptyset$, and therefore E is Jordan Δ -measurable. In this case, for any function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\iint_E f(t,s)\Delta_1 t \Delta_2 s = \sum_{(t,s) \in E} f(t,s), \tag{2.25}$$

and the Jordan Δ -measure of E coincides with the number of points of E .

Remark 2.13. Suppose that \mathbb{T}_1 has a finite maximum $\tau_0^{(1)}$. Since by definition $\sigma_1(\tau_0^{(1)}) = \tau_0^{(1)}$, it is reasonable in view of (2.23) to assume that $J(\{x\}) = 0$ for any point x of the form $x = (\tau_0^{(1)}, s)$, where $s \in \mathbb{T}_2$. Also, if \mathbb{T}_2 has a finite maximum $\tau_0^{(2)}$, then we can assume that $J(\{y\}) = 0$ for any point y of the form $y = (t, \tau_0^{(2)})$, where $t \in \mathbb{T}_1$.

3. Multiple Lebesgue integration

First, for the convenience of the reader, we briefly describe the Carathéodory construction of a Lebesgue measure in an abstract setting (see [1, 6–8]). Let X be an arbitrary set. A collection (family) \mathcal{S} of subsets of X is called a *semiring* if

- (i) $\emptyset \in \mathcal{S}$;
- (ii) $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$, then $A \cap B \in \mathcal{S}$;
- (iii) $A, B \in \mathcal{S}$ and $B \subset A$, then $A \setminus B$ can be represented as a finite union

$$A \setminus B = \bigcup_{k=1}^n C_k \tag{3.1}$$

of pairwise disjoint sets $C_k \in \mathcal{S}$.

A (set) function $m : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ whose domain is \mathcal{S} and whose values belong to the extended real half-line $[0, \infty]$ is said to be a *measure* on \mathcal{S} if

- (i) $m(\emptyset) = 0$;
- (ii) m is (finitely) additive in the sense that if $A \in \mathcal{S}$ such that $A = \cup_{i=1}^n A_i$, where $A_1, \dots, A_n \in \mathcal{S}$ are pairwise disjoint, then

$$m(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n m(A_i). \tag{3.2}$$

A measure m with domain of definition \mathcal{S} is said to be *countably additive* (or σ -*additive*) if, for every sequence $\{A_i\}$ of disjoint sets in \mathcal{S} whose union is also in \mathcal{S} , we have

$$m\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} m(A_i). \tag{3.3}$$

Let $\mathcal{P}(X)$ be the collection of all subsets of X , \mathcal{S} a semiring of subsets of X , and $m : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ a σ -additive measure of \mathcal{S} . Define the set function

$$m^* : \mathcal{P}(X) \longrightarrow [0, \infty] \tag{3.4}$$

8 Multiple Lebesgue integration on time scales

as follows. Let E be any subset of X . If there exists at least one finite or countable system of sets $V_i \in \mathcal{S}$, $i = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $E \subset \cup_i V_i$, then we put

$$m^*(E) = \inf \sum_i m(V_i), \quad (3.5)$$

where the infimum is taken over all coverings of E by a finite or countable system of sets $V_i \in \mathcal{S}$. If there is no such covering of E , then we put $m^*(E) = \infty$. The set function m^* defined above is called an *outer measure* on $\mathcal{P}(X)$ (or on X) generated by the pair (\mathcal{S}, m) . The outer measure m^* is defined for each $E \subset X$, however, it cannot be taken as a measure on X because it is not additive in general. In order to guarantee the additivity of m^* we must restrict m^* to the collection of the so-called measurable subsets of X . A subset A of X is said to be m^* -*measurable* (or measurable with respect to m^*) if

