

[Scholars' Mine](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/)

[Bachelors Theses](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/bachelors_theses) Student Theses and Dissertations

1899

Cement testing

Arthur D. Terrell

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/bachelors_theses](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/bachelors_theses?utm_source=scholarsmine.mst.edu%2Fbachelors_theses%2F359&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Civil Engineering Commons](https://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/252?utm_source=scholarsmine.mst.edu%2Fbachelors_theses%2F359&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Department: Civil, Architectural and Environmental Engineering

Recommended Citation

Terrell, Arthur D., "Cement testing" (1899). Bachelors Theses. 359. [https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/bachelors_theses/359](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/bachelors_theses/359?utm_source=scholarsmine.mst.edu%2Fbachelors_theses%2F359&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Thesis - Open Access is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Bachelors Theses by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact [scholarsmine@mst.edu.](mailto:scholarsmine@mst.edu)

FOR THE

Degree of Bachelor of Science

IN

SUBJECT: Cement Testing.

^W we.

A. D. **TERRELL,** '899.

45343

CEMENT TESTING.

Derivation of Some Empirical Formulae, Showing Relation Between Breaking Strength and Various Functions Which Enter Into Cement Testing.

For a Thesis in 1898 I did some work on cement testing with very satisfactory results, but I did but little more than get a few ideas &s to how to conduct the experiments to best advantage.

For the $:$ resent year I have taken up the work for further investigation.

Some of the parts done last year are being varified by Mr . Tayman and myself.

Investigations along the line of cement testing are shown by current literature on the subject to be very varied in methods, and methods of proceedure.

Some are based on chemical analyses and some on physical tests.

Physical tests seem to be the most favored tests and are the ones taken up in my investigations.

Ohemical Compounds in Cement.

Lime, Silicates of Galcium and Aluminum, Calcium Aluminates, Ferrites of Calcium and Silico-Alumino Ferrites of Calcium.

Cement from a general point of view may be classes as natural and artificial cements.

The deteriating agents of cements are internal and external. Internal agencies are deficiency of active hydraulic constituents and presence of free lime or magnesia, the slacking of which after setting has begun.leads to cracking of the mortar.

External agencies will vary according to the surrounding medium into which the cement is placed, This may be fresh water, damp

レルディ earth, or sea-water. Temperature apparently plays a great part in external agencies.

The subsequent results are obtained from the tensile strength per square inch and various other functions.

Apparatus.

The apparatus is practically the same as used in my work of 1898. The most important being:

> \mathbf{I} Moulds.

 $\mathbf{2}$ Testing machine.

3 Sieves,

Others of minor importance, such as Thermometers, $\overline{\mathbf{4}}$ scales, trowels, etc.

The moulds are brass. They are made by Tinius Olsen & CO. and are of "Standard Type".

The testing machine was one of Eheile's make. The following being a rough diagram of parts:

The sieves used were made of brass wire cloth and of "standard $mesh.$ "

The cement was a good Portland cement. It was taken from the barrel in quantities sufficient for a given experiment, and well mixed. The mixing was to insure, as nearly as possible, an average composition for each briquette.

The cement was all sieved through a No. 20 mesh sieve, in order to get out large lumps and any foreign material that might be in it, such as chips, etc.

Sulphides.

I have made up some tests with sluphide of iron added to the cement both in raw and roasted condition, to ascertain the effect on the cement, if any.

The sulphide used was ground to pass through an eighty mesh sieve.

Water.

The water used was sometimes cistern and sometimes well water.

Preliminary Teats.

Pineness:- The tensile strength depends greatly upon the fineness of grinding, therefore this test should always be made. If time permitted, it would have made a very interesting experiment to find a curve showing the relation between tensile strength and varying fineness of the cement.

S1 eves.

Sivves used for test of fineness were:viz.

(2500 meshes to the square inch). No. 50 $No.100$ (10000 meshes to the square inch). (6400 meshes to the square inch). No. 80

By taking average of several results I obtained the following percent rejected by a No. 50 sieve.9percent.,Peroentage that passed through No. 50 and was rejected by No. 80 4.1 percent. Percentage that passed through No. 80 and was rejected by No. 100,15.1 percent.

Soundness.

