

Missouri University of Science and Technology [Scholars' Mine](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/)

[Symposia on Turbulence in Liquids](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/sotil) [Chemical and Biochemical Engineering](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/che_bioeng)

01 Sep 1975

An Investigation of the Criteria Controlling Sustained Self-Excited Oscillations of Cylinders in Flowing Water

R. King

Follow this and additional works at: [https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/sotil](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/sotil?utm_source=scholarsmine.mst.edu%2Fsotil%2F21&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Part of the [Chemical Engineering Commons](http://network.bepress.com/hgg/discipline/240?utm_source=scholarsmine.mst.edu%2Fsotil%2F21&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

Recommended Citation

King, R., "An Investigation of the Criteria Controlling Sustained Self-Excited Oscillations of Cylinders in Flowing Water" (1975). Symposia on Turbulence in Liquids. 21. [https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/sotil/21](https://scholarsmine.mst.edu/sotil/21?utm_source=scholarsmine.mst.edu%2Fsotil%2F21&utm_medium=PDF&utm_campaign=PDFCoverPages)

This Article - Conference proceedings is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Symposia on Turbulence in Liquids by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact scholarsmine@mst.edu.

AN INVESTIGATION OF THE CRITERIA CONTROLLING SUSTAINED SELF-EXCITED OSCILLATIONS OF CYLINDERS IN FLOWING **WATER.**

Roger King, Senior Research Engineer, **BHRA Fluid Engineering, Cranfield, Bedford,** U. K.

ABSTRACT

In this paper, water channel experimental data are dis**cussed and compared with conventional wind-tunnel data.** Stability criteria are proposed for the cross-flow and flow directions of oscillation. Fluctuating drag force coefficients **are deduced from a linear mathematical representation of the cylinder located in flowing water and oscillating in the flow direction.**

INTRODUCTION

Flow-excited oscillations of structures in wind are fairly well documented, and design criteria are correspond**ingly comprehensive. In flowing water (steady currents) such oscillations can be considerably more complex.**

The purposes of this paper are to define stability cri**teria which, when developed can be applied to future designs of marine structures, and to quantify the fluctuating drag force coefficients on a single cylinder undergoing oscillations in the flow direction.**

When a fluid flows about a stationary cylinder the flow separates, vortices are shed and a periodic wake is formed. The frequency of shedding of pairs of vortices primarily is a function of velocity (V) cylinder diameter (d) and Reynolds number (Re). The non-dimensional wake frequency S, the **Strouhal number, is defined as** $S = f_v d/v$ **, and over a wide range of Reynolds numbers** $10^2 \le \text{Re } \le 10^5$ **, S** ≈ 0.2 **.** The overall relationship between S and Re is well documented, **Morkovin (1964), and it is not proposed to quote details here, other than to point out that absolute values of S also depend upon cylinder surface roughness, length/diameter ratio and**

turbulence levels.

Each time a vortex is shed from the cylinder, it alters the local pressure distribution and the cylinder thus experi**ences periodic pressure -generated forces at frequencies** f_v and $2f_v$ in the cross-flow and in-line directions respec**t iv e ly .**

If the cylinder is flexible or flexibly mounted, inter**actions can ar ise between the vortex shedding mechanism and the cylinder deflections. Under certain conditions, sustained oscillations can be excited and the cylinder oscillates** at a frequency close to or coincident with its natural frequency. Such oscillations are classed as self-excited.

In air flow, chimneys, telegraph wires and pipeline **suspension bridges almost invariably oscillate in a direction** normal to the flow of air. These are the so-called crossflow oscillations. There have been rare exceptions when cylinders in air flow have oscillated in the direction of flow **(i .e . in -line motion) but these have been due to features of their installation,Auger (1968), Walshe (1972).**

In water, marine piles, submarine periscopes and braced members of offshore structures can be excited to **oscillate in both the in-line and cross-flow directions.** King **(1974), and the in -line oscillations can be excited at flow** velocities considerably lower than the critical velocities for cross-flow motion.