$$m^*(E) = m^*(E \cap A) + m^*(E \cap A^C), \quad E \subset X, \quad (3.6)$$

where $A^C = X \setminus A$ denotes the complement of the set A . A fundamental fact of measure theory is that (see [6, Theorem 1.3.4]) the family $\mathbb{M}(m^*)$ of all m^* -measurable subsets of X is a σ -algebra (i.e., $\mathbb{M}(m^*)$ contains X and is closed under the formation of countable unions and of complements) and the restriction of m^* to $\mathbb{M}(m^*)$, which we denote by μ , is a σ -additive measure on $\mathbb{M}(m^*)$. We have $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{M}(m^*)$ and $\mu(V) = m(V)$ for each $V \in \mathcal{S}$. The measure μ is called the Carathéodory extension of the original measure m defined on the semiring \mathcal{S} . The measure μ obtained in this way is also called the *Lebesgue measure* on X generated by the pair (\mathcal{S}, m) . Note that the main difference between the Jordan and Lebesgue-Carathéodory constructions of measure of a set is that the Jordan construction makes use only of finite coverings of the set by some “elementary” sets whereas the Lebesgue-Carathéodory construction together with the finite coverings admits countable coverings as well. Due to this fact the notion of the Lebesgue measure generalizes the notion of the Jordan measure.

Passing now on to time scales, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be fixed. For each $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, let \mathbb{T}_i denote a time scale and let σ_i , ρ_i , and Δ_i denote the forward jump operator, the backward jump operator, and the delta differentiation operator on \mathbb{T}_i , respectively. Let us set

$$\Lambda^n = \mathbb{T}_1 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{T}_n = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) : t_i \in \mathbb{T}_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}. \quad (3.7)$$

We call Λ^n an *n-dimensional time scale*. The set Λ^n is a complete metric space with the metric d defined by

$$d(t, s) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n |t_i - s_i|^2 \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } t, s \in \Lambda^n. \quad (3.8)$$

Denote by \mathcal{F} the collection of all rectangular parallelepipeds in Λ^n of the form

$$V = [a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times [a_n, b_n] = \{t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \Lambda^n : a_i \leq t_i < b_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\} \quad (3.9)$$

with $a = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$, $b = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \Lambda^n$, and $a_i \leq b_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$. If $a_i = b_i$ for some values of i , then V is understood to be the empty set. We will call V also an

(n -dimensional) left-closed and right-open *interval* in Λ^n and denote it by $[a, b)$. Let $m : \mathcal{F} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the set function that assigns to each parallelepiped $V = [a, b)$ its volume:

$$m(V) = \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i). \tag{3.10}$$

Then it is not difficult to verify that \mathcal{F} is a semiring of subsets of Λ^n and m is a σ -additive measure on \mathcal{F} . By μ_Δ we denote the Carathéodory extension of the measure m defined on the semiring \mathcal{F} and call μ_Δ the *Lebesgue Δ -measure* on Λ^n . The m^* -measurable subsets of Λ^n will be called *Δ -measurable sets* and m^* -measurable functions will be called *Δ -measurable functions*.

THEOREM 3.1. *Let $\mathbb{T}_i^0 = \mathbb{T}_i \setminus \{\max \mathbb{T}_i\}$. For each point*

$$t = (t_1, \dots, t_n) \in \mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \dots \times \mathbb{T}_n^0 \tag{3.11}$$

the single-point set $\{t\}$ is Δ -measurable, and its Δ -measure is given by

$$\mu_\Delta(\{t\}) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i(t_i) - t_i) = \prod_{i=1}^n \mu_i(t_i). \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. If $t_i < \sigma_i(t_i)$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, then

$$\{t\} = [t_1, \sigma_1(t_1)) \times \dots \times [t_n, \sigma_n(t_n)) \in \mathcal{F}. \tag{3.13}$$