The test for soundness was made by mixing up some cement and shaping it into little pats. There was no sign of cracking or bUlging.

Mixtures.

The experiments with varying quantity of water and sulphides were made by mixing the constituents by weight.

The sulphides and cement were thoroughly mixed before being made into briquettes.

Experiments.

Experiments for this thesis were carried on similar to those of last year, the object of each being to show graphically, and if possible an analytical relation, between varying functions.

It is hard to keep the different things constant, such as temperature,etc.

The temperature of the room and water was recorded for each batch of briquettes.

Experiment No. I.

The object of Experiment No. I was to find the relation between tensile strength per square inch and the time after mixing, everything else remaining constant.

The briquettes remained out of water after being made up.

The amount *ot* water used was 375 grams. to 1500 grams of cement,or one-fourth as much water as cement.

These were made up in hatches of eleven each. In breaking, one was taken from each batch at stated intervals of time and an average of strength at these equal intervals was calculated.

There were ten batches, so there would be an average breaking strength of ten briquettes for each point on curve.

Experiment No_{st} II

Among the experiments of last year this is one I have attempted to varify. It is to find relation between tensile strength per square inch and time atter mixing,a11 other conditions remaining as nearly constant as could be kept with facilities at hand.

The equation used for the discussion of curve last year apparently satisfies that *of* this year. The discussion of the curve will be given later.

 (4)

Experiment No. III

One of the experiments carried out in my work is that of trying what effect the amount of water in which briquettes are placed will have on the tensile strength. The amount of water varied from that in air to several thousand c.c. The briquettes were made up with 375 grams of water per 1500 grams of cement.

Experiment Bo. IV.

In mixing cement and cement mortars the amount of water ured seems to change the character of the resulting mixture. For this four·th experiment I have tried to see what this effect of change of quantity of water is.

The briquettes were made up in batches of ten, and each batch of briquettes broken at a given interval of time after mixing. As the amount of water increases, the cross-section decreases.

The cross sections in plotting were all reduced to the bases of one square inch.

~he above briquettes after being made are left in air. The setting takes place from outside toward the center, as the medium in which cement sets would have to penetrate to the center by passing through the outer surface first.

Experiment V and VI.

In reading up some references I have found a few results on the effect of impurities in cement. Some of the data were collected from tests made by varying quantity of gypsum etc.

I have tried two experiments with iron sulphide. In No. 5 the sulphide was ground to pass through an 8D mesh sieve and then added to the cement 1n different percentages. For No. VI the sulphide was roasted after being ground to a 20 mesh.

(50)

After the roasting it was ground to pass through an 80 mesh.

Discussion of Curve No.1.

The curve on Plate 1 was plotted from data on page . Strength per square inch being plotted as ordinates and time as abscissae.

The curve is shown in black ink. The curve drawn through the points plotted resembles that of an equilateral hyperbole referred to X Y as axes.

All reference in derevation of curve will be in Figure 1.

Let us assume that the equation referred to X Y axis is;

 $X Y = C - -$ (1)

C being a constant.

Let $S = G$ J = the average strength in pounds per square inch after mixing.

 $t \sim 0$ k = time in days after mixing before the briquettes were broken.

> $a = 0$ H - distance of x' axis from X axis. $\mathbf{b} = \mathbf{F} \cdot \mathbf{B}$ " of y' axis from Y axis.

S'-average strength of ten briquettes for one day after mixing.

t' time of breaking of first batch after mixing.

As the curve does not pass through the origin O. we can not deal with it readily unless we take some known point, such as B for reference. The coordinates of this point being s' and t' referred to the X'Y' axes.

Let us pass x' y' axes through B and use it as an origin, and transferring the equation to these new axes.

The values of x and y for any variable point on the curve such as G are:

 $X = (b + t - t)$

 $Y = (a-s+s')$

Substituting the values of x and y in equation (1) we have:-

 $(b+t-t')$ $(a-s+s') = c - c - c$ $- - - (2)$ The value of x $y = c$ is (ab) for the point B. Therefore for c we can substitute the value (a b). Equation(2) then becomes.