Aerodynam icists usually rank experimental data by relating non-dimensional oscillatory amplitudes (y/d) to the two non-dimensional groups, Reduced Velocity V/nd (n = cylinder natural frequency) and the Stability Param eter

 $k_{s} = \frac{2 m_{e} \delta}{\rho d^{2}}$ (m_e is the equivalent mass/unit length, δ is the logarithmic decrement and ρ is the mass density of the fluid). This practice will be followed here.

It will be noted that Reduced Velocity and Strouhal num**ber can be transposed; a Reduced Velocity of 5 is the inverse** of the Strouhal number S for the condition $f_v = n$. For values of V/nd less than 5, f_v is less than n; conversely for V/nd greater than 5, f_v is greater than n. Similarly, k_{g} can be interpreted as an amalgamation of the mass ratio $m_e/\rho d^2$ and the logarithmic decrement δ . This grouping results from consideration of the energy balance at 'resonance', Scruton (1963). The Stability Parameter of a cylinder **in water is discussed in the next section.**

REVIEW OF RESEARCH AND DEFINITION OF CRITERIA **Cross-Flow Oscillations**

The excitation range of cross-flow oscillations in air extends over $4.75 \lt V/nd \lt 8$, Wootton (1969), Vickery and **Watkins (1962), and maximum amplitudes occur in the range 5.5 < V/nd < 6.5.**

In water, the excitation range of cross-flow oscillations can be increased to $4.5 < V/nd < 10$ with maximum amplitudes falling within the range $6.5 \lt V/nd \lt 8$, Glass (1970), **King et al.(1973).**

The differences between the performance in air and water probably are caused by differences in mass ratio and length/diameter ratio, although test facility details (i.e . damping and turbulence levels) could also influence the results, Wootton (1969).

The oscillations arise from interactions between the **cylinders' flexibility and the vortex shedding mechanism, in** which vortices are shed from alternate sides of the cylinder. Fig. 1 shows the wake of a cylinder oscillating in the cross**flow direction. The circulation in each vortex is augmented by the interactions re ferred to and this makes it possible to identify the individual vortices by the creation of dimples in the water surface, as seen in the photograph. The width of the vortex street is a function of the oscillatory amplitudes because a vortex is shed at each cross -flow reversal of direction, i.e . each half cycle. It should be noted that** throughout the major part of the excitation range, the fre**quency of vortex shedding is no longer a function of velocity** but is approximately constant and equal to the cylinder's natural frequency (in the case of a cylinder in water, the

natural frequency measured in still water). This is termed **synchronization or lock-in.**

It will be remembered that the oscillatory amplitudes are dependent not only upon V/nd but also upon the Stability Parameter k_s. Fig. 2 is an assembly of wind tunnel and water channel experimental results and it will be seen that **the results fall on a sensibly continuous curve of** approximately hyperbolic form. The largest amplitudes are recorded for the smaller values of k_g and if k_g is sufficiently **large (> 18) then no significant amplitudes result. The amplitudes plotted on the graph are the maximum experienced mutually by the cylinder and fluid. These are defined** fairly easily for cylinders in air flow but for the water channel tests with part-immersed cylinders the amplitudes are **functions of mode shape. For the fundamental (sway)** mode **they a re the amplitudes at the water surface whilst for higher normal modes they would be the maximum amplitudes of** the **immersed sections. It is not meaningful to quote amplitudes** of sections of the cylinders not exposed to the fluid forces **because the essentially non-linear and amplitude-dependent flow excitation phenomena are extant only over the common** immersed length. The form of the Stability Parameter k_S also allows for the part-immersed effects by re-defining an equivalent mass/unit length, m_e , of the cylinder whose length **is made equal to the water depth.King et al.(1973). King** (1973) demonstrates the application of this Stability Para**meter at the design stage by a worked example based on various modes of oscillation of a mooring dolphin. The** justification for using this form of m_e for inclusion in k_g is **that the in-phase component of fluid force is inertial and invariant with fluid velocity (i. e. regarding the added mass as constant and 'frozen' to the cy linder). Experimental results have confirmed this notion of added mass and its invariance with amplitude and frequency. King (1971). Darwin (1953) points out that in reality added mass cannot** be regarded rigorously as a collar of fluid surrounding the **cylinder as the system is actually a continuously changing** process, involving changes in the 'drift mass' each half **cycle.**

The continuity of the common curve through the collected experimental points (Fig. 2) is regarded as confirmation of the validity of using this form of k_g and y/d for both part-immersed and wholly immersed cylinders.