Therefore $\{t\}$ is Δ -measurable and

$$\mu_\Delta(\{t\}) = m([t_1, \sigma_1(t_1)) \times \dots \times [t_n, \sigma_n(t_n))) = \prod_{i=1}^n (\sigma_i(t_i) - t_i), \tag{3.14}$$

which is the desired result. Further consider the case when $t_i = \sigma_i(t_i)$ for some values of $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $t_i < \sigma_i(t_i)$ for the other values of i . To illustrate the proof, suppose $t_i = \sigma_i(t_i)$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$ and $t_n < \sigma_n(t_n)$. In this case for each $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ there exists a decreasing sequence $\{t_i^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of points of \mathbb{T}_i such that $t_i^{(k)} > t_i$ and $t_i^{(k)} \rightarrow t_i$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Consider the parallelepipeds in Λ^n ,

$$V^{(k)} = [t_1, t_1^{(k)}) \times \dots \times [t_{n-1}, t_{n-1}^{(k)}) \times [t_n, \sigma_n(t_n)) \quad \text{for } k \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{3.15}$$

Then

$$V^{(1)} \supset V^{(2)} \supset \dots, \quad \{t\} = \bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} V^{(k)}. \tag{3.16}$$

Hence $\{t\}$ is Δ -measurable as a countable intersection of Δ -measurable sets, and by the

continuity property of the σ -additive measure μ_Δ , we have

$$\mu_\Delta(\{t\}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_\Delta(V^{(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (t_1^{(k)} - t_1) \cdots (t_{n-1}^{(k)} - t_{n-1}) (\sigma_n(t_n) - t_n) = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

and so (3.12) holds in this case as well. \square

Example 3.2. In the case $\mathbb{T}_1 = \cdots = \mathbb{T}_n = \mathbb{R}$, the measure μ_Δ coincides with the ordinary Lebesgue measure on \mathbb{R}^n . In the case $\mathbb{T}_1 = \cdots = \mathbb{T}_n = \mathbb{Z}$, for any $E \subset \mathbb{Z}^n$, $\mu_\Delta(E)$ coincides with the number of points of the set E .

Example 3.3. The set E given above in Example 2.12 is Lebesgue Δ -measurable and its (two-dimensional) Lebesgue Δ -measure is equal to zero.

Having the σ -additive measure μ_Δ on Λ^n , we possess the corresponding integration theory for functions $f : E \subset \Lambda^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, according to the general Lebesgue integration theory (see, e.g., [6]). The Lebesgue integral associated with the measure μ_Δ on Λ^n is called the *Lebesgue Δ -integral*. For a Δ -measurable set $E \subset \Lambda^n$ and a Δ -measurable function $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, the corresponding Δ -integral of f over E will be denoted by

$$\int_E f(t_1, \dots, t_n) \Delta_1 t_1 \cdots \Delta_n t_n, \quad \int_E f(t) \Delta t, \quad \text{or} \quad \int_E f d\mu_\Delta. \quad (3.18)$$

So all theorems of the general Lebesgue integration theory, including the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, hold also for Lebesgue Δ -integrals on Λ^n . Finally, we compare the Lebesgue Δ -integral with the Riemann Δ -integral.

THEOREM 3.4. *Let $V = [a, b]$ be a rectangular parallelepiped in Λ^n of the form (3.9) and let f be a bounded real-valued function on V . If f is Riemann Δ -integrable over V , then f is Lebesgue Δ -integrable over V , and*

$$R \int_V f(t) \Delta t = L \int_V f(t) \Delta t, \quad (3.19)$$

where R and L indicate the Riemann and Lebesgue Δ -integrals, respectively.

Proof. Suppose that f is Riemann Δ -integrable over $V = [a, b]$. Then, by Theorem 2.4, for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we can choose $\delta_k > 0$ (with $\delta_k \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$) and a Δ -partition $P^{(k)} = \{R_i^{(k)}\}_{i=1}^{N(k)}$ of V such that $P^{(k)} \in \mathcal{P}_{\delta_k}(V)$ and $U(f, P^{(k)}) - L(f, P^{(k)}) < 1/k$. Hence

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L(f, P^{(k)}) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} U(f, P^{(k)}) = R \int_V f(t) \Delta t. \quad (3.20)$$

