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Light-particle single ionization of argon: Influence of the projectile charge sign
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The ionization of the 3p orbital of argon by incident electrons and positrons is studied by means of the post version of the continuum distorted wave–eikonal initial-state model. Results are presented at both 200 and 500 eV impact energies for conditions amenable to present experiments. Differences in the fully differential cross sections (FDCSs) are analyzed and the influence of the projectile charge sign on the emission dynamics is discussed. The FDCSs are found to display the classic binary plus recoil peak structure at 500 eV, but transition to a more complicated four-lobed structure at the lower impact energy.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Although fully differential data for (e, 2e) reactions were published 4 decades ago in the pioneering work of Ehrhardt et al. [1], it has been only a few years since similar data have become available for positron impact. This time gap can be related to the low positron beam intensities available in atomic physics laboratories, making experimental studies extremely difficult. The first effort to get fully differential data for positron-argon collisions was performed by Köver et al. and dates back to 1993 [2]. In successive years, these authors also obtained and presented triply differential cross sections for positrons scattered near zero degrees for the H2 target [3,4]. More recently, the experimental group of DuBois succeeded in obtaining fully differential cross-section (FDCS) angular distributions for positron-argon ionizing collisions at 500 eV, with positrons scattered to nonzero scattering angles [5,6].

From the theoretical side, FDCSs for electron and positron impact ionizations of atomic hydrogen were presented by Brauner and Briggs in 1993 [7] using the Born3C model. Afterwards, the data measured by Köver et al. in Ref. [2] were analyzed by Sparrow and Olson using the classical trajectory Monte Carlo method [8]. Later, Fiol et al. [9] applied a Born3C-type model similar to that of Brauner and Briggs in order to compare to the data of Ref. [2].

The overall dynamics of matter-antimatter collisions were reviewed by Schultz et al. [10]. In general, the differences in the total and single differential cross sections showed relatively smooth behavior in energy or angle [11]. However, the targets investigated were simple ones such as hydrogen and helium that possessed isotropic electronic distributions. From the early work that includes the Brauner and Briggs calculations, one is lead to expect that the FDCS for positron impact should possess the “classic” two-lobe binary plus recoil peak structure. Moreover, the comparison of FDCS between e− and e+ should be relatively straightforward with an enhanced binary peak magnitude for positron impact relative to that for electrons because of the post-collision interaction between projectile and ionized electron. Likewise, a larger recoil peak for electrons is expected because of the repulsive electron-electron interaction. We show in this work that such extrapolations are oversimplified for a target such as argon that has a nonisotropic outer shell electronic structure.

In the following, we report theoretical FDCS for electron and positron impact single ionizations of argon at impact energies of 200 and 500 eV for different emission energies and projectile scattering angles. The energies and projectile scattering angles were chosen to be compatible with experimental observation [5,6]. One goal of this work is to illustrate the differences due to projectile charge in the FDCS, remembering that at the first Born-approximation level, all cross sections are identical.

In the next section, we briefly describe the theoretical method used. Results are shown in Sec. III and finally conclusions are drawn in Sec. IV. Atomic units are used throughout this work unless otherwise stated.

II. THEORETICAL METHOD

We have employed the continuum distorted wave–eikonal initial-state (CDW-EIS) model, which has been developed and extensively used in ion-atom scattering for more than 2 decades [12–17]. Over the years, this model has been extended to study electron-impact ionization of atomic hydrogen [18] and, very recently, to single ionization of the 3p orbital of argon [19]. The FDCS in the CDW-EIS model is given by

$$\frac{d^5\sigma}{dE_xd\Omega_2d\Omega_1} = N_{e_x}(2\pi)^4\frac{k_1k_2}{k_0}\sum_{3p_0,3p_1,3p_2} |T_{fi}|^2. \quad (1)$$

Here, $N_{e_x}$ represents the number of identical electrons in the shell, $k_{1(2)}$ represents the momentum of the receding projectile and emitted electron, respectively, and $k_0$ represents the impinging projectile momentum. The exact transition amplitude in the Distorted wave formalism ($T_{fi}$) [20] in Eq. (1) is represented by

$$T_{fi} = T_{fi}^l + T_{fi}^d,$$

$$T_{fi}^l = \langle \chi_{i} \chi_{f} | D(r_{12}) | 1/r_{12} - V(r_i) | \Phi_i \rangle,$$

$$T_{fi}^d = \langle \chi_{i} \chi_{f} | D(r_{12}) | 1/r_{12} - V(r_i) | \Phi_i \rangle.$$
\[ T_{fi}^b = (\chi^i_{\kappa} \chi^e_{\kappa} D(r_{12}) \nabla_1 V_{12} - \nabla_2 V_{12})(e_1 e_{12} - 1) \Phi_f \varphi_i. \]  