From Fig. 2 it will be observed that the limiting cross**flow amplitudes are approximately 2 diameters. It is inter-**

esting to note that unlike mechanical oscillations, the amplitudes do not tend to infinity for zero damping, and this **emphasizes the amplitude-dependence of the self-excited oscillations.**

In-line oscillations

Oscillations in the in -line direction are contained within two adjacent but separate Instability Regions (see Fig. 3). The First Instability Region covers the range $1.25 \le V/n d \le$ **2.5 and excitation in-line thus is initiated at velocities of only one quarter those necessary for cross -flow excitation** $(i.e. V/nd = 1.25 in-line, compared with V/nd ≈ 5 cross$ flow). Maximum amplitudes coincide with $V/nd \approx 2.1$. The **Second Instability Region is 2.7** $\lt V/\text{nd} \lt 3.8$ with maximum amplitudes at $V/nd \approx 3.2$. These values are influenced by the magnitude of k_s, length/diameter ratio and other effects, King (1974). It will be seen that the amplitudes of **oscillation in the two Instability Regions are approximately equal for low k , and whereas the crossflow motion was s characterized by alternate vortex shedding, it will be seen that the two Instability Regions of in -line motion are asso ciated with two types of vortex shedding, King (1973). The** First Instability Region is identified by symmetric vortex **shedding and the Second by alternate vortex shedding (see** Figs. 4, 5). These results are applicable to at least the first **three normal modes in-line and this allows a composite graph of fam ilies of Instability Regions of in-line and cross flow motion to be drawn to a base of flow velocity.**

Fig. 6 shows the oscillatory amplitude versus kg graph for the first three normal modes of in -line motion. It is similar in shape to Fig. 2 and reveals that significant oscillations in-line will be initiated only if $k_g < 1.2$. Maximum **amplitudes in-line are approximately 0.2 diameters, or** about one tenth the corresponding maximum cross-flow **amplitudes.**

Although the graph of Fig. 6 was compiled from laboratory experiments. King (1973), the results of a full scale test, Wootton et al.(197 2), are also included and these fall on the common curve. Hydroelastic model testing. King (1974), has previously established the validity of small scale testing of oscillating marine structures.

ANALYSIS OF THE FLUCTUATING FORCE COEFFICIENTS C_d' AND C_l' .

In this linear mathematical representation, the cylinder material properties (mass, geometry, elasticity and hyster- etic damping), the added mass of water and the hydrodynamic **damping were converted into matrix form for each element of the cylinder length. The vortex excitation was represented** by a **periodic forcing function dominated by** C'_{d} **or** C'_{L} **and** uniformly distributed over the cylinder immersed length. **King (1974), describes the detailed matrix manipulation and methods of solution.**

First Instability Region of in-line oscillations:

Evaluation of C_d . The hydrodynamic forces of exci**tation and damping exerted on a cylinder oscillating in-line** are shown in Fig.7. An analysis of the free shear layers in **the vortex shedding of Fig. 4 shows that during the First Instability Region, identified by symmetric shedding, the** fluctuating force coefficient C^{\dagger}_{d} should not exceed 0.86. The **physical reasoning for this is based on the variation in the location of the separation points on the cylinder oscillating** in-line as shown in Figs. 8a, 8b.

Fig. 8a shows the cylinder oscillating in the downstream direction; the free shear layers are similar to those separating from a very bluff body such as a flat plate, for which $C_d \nightharpoonup 2.1$. In Fig. 8b, the cylinder is oscillating in the upstream direction and the flow separation resembles that associated with Critical or Supercritical Reynolds numbers for which the steady drag coefficient $C_d \simeq 0.4$. When the **cylinder is stationary with respect to the observer, at the ends of each half cycle of motion, the flow patterns are** similar to those characterizing a stationary cylinder in flowing water. The steady drag coefficient C_d of the cylinder was measured as 1.26, King (1974): thus the instantaneous steady drag coefficient is $C_d = 1.26 \pm 0.86$ where the fluctuating force coefficient is defined as $C_d' = 0.86$.