By replacing the partitions $P^{(k)}$ with finer partitions if necessary, we can assume that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the partition $P^{(k+1)}$ is a refinement of the partition $P^{(k)}$. Let us set

$$m_i^{(k)} = \inf \{f(t) : t \in R_i^{(k)}\}, \quad M_i^{(k)} = \sup \{f(t) : t \in R_i^{(k)}\} \quad (3.21)$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, N(k)$, and define sequences $\{m_i^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ and $\{M_i^{(k)}\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ of functions on V by letting

$$\varphi_k(t) \equiv m_i^{(k)}, \quad \phi_k(t) \equiv M_i^{(k)} \quad \text{for } t \in R_i^{(k)}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq N(k). \quad (3.22)$$

Then $\{\varphi_k\}$ is a nondecreasing and $\{\phi_k\}$ is a nonincreasing sequence of *simple* Δ -measurable functions. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\varphi_k \leq \varphi_{k+1}, \quad \phi_k \geq \phi_{k+1}, \quad (3.23)$$

$$\varphi_k \leq f \leq \phi_k, \quad (3.24)$$

$$L \int_V \varphi_k(t) \Delta t = L(f, P^{(k)}), \quad L \int_V \phi_k(t) \Delta t = U(f, P^{(k)}). \quad (3.25)$$

Since f is bounded, the sequences $\{\varphi_k\}$ and $\{\phi_k\}$ are bounded by (3.21) and (3.22). Additionally taking the monotonicity (3.23) into account, we conclude that the limit functions

$$\varphi(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \varphi_k(t), \quad \phi(t) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \phi_k(t) \quad \text{for } t \in V \quad (3.26)$$

exist and that

$$\varphi(t) \leq f(t) \leq \phi(t), \quad t \in V. \quad (3.27)$$

The functions φ and ϕ are Δ -measurable as limits (3.26) of the Δ -measurable functions φ_k and ϕ_k , respectively. Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem implies that φ and ϕ are Lebesgue Δ -integrable over V and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L \int_V \varphi_k(t) \Delta t = L \int_V \varphi(t) \Delta t, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} L \int_V \phi_k(t) \Delta t = L \int_V \phi(t) \Delta t. \quad (3.28)$$

Therefore passing on to the limit in (3.25) as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and taking (3.20) into account, we obtain

$$L \int_V \varphi(t) \Delta t = L \int_V \phi(t) \Delta t = R \int_V f(t) \Delta t. \quad (3.29)$$

From (3.29) we find

$$L \int_V [\phi(t) - \varphi(t)] \Delta t = 0. \quad (3.30)$$

Since in addition $\phi(t) - \varphi(t) \geq 0$ for all $t \in V$, (3.30) implies

$$\varphi(t) = \phi(t) \quad \Delta\text{-almost everywhere on } V. \quad (3.31)$$

So (3.27) and (3.31) show that $f(t) = \varphi(t)$ Δ -almost everywhere on V . It follows that f is Lebesgue Δ -integrable together with φ and that the Lebesgue Δ -integrals of f and φ over V coincide. Now from (3.29) we get that the Lebesgue Δ -integral of f over V coincides with the Riemann Δ -integral of f over V . \square

Remark 3.5. Let E be a bounded Jordan Δ -measurable set in $\mathbb{T}_1^0 \times \cdots \times \mathbb{T}_n^0$ and $f : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a bounded function. Using Definition 2.6 and Theorem 3.4 we get that if f is Riemann Δ -integrable over E , then f is Lebesgue Δ -integrable over E , and the values of the integrals coincide.

12 Multiple Lebesgue integration on time scales

Remark 3.6. We can define Lebesgue nabra measure (and hence Lebesgue nabra integral) on Λ^n by replacing the family \mathcal{F} of parallelepipeds of the form (3.9) by the family \mathcal{F}' of parallelepipeds of the form

$$V' = (a_1, b_1] \times \cdots \times (a_n, b_n], \quad (3.32)$$

with the same volume formula

$$m(V') = \prod_{i=1}^n (b_i - a_i). \quad (3.33)$$

Similarly we can define mixed types of Lebesgue measures on Λ^n by taking the families of parallelepipeds in which one part of the constituent intervals of the parallelepipeds is left closed and right open and the other part is left open and right closed (see also Remark 2.5).