> $\left[\bar{b} + (\bar{t} + t^*)\right] \left[\bar{a} - (s - s^*)\right] = ab - - - - - - - - - - - - (3)$ Multiplying out

 $ab-b$ $(s-s') + a(t-t') - (t-t') (s-s') = ab$

Calcelling the ab's and collecting

 $(t-t')$ $[a-(s-s')]=b(s-s')$

Dividing through by (t-t')

$$
a = (s-s') = \frac{b(s-s')}{a(t-t')}
$$

Dividing next by $(s-s')$

$$
\frac{a}{b \cdot (s-s^{1})} - \frac{s-s^{1}}{b \cdot (s-s^{1})} = \frac{1}{t-t^{1}}
$$

 $\frac{a}{b} \left(\frac{1}{s - s'} \right) - \frac{1}{b} - \frac{1}{t - t'}$ $- - - - - - - - (4)$

 (7)

or

Dividing (4) by
$$
\frac{a}{b}
$$
 we have
\n $\frac{1}{s-s'}$ - $\frac{1}{a} = \frac{b}{a} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{t}$
\nor
\n $\frac{1}{s-s'}$ = $\frac{b}{a} - \frac{1}{t} + \frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t} - \frac{1}{t}$
\nBy inspection we see that (5) is in the general form of an equation
\nto a straight line $\frac{b}{a}$ being the slope and $\frac{1}{a}$ the intercept on
\n $\frac{1}{s-s'}$ axes.
\nIf we then plot $\frac{1}{s-s'}$ as ordinates and $\frac{1}{t-t'}$ as abscissae,
\nwe will get a straight line if our assumption is true.
\nThe points plotted from value of $\frac{1}{s-s'}$ by $\frac{b}{t-t'}$.
\nIf we see from this plot that a straight line will apparently satisfy
\nthe points.
\nLet us next investigate the meaning of the constant involved in
\nthis equation.
\nFrom the intercept on the $\frac{1}{s-s'}$ axis the value of $\frac{1}{a}$ taken
\nfrom the plot is $\frac{1}{s-s'}$ axis the value of $\frac{1}{a}$ taken
\nFrom which $a = \frac{1}{0.025} - 454.5$
\nHiewise
\n $\frac{b}{a} = \tan_{\pi} 2 \tan_{\$

 (8)

 $b = a$ tan \sim =1.52.

S' andt' are the other constants that enter but whose value are given in the Table.

Having obtained the value of these constants, we may simplify

equation (5) by putting in their values.

 $S' = 24.7$ $t' = 1$ $a = 434.5$ $b = 1.52$ (5) then becomes $\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\frac{1}{c^2}}}$ then becomes \sim .0035 $s - 24.7$ \leftarrow $+$ $t - 1$.0023

or $s = \frac{1.05681t - .97216}{t}$ $\frac{1}{10012 + 00236}$ \pm $\frac{1}{10012 + 0.00236}$

By making $S=0$ we get t - 22 hours 19.2 minutes which shows from calculations and also from the plot of the curve that there is a certain length of time after mixing before the cement acquired any strength. This being the case it seems rational to call this (t) at which cement begins to show strength, the time of setting.

The equation (5) is a general one used in experiment No. $\mathbf{r}(1)$ and probably can be applied to any neat cement. The **hometants varying** hhwever for each case in hand.

One of the most favoring features of equation (6) is that the strength approaches a finite limit at an infinite time. In the present discussion this finite value $is = a + s' = 434.5 + 24.7 = 459.2$ lbs. per square inch. This result is a very rational one for the curve appreaches very nearly a horizontal line after comparatively short time.

With this c rve the strength reaches a large percent of its maximum in a very short period.

 (10)

Table.
ForCurve No. L.

Discussion of Curve No. II.

To verify the work done on this experiment last year, the data on page / were obtained.

The points on the curve were plotted from Table II.

It had the same general form of the curve gotten in 1898 and on applying the equation derived, it was found that it satisfied all the conditions.

The derifation of the equation will be found in the dis-' cussion of curve No.I.

The general form being:-

$$
\frac{1}{s - s!} = \frac{b}{a} \left(\frac{1}{t - t!} \right) + \frac{1}{a}
$$

The values of $\frac{1}{S - s!}$ and $\frac{1}{t - t!}$ were plotted giving practically a straight line.