Fig. 9 shows the results of the analysis of the experi**mental data for the fundamental and second normal modes in-line. For the analysis of the second normal mode, the hysteretic damping was increased by an amount determined from the logarithmic decrement of that mode. In general, the hysteretic damping constant (G) for the first two normal modes were approximately G and 1.2G respectively.**

It can be seen that the maximum amplitudes of oscillations and the fluctuating force coefficients C_d in Fig. 9 **are related linearly, although there is some scatter in the** computed values of C_d for amplitudes less than the maxima. **This could be an indication of the varying degrees of vortex shedding correlation along the cy linder's length, with the amplitudes of oscillation. The greatest departures from the**

straight line through the maxima were recorded at comparatively low amplitudes where the results were subject to the largest uncertainty.

The calculated C_d' values were deduced from the **assumption that the excitation forces were distributed uniform ly over the cylinder length; this was an approximation, as the force coefficients were almost certainly amplitudedependent and this was demonstrated in a supplementary** series of flow visualization studies. The vorticity associated with the larger amplitudes was considerably greater than that of the smaller amplitudes at the same Reduced Velocity, **V/nd, and at different locations on the same oscillating cylin**der. Furthermore, the point in the wake at which the alter**nate street was formed appeared to be a function of amplitude and Reduced Velocity, V/nd, and this is consistent with amplitude-dependent variations of exciting forces at various distances along the cylinder length. Thus, the calculated value** of $C_d' = 0.69$ for $y/d = 0.15$ (the maximum amplitude recorded) represented the uniformly distributed equivalent **of C^' values ranging from 0. 86 at the maximum amplitudes,** to the pseudo-stationary C_d ['] near the base.

Hardwick & Wootton (1973), in their analysis of the vortex excitation process applied to the fundamental mode of in -line oscillations, predicted a limiting amplitude of $y/d \approx 0.1$ corresponding to a maximum $C_d^{\dagger} = 0.8$ for the First Instability Region. The results of their laboratory **tests with an elastically supported rigid cylinder, of L/d = 5, agreed with their theoretical reasoning although in previous** full scale tests maximum amplitudes of up to $y/d = 0.13$ **were recorded, Wootton et al (1972); in the author's tests,** King (1974) , amplitudes of up to $y/d = 0.16$ also were noted. **Second Instability Region in -line oscillations:**

Evaluation of C_d . The results from tests in the Second Instability Region were analyzed by a method similar **to that employed in the preceding section. The relative mag**nitudes of the exciting and damping forces of this instability region were assumed to be of the same order of magnitude as **those for the symmetric shedding region. However, the** magnitude of C_d['] determined in the preceding section from **visual observation of the free shear layers obviously was of no relevance in this alternate shedding region.**

The results of the mathematical analysis, shown in **Fig. 10 reveal that the maximum amplitudes of the fundamental and second normal modes are related linearly to the** fluctuating force coefficient C_d' .