The first amplitude is just the Born initial state-3 distorted waves final state (Born-3DW) approximation while the second amplitude contains all the higher-order corrections to this approximation. The wave functions \( \chi^\kappa \) are distorted waves which are generated from central potentials for the ion core. To represent the interaction of the electrons with the remaining ionic core, we consider the usual static screening potentials plus a local approximation for the electronic exchange. In this case, we have chosen the form provided by Gianturco and Scialla \([21]\) which is particularly suited for particles in the low-energy regime

\[ V_E = 0.5 \left[ E - V_{\text{eff}}(r) + \frac{3}{10} (3\pi^2 \rho(r))^{2/3} \right] - \left( E - V_{\text{eff}}(r) \right) + \frac{3}{10} (3\pi^2 \rho(r))^{2/3} + 4\pi \rho(r)^{1/2}. \]

Here, \( V_{\text{eff}}(r) \) is the static screening potential which is calculated with ionic orbitals and \( \rho(r) \) is the electron charge density.

For the positron-core interaction, we only use the static screening potential. The infinite partial waves are considered in \( \chi^\kappa \), since the Kummer functions associated to the corresponding asymptotic charges are corrected in the required number of partial waves until convergence to the Coulomb case is achieved. For \( \Phi_f \), we have chosen a Clementi-Roetti wave function \([22]\) and \( V \) represents the core potential seen by the impinging electron or positron. The latter is the only static screening potential calculated with the atomic orbitals for positron impact while a Gianturco-Schialla exchange term is also added for electron impact. The distortion \( D(r_{12}) \) is given by a Kummer function as shown in Ref. \([19]\). In Eq. \( (2) \), \( \varphi_i \) represents the incident plane wave for the projectile while \( e_1 \) are the eikonal distortions.

The calculation of the transition amplitude has been developed by direct six-dimensional (6D) numerical integration over the coordinates using the "VEGAS" adaptive Monte Carlo scheme together with the wave-packet approach developed by Malcherek and Briggs \([23]\). This numerical scheme was also implemented in recent years by Görtz et al. \([24]\) in \((e,3e)\) studies. The implementation of the method and the numerical accuracy were tested by using the hydrogen target (see Ref. \([19]\)). Here, the Monte Carlo integration was successfully tested against a previously developed code based on Nordsieck integrals and a three-dimensional 3D numerical integration scheme. Since we are concerned with very asymmetric collisions, for electron impact, we only include the direct amplitude neglecting the possibility of exchange between the impinging projectile and the active electron.

III. RESULTS

First, we compare our CDW-EIS results for 500 eV electron-impact ionization of Ar to the recent theoretical and experimental data by Kheifets et al. \([25]\). Those authors employed a distorted-wave Born approximation together with a Gamow factor (DWBA-G) to account for the post-collision interaction (PCI) among the receding electrons. At this impact energy and for a wide range of momentum transfers, they obtained good agreement with the experimental data for the noble gases He, Ne, and Ar. In Fig. 1, we consider the emitted electron energy of 37 eV while the projectile scattering angle is 6°. We have chosen this configuration since it corresponds to the smallest momentum transfer studied in Ref. \([25]\) for the collision system under study. We observe that the CDW-EIS theory provides results in good agreement with the DWBA-G. The DWBA-G results have been multiplied by a scaling factor of 1.15 to normalize them to our CDW-EIS results at the binary peak. Furthermore, we notice that for both theories, as well as for the experimental data, the binary peak is located very close to the direction along which the momentum-transfer vector is defined. However, it should be pointed out that neither DWBA-G nor CDW-EIS provides an accurate description of the data at larger angles. From the experimental and theoretical data of Ref. \([25]\), we infer that this trend is still valid for other emission energies which correspond to larger values of the momentum transfer.

One thing we noticed during the calculation of the present figure was that as the cross-section magnitude decreases, the number of evaluations required to achieve convergence grows drastically. The CDW-EIS calculation shown in Fig. 1 required \( 250 \times 10^6 \) evaluations of the integrand to achieve an estimated error of about 6% at the top of the binary peak as can be inferred from the associated error bars. The geometric asymmetric configurations that will be shown below for less energetic emitted electrons were obtained with an estimated error of less than 5% by using \( 140 \times 10^6 \) evaluation points.

In Fig. 2, we present FDCS for 500 eV positron and electron impact with the incident projectile scattered to 3° clockwise. The ionized electron energies are 2.4, 7.3, and 20 eV. The experimental positron data for 2.4 and 7.3 eV ionized...
et al. electrons are from de Lucio energies. In accordance with the results showed in Fig. 1, our
not been convoluted for experimental acceptance angles and
due to the large angular and energy acceptances of the detec-
tor. Note that in the 2.4 and 7.3 eV data, the centroid energies of
detections were −4.4 and 6.4 eV, respectively.

Another quantity observed was the energy dependence of
the ratio between the magnitudes of the positron binary to
the recoil peak. Such an observation is not effected by the
extraction field and should be quite reliable. Here, we find
excellent agreement with the experimental observations [5],
with the computations and data both displaying values that
slowly rise from near unity for 2.4 eV ionized electrons to a
factor of 3 for 20 eV ionized electrons. Interestingly, for
electron impact, this energy dependence is much shallower,
with the ratio only rising to a factor of 1.6 for 20 eV ionized
electrons. This we attribute to the opposite post-collision in-
 teractions for positron and electron impact.