The constants were investigated and their values obtained as before

$$
\frac{1}{a} = \text{the intercept on } \frac{1}{s - s} \text{ axis} = .0015 \text{ from which a } = 666.6
$$

 $\frac{b}{a}$ = tan = to the slope of the line = .0065. By combining the values of a and $\frac{b}{r}$ we find $b = 4.32$

 $A + s$ ^{\bullet} gives the strength that briquettes would reach at an infinite time, being the distance from x to X axis. As the asymptote meets a curve of infinity, by making $t = \emptyset$ we get value of $s = a + s'$ thus:-

$$
\frac{1}{s - s!} = \frac{b}{a} \left(\frac{1}{t \cdot s!} + \frac{1}{a} \right)
$$

$$
\frac{1}{s - s!} = \frac{1}{a} \text{ from which}
$$

$$
a = s - s!
$$

or $s = a + s! = 666.6 + 31.1 = 697.7 \text{ pounds per square inch.}$

You may obtain the distance of the $\frac{1}{x}$ asymptote from y axis by taking values of b and t' direct from above calculations, or by making $s = \infty$

When s_{\pm} equation (6) in previous discussion becomes:-

$$
\frac{1}{\sqrt{1-8^2}} = \frac{b}{a} - \frac{1}{t-1} + \frac{1}{a}
$$

Dividing through by \pm multiplying out and transforming we get $t = t^{\dagger} - b$ $t = 1 - 4.32 = -3.32$

This value t has no physical meaning.

By substituting all the constants obtained, equation

$$
\frac{1}{s-s} = \frac{b}{a} - \frac{1}{t-t} - \frac{1}{t} = \frac{b}{a}
$$

because equal to

$$
s = \frac{1.04665t - 84425}{.004 + .0015t}
$$

By making $s = 0$

 $t = 19$ hours. 14.4 minutes.

This value of t gives the time at which the cement sets.

For the cement used this year it tooka longer time for it to set than that used last year.

It seems rational to draw the conclusion that this is the equation which fits the relation between breaking strength and time for cement in general.

The experiment for this particular case was carried on similar to experiment No.I of last year.

Table
For Curve No.II.

 (13)

Discussion of Corve No. III.

To obtain data for this curve the average of eleven briquettes was taken at end of forty days. The briquettes were placed eleven in a batch, in water. This quantity of water was varied from zero to practically an infinite amount.

The averages obtained were plotted on (Plate III). The data will be found at end of discussion.

The breaking strength was plotted as ordinates and the quantity of water as abscissae.

On plotting it was found that a fairly smooth curve could be drawn through the points. A deficiency of points made it hard to tell what sort of an equation would come near fitting the curve.

 $xy \overline{x} = c$ referred to $\underline{x} \underline{y}$ axis might fit. For the following discussion I will use equation $xy = c - 1$ $- - - (1)$

Let A $B = b = distance$ of Y axis from y and C $D = a = distance$ of X axis from x.

Y' is the breaking strength with a zero quantity of water and has a known value.

From equation (1) we may substitute for x and y their values in terms of x_1 and yr

 (14) .

Take any point E on the curve, the

 $X = X_1 + b$

and $y = a - y$,

 $xy = (x_1 + b) (a - y_1) = c - - - - - - - - - - - (2)$

From the point B on the curve, we see that the value of c may be given partially in known quantities.

 $c = b(a - v')$

By substituting this value of c in equation (2) we get

 $(x_1 + b)$ $(a - y_1) = b(a - Y')$

Multiplying out we get

 x_1 , $a - x_1$, $y_1 + ab - by = ab - by'$

collecting terms.

 $ax_1 - xy_1 = b$ ($y_1 - y_1$)

or
$$
x_1
$$
 (a - y,) = b(y, - y')

dividing by $(y_+ - y_+)$

$$
\frac{a - y'}{y - y'} = \frac{b}{x}
$$

 $\frac{a}{y - y}$ $\frac{y - y}{y - y}$ $\frac{y}{z}$ or

or

 $\frac{x_1}{y_1 - y_1}$ = ab - b (y₁) - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - (3)

By inspection we see that equation (3) is in general form for an equation to a straight line, which being the case we ought to obtain a straight line on plotting $\frac{x_1}{y_1 - y_1}$ and y_1 if our assumption is true. $(\mathfrak{1}_{\alpha})$

x,

 y_{\parallel} - y^{\parallel} - was plotted as ordinates and y_{\parallel} as abseissae. A s'raight line apparently satisfies the points thus plotted.