By extrapolating the y/d versus C_d' line towards the origin, **a stationary cylinder value of** $C_d' = 0.08$ **was obtained.** This **is in agreement with the values recorded during wind tunnel tests with stationary cylinders, Wootton (1969), Vickery and Watkins (1962), Humphreys (1960). In the present tests, the Second Instability Region and the stationary cylinder (null) range immediately preceding this instability are both identified by alternate vortex shedding and the extrapolation therefore is considered justified. At the maximum recorded amplitude** $(y/d = 0.14)$ the fluctuating drag coefficient was **calculated as C_d^{** \cdot **} = 0.45, compared with C_d^{** \cdot **} = 0.65 at a** similar amplitude in the First Instability Region. In the First Instability Region, the oscillatory velocity (v) included **in the hydrodynamic damping term, was comparable with the** flow velocity (V), the damping was relatively large, and the **fluctuating force coefficient, C_d', correspondingly high.** In **the Second Instability Region, the flow velocity was higher, although the oscillatory velocity remained approximately constant. The damping term (proportional to Vv) thus increased linearly with flow velocity whilst the excitation force increased as the square of the flow velocity. Hence, for equal amplitudes in the two Instability Regions, lower force** coefficients were appropriate to the second of these. Photo**graphs of vortex shedding in the Second Instability Region showed that the vortices separated from the cylinder at angles of approximately 130° to 160° from the forward stag**nation point. The larger angle was observed at the maximum amplitude. An analysis of graphs of rms pressure distribution around a stationary circular cylinder, Surry (1969), gave C_d = 0.08, at a separation angle of approximately 100^o. By **comparing the in-line components of the two separation angles recorded in the photographs, and making the elaborate assumption that in the cases considered, the vortex strengths** were similar, the equivalent values of C_d are $0.22 \le C_d <$ **0.43.** It will be noted that these two values of C_d are close **to those deduced from the matrix analysis of the oscillating cylinder. It is appreciated that these analogies with the stationary cylinder C^' were approximate and that the results obtained thus, were of a qualitative nature only. However, the C^' recorded in tests with stationary cylinders usually represented the average experimental reading at the instrumented section of the cylinders' length; in view of the generally low lengthwise correlation of such cylinders the average Cd' for the whole cylinder would be considerably**

less than the C_d' **from the instrumented section.** Thus, in **the present tests, a calculated uniformly distributed** C_d' **equal to the stationary cylinder** C_d **from Humphreys (1960),** could denote well-correlated vortex shedding without neces**sarily implying a variation in vortex strength. Furthermore, although the vortex strengths appeared to be proportional to the oscillatory amplitudes of motion, increases in in-line force coefficients could also be caused by increases in sep**aration angle, which in turn probably were functions of amp**litude, V/nd and oscillatory velocity. C ro ss-flow oscillations**

Evaluation of C ^ . The analysis developed for the preceding sections was modified for application to the results from tests in the cross-flow direction; in the absence of a **reasonable description of fluid damping in this direction, only the hysteretic damping was considered in the dissipative terms of the governing matrix. However, this analysis enabled the results to be compared directly with those of** Vickery & Watkins (1962) who deduced values of C_I from a **simplified theory for which h = L; they assumed that the cross -flow fluid damping was negligible compared with the hysteretic damping.**

The results of the present analysis, and those of Vickery & Watkins are shown in Fig. 11. It is seen that for amplitudes of $y/d < 0.4$, the figure resembles the graphs of Figs. 9, 10 in that the calculated force coefficients C_{L} **increase with increasing y/d.**

For $y/d \geq 0.6$ the collected results demonstrated an inverse linear relationship between C_1^{\prime} and y/d . The **maximum amplitude possible is, from the graph,** $y/d = 2$ **and this is in agreement with the collected results of the** graph of Fig. 2.

In Fig. 11, the one result from tests with the aluminium **cylinder H. 1, coincided with, and the results from tests with the stainless steel cylinder V .2 King (1974) were slightly** below the calculated results of Vickery & Watkins (1962). The collected results of Fig. 11 showed an apparent depend**ence upon the types of material and, by inference, the magnitudes of hysteretic damping because there were no obvious** mass ratio effects and the k_s values were comparable. **Rather surprisingly, the most highly damped cylinder (the P .V .C . cylinder, King (1974)) oscillated consistently with the largest amplitudes; however, at the maximum amplitudes, the flow velocities and hence excitation forces on this cylinder were considerably larger than for the other cylinders**

considered. This observation indicates the possible need for considering not only k_g but also V/nd and δ when **comparing amplitude response data from a variety of sources.**

Extrapolation of the 'well-correlated' parts of the graph yielded $C_L^{\dagger} = 0.75 - 0.8$ for the stationary cylinder **and this agrees with previous experimental studies, Humphreys (1960), Surry (1969).**