From these data and the early calculations of Brauner and
Briggs [7], one is lead to expect a “classic” binary and recoil
peak structure in the FDCS. However, as we turn to 200 eV
impact, such predictions fail. During the last few years, Lo-
hmann et al. presented FDCS for the electron-Ar(3p) system
at impact energies of 200 and 113.5 eV at a fixed projectile
scattering angle of 15° [26–28]. Those FDCS showed a four-
lobe pattern and since they were published, they have chal-
enged the state-of-the-art theoretical methods [19,28,29]. No
theoretical method has so far provided an ultimate descrip-
tion of the experimental data in the intermediate to low im-
pact energy region which is still subject of active research.

In Fig. 3, we show 200 eV FDCS for positron and
electron-impact single ionizations of argon. The electron
emission energies under study are similar to those used in the
published 500 eV positron data and are 2 and 5 eV, while the
projectile scattering angles considered are 3°, 10°, and 15°.
In such a comparison, we start with soft collisions with low-
momentum transfer to the argon target (3.5°) and proceed to
colder collisions with larger momentum transfer (15°). The
classic two-lobe structure is displayed only when the projec-
tile scattering angle (or the momentum transfer) is very
small. As the momentum transfer to the target increases, a
transition to four-lobe FDCS is realized. Inspection of the
partial contributions of the argon 3p0 and 3p1 orbitals to the
FDCS shows that as the momentum transfer increases, the
contribution from the 3p0 orbital dominates over that of the
3p1, leading to a four-lobe pattern in the overall FDCS.

The general dependence of the cross sections on projectile
charge can be inferred from several features in the angular
distributions. First, we observe for positron impact that the
probability for electron emission in the direction of the re-
ceding projectile is larger than that corresponding to the
electron-impact case. This is due to the post-collision in-

FIG. 2. (Color online) FDCSs for 500 eV positron (solid line)
and electron (dot-dashed line) impact ionizations of argon. The
emission energies considered are 2.4, 7.3, and 20 eV and the
projectile scattering angle is 3° clockwise. The experimental data are
that of de Lucio et al. [5]. Small arrows indicate the momentum-
transfer direction
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Action between the projectile and the ionized electron with the positively charged $e^+$ accentuating the ionized electron intensity in the forward direction when compared to that of $e^-$ projectiles. Second, the recoil peak structure is generally more intense for the electron-impact case, showing that the repulsive nature of the projectile-ionized electron interaction results in a stronger momentum exchange with the Ar$^+$ ion core. It is clear from Fig. 3 that recalling a simple picture with a single binary and recoil peak is not appropriate when dealing with a complex target such as argon. On the other hand, a four-lobe pattern should not be automatically expected irrespective of the amount of momentum transferred by the projectile. We observe that the two-lobe pattern smoothly changes to a four-lobe pattern as the projectile scattering angle is varied from 3.5° to 15°. Hence, the use of simple physical pictures for the interpretation of the FDCS

FIG. 3. (Color online) FDCSs for 200 eV positron (solid line) and electron-impact (dashed line) ionizations of the 3p shell of argon. The emitted electron energies are 2 eV (left column) and 5 eV (right column). The projectile scattering angles are 3.5° (cases a and d), 10° (cases b and e), and 15° (cases c and f) measured clockwise. The small arrows indicate the momentum-transfer direction.
should be taken with caution since the resulting pattern depends strongly on the momentum transferred by the projectile to the target.

**IV. CONCLUSIONS**

To summarize, we have presented a theoretical study of $e^-$ and $e^+$ ionizing collisions from argon at the fully differential level. Our calculations indicate that the FDCS are more complicated than what would be predicted from studies based on radially symmetric targets such as H or He. The reason we chose the argon target was because it is now a subject of active experimental investigation \[5,6,25-28\]. Whereas at the impact energy of 500 eV the CDW-EIS predicts a binary peak position close to the momentum-transfer direction, as shown by the recent experimental data by Kheifets et al. \[25\] for electron impact, we observe clear differences with the recently published positron impact data of de Lucia et al. Although we nicely reproduce the ratio of magnitudes of the positron impact binary to recoil peaks at different emission energies, our binary peaks are located close to the direction in which the momentum-transfer vector is defined in contrast with the data which exhibit binary peaks close to 90°. It may be possible that the convolution of the theoretical FDCS over the reported experimental resolutions would reconcile the agreement with the data. However, such an investigation would exhaust present computing capabilities due to the wide energy and angular acceptances of the data. Further experimental exploration of these geometries involving low-momentum transfers would be welcome to clarify on this issue. We expect that in the near future, expanded measurements of positron and electron-impact ionizations of argon will provide a step forward in elucidating the collision dynamics for matter-antimatter collisions.
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