Let us next investigate the constants which enter this equation.

 Y' is known as it is the first point on the curve and lies on the y axis.

ab is the intercept on the $\frac{x_1}{x_1}$ axis and b is the slope of the $y - y'$ line, or the tangent of the angle the line makes with y axis.

The value of b is negative as we can readily see it should be from the curve.

$$
b = 9.6
$$

ab = 6.10

$$
a_0 = b_0
$$

from which $a = 90$

By sUbstituting these values in equation (3) we can transform our equation into the following.

$$
\frac{\mathbf{x}_1}{\mathbf{y}_1 - 301} = \frac{6}{9} = \frac{6}{9} \cdot \left(\mathbf{y}_1\right)
$$

The value (a) is the strength that a briquette would reach with an infinite amount of $\texttt{H}_{\texttt{2}}^{\mathbf{0}}$ (water) present and(b) being negative would have no physical meaning.

As this was an entirely new experiment it was difficult to tell which points would be the most valuable on the curve. Since the curve was plotted it shows very plainly that we should have several points between the value 850 $c.c.$ and 0 $c. c.$ To get these it would be necessary to construct soma kind of special apparatus so that you could cover your briquettes with a mera film of water.

The conclusions to be drawn from this experiment as seen now is that the quantity of water in which the cement sets has very little to do with the strength after a very small quantity of water is used.

 (18)

Discussion of Curve No.IV.

This curve is one obtained by plotting the data on page \neq γ These data were gotten as described in experiment IV.

The amount of water as plotted is that used per batch and not for a single briquette. The resulting curves however would be similar as the amount of water per briquette is directly proportional to the amount per batch.

By examining the plot it is plain to see that the curve reaches a maximum verticle ordinate. This maximum value apparently occurs about the value of 375 pounds per square inch.

It can also be seen that the curve would pass through the origin. We however naturally come to this conclusion from the fact that with no water present there would be no opportunity for the cement to set.

If instead of the moulds: in the last few points on the curve being partly filled with cement and partly with water until the cement held all the water it could holdin its interstices, the strength would in all probability, reach a maximum and remain at a constant strength throughout.

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\int_{0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{2\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\right)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\frac{1$

Table II

 $\label{eq:2.1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3}\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right)^2.$

Discussion of Curve No. V.

This curve can be found on plate V. The data used in plotting will be found on page.

The strength per square inch was plotted along the verticle and the percentage of sulphide along the horizontal.

A very smooth curve satisfies most of the points. For investigation ^I have tried to fit an hyperbolic equation to the curve. As this was an entirely new experiment I did not get the points situated in the best position \star be of most value in working up the curve.

For an equation let us assume.

inch.

 $XY = K$ referred to X Y as axes - - - - - - - (1) K represents a constant

s = average strength of five briquettes in pounds per square

 $p =$ percentage of the sulphide in the cement.

For reference we will take known point F. Let C be any point on the curve whose co-ordinates are x and y. $AB = b$ $LF = a$ $EC = x = p + a$ $CD = y = s - b$ Substituting these values in equation (1) we get. $(p+a)$ $(y - b) = K -$ - - - - - - - - - - - - - - (2) The value K is equal to a $(3! - b)$ Putting this value of K in equation (2) we have $(p+a($ $(S - b) = K = a(s' - b))$ Multiplying out and reducing to simple form we get. $s = \frac{a(s'-s)}{s'} + b - b - c - c - c - c - c - c$ (3)

This equation is the general form for a straight line and if our assumption is correct we should get a straight line on plotting s and $\frac{S^1 - \bullet}{p}$.

Not having a satisfactory value for s' I assumed the value 490. By plotting s along the vertical and S^* - salong the horizontal an approximately straight line was obtained. This line is shown on plate (1) .

 $a = tan$ = 18.72 =

 $S = \frac{18.72(4.24 \cdot 0.4)}{2}$

 $b = 232 =$ intercept on the s axis

The curve seems satisfactory but there may be other things which would enter and I used a langer proportion of sulphide.