Vickery & Watkins (1962) explanation of the increase, followed by the decrease, of calculated $C_{L}^{'}$ with y/d was **that the total energy' of the vortices in the wake was invariant** with y/d and that at the smaller amplitudes, the graph dem**onstrated a lack of vortex shedding correlation in the presence** of low damping. As y/d increased, the lengthwise correla**tion improved, the hydrodynamic damping increased, and the steady state limiting conditions, it was argued, were defined** by $C'_L = 0$. The (constant) total energy of the wake was **dissipated by the hysteretic and hydrodynamic damping. This explanation, which implies that complete correlation is** achieved only at the larger amplitudes is considered plausible and on this basis the y/d versus C'_{L} graph (Fig. 11) may be **analyzed in two parts. The lower part, in which the amplitudes are restricted by the poor lengthwise correlation (and the low damping) is followed by the upper part, in which the hydrodynamic damping energy increases more rapidly than the energy' input from the exciting forces, until the limiting** amplitude is established at C'_1 = 0. Fig 11 indicates that complete lengthwise correlation is obtained when $y/d > 0.5$, and **presumably persists up to the limiting amplitude y/d = 2.** A comparison of Figs. 10, 11 shows that over a corresponding range of amplitudes $(y/d \le 0.15)$, the amplitudes and fluctuating force coefficients exhibit similar trends. Probably, **the vortex shedding was not completely correlated in the cro s s -flow direction, and extrapolation of the lower part of** Fig. 11 suggested that this was the case. Alternatively, the results from the in-line direction (Fig. 10) where consider**ably higher fluid damping was experienced, were consistent** with well-correlated vortex shedding, and the maximum fluctuating force coefficient in-line exceeded by an order of magnitude the stationary cylinder C'_d .

CONCLUSIONS

Sustained self-excited oscillations wall only be initiated for cylinders satisfying the Stability Parameter and Reduced Velocity criteria. The limiting amplitude of cross-flow

oscillations is an order of magnitude larger than for the inline direction. Similarly, the Stability Parameter necessary for suppressing the cross-flow oscillations is over ten times greater than for in-line motion.

In-line oscillations can be excited at flow velocities of only one quarter those for cross-flow motion.

The value of analyzing experimental results using a fairly crude linear mathematical analogue was illustrated. It was shown that the fluctuating drag coefficients varied linearly with amplitude and for the maximum amplitudes were equivalent to $C_d' = 0.69$ and $C_d' = 0.44$ in the First and Second Instability Regions respectively. These represent significant deviations from the stationary cylinder values of $0.02 \leq C_d$ ['] ≤ 0.08 .

The calculated fluctuating lift coefficients were functions of amplitudes of oscillation; for amplitudes less than $y/d =$ **0.4, C**¹ increased approximately linearly with amplitude and for $y/d > 0.6$, C'_L decreased linearly with amplitude. The explanation of these features was based on the concept of varying degrees of vortex shedding correlation length and by extrapolating the 'well-correlated' part of the graph to zero amplitude, a mean value of $C_L' = 0.75-0.80$ was obtained. This is comparable with the experimental results of other **authors .**

In these calculations, the representation of exciting forces uniformly distributed along the cylinder's length is not compatible either with visual observations of the flow patterns or the notion of amplitude-dependent interactions. When the exciting forces were made linear functions of local amplitude the calculated results did not correlate well with recorded data, and it was concluded that more comprehensive expressions for the fluid-cylinder interactions should be developed. Many mathematical or heuristic models of flow-induced oscil- 16. lations incorporate modified forms of the Van der Pol equation: recent publications by Griffin et al. (1973) and Skop and Griffin (1973), have demonstrated further modifications for specific application to cylinder oscillations, and it is suggested that these be used as the basis for extending the present method of calculations. **R E F E R E N C E S**