References

- [1] G. Aslim and G. Sh. Guseinov, *Weak semirings, ω -semirings, and measures*, Bulletin of the Allahabad Mathematical Society **14** (1999), 1–20.
- [2] M. Bohner and G. Sh. Guseinov, *Partial differentiation on time scales*, Dynamic Systems and Applications **13** (2004), no. 3-4, 351–379.
- [3] ———, *Multiple integration on time scales*, Dynamic Systems and Applications **14** (2005), no. 3-4, 579–606.
- [4] M. Bohner and A. Peterson, *Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser Boston, Massachusetts, 2001.
- [5] M. Bohner and A. Peterson (eds.), *Advances in Dynamic Equations on Time Scales*, Birkhäuser Boston, Massachusetts, 2003.
- [6] D. L. Cohn, *Measure Theory*, Birkhäuser Boston, Massachusetts, 1980.
- [7] P. R. Halmos, *Measure Theory*, D. Van Nostrand, New York, 1950.
- [8] A. N. Kolmogorov and S. V. Fomīn, *Introductory Real Analysis*, Dover, New York, 1975.

Martin Bohner: Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Missouri-Rolla, Rolla, MO 65401, USA

E-mail address: bohner@umr.edu

Gusein Sh. Guseinov: Department of Mathematics, Atılım University, 06836 Incek, Ankara, Turkey

E-mail address: guseinov@atilim.edu.tr

Special Issue on Time-Dependent Billiards

Call for Papers

This subject has been extensively studied in the past years for one-, two-, and three-dimensional space. Additionally, such dynamical systems can exhibit a very important and still unexplained phenomenon, called as the Fermi acceleration phenomenon. Basically, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration (FA) is a process in which a classical particle can acquire unbounded energy from collisions with a heavy moving wall. This phenomenon was originally proposed by Enrico Fermi in 1949 as a possible explanation of the origin of the large energies of the cosmic particles. His original model was then modified and considered under different approaches and using many versions. Moreover, applications of FA have been of a large broad interest in many different fields of science including plasma physics, astrophysics, atomic physics, optics, and time-dependent billiard problems and they are useful for controlling chaos in Engineering and dynamical systems exhibiting chaos (both conservative and dissipative chaos).

We intend to publish in this special issue papers reporting research on time-dependent billiards. The topic includes both conservative and dissipative dynamics. Papers discussing dynamical properties, statistical and mathematical results, stability investigation of the phase space structure, the phenomenon of Fermi acceleration, conditions for having suppression of Fermi acceleration, and computational and numerical methods for exploring these structures and applications are welcome.

To be acceptable for publication in the special issue of Mathematical Problems in Engineering, papers must make significant, original, and correct contributions to one or more of the topics above mentioned. Mathematical papers regarding the topics above are also welcome.

Authors should follow the Mathematical Problems in Engineering manuscript format described at <http://www.hindawi.com/journals/mpe/>. Prospective authors should submit an electronic copy of their complete manuscript through the journal Manuscript Tracking System at <http://mts.hindawi.com/> according to the following timetable:

Manuscript Due	December 1, 2008
First Round of Reviews	March 1, 2009
Publication Date	June 1, 2009

Guest Editors

Edson Denis Leonel, Department of Statistics, Applied Mathematics and Computing, Institute of Geosciences and Exact Sciences, State University of São Paulo at Rio Claro, Avenida 24A, 1515 Bela Vista, 13506-700 Rio Claro, SP, Brazil; edleonel@rc.unesp.br

Alexander Loskutov, Physics Faculty, Moscow State University, Vorob'evy Gory, Moscow 119992, Russia; loskutov@chaos.phys.msu.ru