From the curve as it is now we would draw the conclusion that the sulphide has some strength when no cement is present at all.

 $\hat{\boldsymbol{\beta}}$

 $\overline{}$

Discussion of Curve Number VI.

The data formthis curve were obtained similar to the one in $\overline{\mathbf{N}}$ o. $\overline{\mathbf{V}}$. The plot will be found on Plate (γ^+) and the data on page

The strength per square inch was plotted as ordinates and percent of poasted sulphide as abscissae. It can readily be seen that the strength decreases pretty rapidly with addition of reasted suplhide.

The points are somewhat scattered in order to be able to tell a great deal in regard to the curve.

The data I have obtained can only give some general idea as to the results to which we looked forward in the beginning of the experiment.

From these data some one may be able to perform the experiment and draw more concise conclusions than can be done at present.

From a little test briquette that was made it seems doubtful if the raw (roasted sulphide) would have much if any strength.

pesides what has been done this year on the cement testing ikcepsi periote there is a number yet to be investigated.

The question of temperature is among the most important.

Data

Neat Cement Out of Mater.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$

 $\ddot{}$

 \cdot

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$ and $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$.

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L}))$ and the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of the contribution of $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{L})$

 $\bar{\bar{z}}$

 $\sim 10^{-1}$

 $\bar{\beta}$

Data

Cement in Varying Qant. of H.O.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

 $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{P}$

Tenipt of Room = 215 $\frac{\mathcal{S}_{\bm{e}}\mathcal{F}}{\mathcal{D}^{'}}$ N_{o} 622 $\sqrt{2}$ 40° $3^{\circ}90$ $\tilde{\mathcal{J}}$ $2²$ 590 \mathfrak{Z} $9₂$ $3^{\circ}90$ $#$ \rightarrow \mathcal{S}^{\downarrow} 572 $2, 2$ 6 $5^{\circ}90$ 72 628 22 $\boldsymbol{7}$ \mathcal{S} 604 22 9 620 $\pmb{f} \pmb{f}$ 65% $\sqrt{\theta}$ \mathcal{L}

 $, ,$

 $\ell\ell$

 534

 $\frac{1}{2}$

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

Data

Cement+Varying % of H2O.

 \mathcal{L}

Data.

 $\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2}$

 $\alpha\in\mathbb{R}^n$

 $\bar{\mathcal{A}}$.

Neat Cement

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{L}}$

 \mathbb{R}^3

Data.

Cerrient+ Jron Sulphide (Raw)

 $\label{eq:2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\sum_{i=1}^n\frac{1$

 \sim \sim

 $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{A}}$

Data.

 $\mathcal{L}^{\mathcal{L}}$

Cement+Iron Sulpide (Roasted)

 $\overline{}$

Tempt of Room = $\overline{\mathcal{S}_{e}t}$ Time in Strength in
Pays lbs persquin.
12 305 N o. $\overline{}$ 362 $2 \boldsymbol{h}$ 344 \mathcal{S} \prime $4/$ $375 \prime$ $5²$ I_l 379 $rac{\partial e^+}{\partial \theta}$ Tempt of Proom-
17 m Hength in
Time in Strength in
Days bspersquella \mathcal{F} 12 $3/6$ $\mathbf{2}$ \bullet 372 \mathcal{S} $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ 346 369 \pmb{f} / $\overline{\mathsf{y}}$ σ 360 \mathbf{r}_t

Set Tempt of Room=
No. Time in Strengthin
No. Toays Ibspersquin. $\frac{5e}{t}$ Tempt of Room=
"" "H2O =
Time in "Strength in
Days 1bs.persquin. *Aime in*
Days No. $\overline{12}$ 241 12 360 $\frac{1}{2}$ Γ 324 \mathfrak{D} 256 $\overline{2}$ \bullet η ج \mathcal{S} ϵ 266 \mathbf{r}_I 300 263 $\overline{\mathscr{L}}$ $\frac{1}{2}$ $3/6$ \mathbf{r} \prime $5⁻$ $3/2$ $^{\prime}$ $2y0$ \cdot \mathfrak{s}^+