- 1. Morkovin, M.V., 1964, "Flow around a Circular Cylinder - a Kaleidoscope of Challenging Fluid Phenomena". Proc.A.S.M.E. Symposium on Fully Separated Flows, pp. 102-118.
- Auger, A., 1968, 'Wind Induced Vibration in a Space Frame Structure", Paper 23, Symposium on Wind Effects on Buildings and Structure, Loughborough, U.K.
- 3. Walshe, D. E., 1972, 'Wind Excited Oscillations of **Structures", H. M. S. O. Publications S. B. N. 11, 480016, 2**.
- 4. King, R., 1974, "Vortex Excited Structural Oscillations of a Circular Cylinder in Flowing Water", Thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the degree of Doctor of Philosophy of Loughborough University of Technology.
- 5. Scruton, C., 1963, "On the Wind-Excited Oscillations of Stacks, Towers and Masts", Paper 16, Proc. Conf. on Wind Effects on Buildings and Structures, Teddington, **U .K .**
- 6. Wootton, L.R., 1969, "The Oscillations of Large Stacks in the Wind", Proc. Institution of Civil Engineers 43, **pp . 573-598 .**
- 7. Vickery, B.J. and Watkins, R.D., 1962, "Flow-induced Vibrations of Cylindrical Structures", Proc. 1st Australasian Conference, pp. 213-241.
- 8. Glass, R. J., 1970, "A Study of the Hydroelastic Vibrations of Spring Supported Cylinders in a Steady Fluid Stream, due to Vortex Shedding", Ohio University Ada, Project No. N0014-69-C-0148.
- 9. King, R., et al, 1973, "On Vortex Excitation of Model Piles in Water", Journal of Sound and Vibration, 29, **pp. 169-188 .**
- 10. King, R., 1973, "The Stability of Piles in Flowing Water", Dock and Harbour Authority, 53, 627, pp. 352-355.
- 11. King, R., 1971, "The Added Mass of Cylinders", BHRA **Report TN100.**
- 12. Darwin, C., 1953, "Note on Hydrodynamics", Proc. Cambridge Philosophical Society, 49, pp. 342-354.
- 13. Wootton, L.R. et al, 1972, "Oscillations of Piles in Marine Structures", C.I.R.I.A. Report 40.
- 14. King, R., 1974, "Hydroelastic Model Tests of Marine Piles - a Comparison of Model and Full Scale Results", **BHRA Report RR 1254. (See also King, R, Paper** OTC 1948, Offshore Technology Conference Houston, Texas, 1974).
- 15. Hardwick, J.D. and Wootton, L.R., 1973, "The use of Model and Fullscale Investigation of Marine Structures", paper 127 International Symposium on Vibration Problems in Industry, Keswick, U.K.
- Humphreys, J.S., 1960, "On a Circular Cylinder in a Steady Wind at Transition Reynolds Numbers", J. Fluid Mechanics, 9, pp. 603-612.
- 17. Surry, D., 1969, "The Effect of High Intensity Turbulence on the Aerodynamics of a Rigid Circular Cylinder at Subcritical Reynolds Numbers", U.T.I.A.S. Report **142.**
- 18. Griffin, O.M. et al., 1973, "Measurements of the Response of Bluff Bodies to Flow-Induced Vortex Shedding", Paper OTC 1814, Offshore Technology Conference, Houston, U.S.A.
- 19. Skop, A. and Griffin, O. M., 1973, "An Heuristic Model for determining Flow-Induced Vibrations of Offshore Structures", Paper OTC 1843, Offshore Technology Conference, Houston, U.S.A.

 $\frac{1}{2}$

Fig. 1 SURFACE FLOW PATTERNS . CYLINDER OSCIL LA TIN G CROSS -FLOW . KING (1974).

Fig. 2 COLLECTED RESULTS FOR CYLINDER OSCILLATIONS CROSS-FLOW. KING (1974).

Fig. 3 THE TWO INSTABILITY REGIONS OF IN-LINE **MOTION. KING** (1974).

Fig. 4 SYMMETRIC VORTEX SHEDDING FROM A **C Y L IN D E R O S C IL IA T IN G IN -L IN E . FIRST IN S T A B IIJ T Y R EG ION . KING (1974)**

Fig. 5 ALTERNATE VORTEX SHEDDING, CYLINDER OSCILLATING IN-LINE. SECOND INSTABILITY **REGION. KING (1974)**

Fig. 6 COLLECTED RESULTS FOR CYLINDER **OSCILLATIONS IN-LINE.** KING (1974)

V *Q*

(a) CYLINDER OSCILLATING UPSTREAM

$$
\mathbf{F} - \Delta \mathbf{F} = \frac{1}{2} \rho (C_d - C_d^*) (V + v)^2 d \cdot dx
$$

^v**— © (b) CYLINDER OSCILLATING DOWNSTREAM**

$$
F + \Delta F = \frac{1}{2} \rho (C_d + C_d)
$$
 $(V - v)^2 d. dx$

F = mean force/unit length

- **A F = fluctuating component of force F**
- $v = oscillatory velocity = 2 \pi n y = wy$ **from (a). (b)** F = $\frac{1}{2}$ ρ V²d. C'_d dx - ρ VdwyC_d dx First term is excitation force $\frac{1}{2}$ ρ C_d v^2 d. dx

Second term is damping force β d C_d V.v. dx

Fig. 7 FORCES ON CYLINDER OSCILLATING IN-LINE

Fig. 8 ANALYSIS OF FLOW PATTERNS. FIRST **INSTABILITY REGION IN-LINE.** KING (1974)

KING (1974)

 $\bar{\gamma}$

DISCUSSION

Thomas Corke, IIT: At IIT we became involved with **some work being done at Wood's Hole, Hass, dealing with cylindrical cables having hairy protrusions at one end. These cables were being used as moors and they didn't oscillate and had reduced drag. Our measurements using dyes and water indicate that these trailing strings prevent communication between vortices and our measurements tend to indicate a reduction in the drag on the cylinder. The question is: have you heard of this type of mooring cable?**

King: The effect of a splitter plate in the near wake of a stationary cylinder was investigated by Roshko and from what I remember, his results were consistent with the behaviors mentioned by you.

W. K. Blake, Department of the Navy: Does the symmetric vortex shedding occur on rigit cylinders? Are **you familiar with the stability calculations of Abernathy and Kronauer? Those calculations show "resonant modes" for shear layer motions and they predict the resonance wave-numbers for those motions. They ignored the symmetric mode on the , umption that it was stable.**

King: Symmetric vortices, to my knowledge, have not been detected in the wake of stationary cylinders at Reynolds numbers greater than about 90. I had not realized that symmetric vortex shedding from oscillat**ing cylinders had been recorded by researchers prior to about 1969-1970. However Laird (California) kindly sent me a film of just this, recorded by one of his students (Mandini) in 1964. This type of vortex shedding was not pursued or even taken seriously because** it was thought unlikely to lead to sustained instabil**ity compared with the well known and exceptionally powerful crossflow forces. I believe a German researcher** Rubach also noted inline oscillations in 1916.

A.K.M.Fazle Hussain, University of Houston: I am surprised to see no mention of Koopmann's (JFM 1969) work which is probably one of the earliest comprehensive investigations of the effect of transverse cylinder oscil**lation on vortex shedding; he also investigated the threshold amplitude necessary for synchronization or** lock-in to occur. I also see no mention of **Matanabe et al. (JFM, 1973) who reported the effect of imposed in-line oscillation on vortex shedding. The survey paper by Berger and W111e (Ann. Rev. of FI. Mech. 1972) discussed the effect of self-excited as well as imposed cylinder oscillation. You may also be aware that Ian**

Currie (U. of Toronto) has done recent analytical studies on this same topic.

Have you studied the effect of imposed in-line cylinder oscillation on vortex shedding?

At the Univ. of Houston we have carried out the inverse problem viz., the effect of streamwise oscil**lations of controlled frequencies and amplitudes on vortex shedding from a circular cylinder. We have also studied the effect of free-stream turbulence, as well as mild favorable and adverse pressure gradients** on vortex shedding. The effect of streamwise oscilla**tions can be dramatic depending on amplitude and frequency.**

King: I am aware of Koopman's work. No, I have never tried forcing the cylinder in-line.