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Abstract: The FDTD (Finite-Difference Time-Domain) method is used for modeling EMI antenna geometries to anticipate EMI problems in high-speed digital designs. FDTD is well-suited to modeling large-scale geometries such as cables that might be driven against PCB ground planes as a result of a noise voltage that appears at the connector. Three specific cases are addressed herein including a simple cable driven against a PCB ground, coupling between a high-speed digital and I/O line that drives a cable against the PCB, and the finite impedance of the PCB reference plane that results in an effective noise source that drives the PCB ground against the cable. The FDTD modeling is compared with measurements. Guidelines for coupling to I/O lines, and a keep-out distance around the board periphery for high-speed digital lines can then be developed.

INTRODUCTION

An EMI problem is typically comprised of a noise source, EMI antenna, and a parasitic coupling path between the noise source and antenna. A cable attached to a printed circuit board that might be driven by an effective noise source at the connector against the PCB ground or a shielding enclosure is a common EMI problem. It is helpful to be able to anticipate in design the resonances of such antenna configurations where the EMI can peak. Also, by knowing the current distribution on the cable, a ferrite sleeve used to mitigate the problem can be placed at the common-mode current maximum for optimal effectiveness. An equivalent circuit model of the EMI antenna with frequency can be used with noise source and coupling path models at the PCB level to estimate the radiated field strength for EMI compliance. Finally, by selectively modeling the EMI antenna geometry with PCB level coupling paths, EMI design guidelines can be developed.

EMI antenna modeling for three configurations is detailed herein. Specifically, a simple geometry of a wire driven against a PCB ground plane is considered first. Among the critical issues is determining what must be modeled, and how to model it in order to achieve good agreement with measurements. An experimental procedure for measuring common-mode current on an attached cable has been developed for this purpose. A second case, that is a common EMI problem in automotive designs is noise coupling between high-speed digital and I/O lines that drives the cable against the PCB ground. The problem is worked in two steps using transmission-line modeling at the PCB level to calculate the effective noise source at the EMI antenna terminals, and then using this noise voltage with FDTD to determine the common-mode current on the cable and the radiated EMI. Finally, a PCB level noise source that results from the finite impedance of the PCB reference planes is studied to determine the necessary "keep-out" area on the board periphery for high-speed trace routing.

MODELING OF PCB TYPE EMI ANTENNAS

An attached cable connected to an I/O line on a PCB can be driven as an unintentional antenna resulting in EMI [1][2]. The modeling of a simple PCB type EMI antenna is discussed first. Figure 1 shows the modeling and the geometry of the EMI antenna under test for a PCB type EMI antenna. A 20 cm long thin wire, 0.06 cm in diameter, is connected to an SMA connector. The FDTD method (Yee's algorithm [3]) was used for modeling the EMI antenna. The thin wire was modeled with a subcellular algorithm [3]. This approach was also used for give the source a specified radius as well [1]. A cell size of \( \delta x = 1.5 \) cm, \( \delta y = 1.25 \) cm and \( \delta z = 1.5 \) cm was used in the frequency range from 50 MHz to 1 GHz. The dimensions of the EMI antenna model was \( 46 \times 41 \times 46 \) cells in the \( x, y, \) and \( z \) dimensions. The time step was \( \delta t = 2.0E-11 \) s from the Courant stability condition [3],

\[
\delta t \leq \frac{1}{c\sqrt{\frac{1}{\delta x^2} + \frac{1}{\delta y^2} + \frac{1}{\delta z^2}}}
\]
where $c$ is light speed.

An FDTD code developed in the authors' laboratory was used for numerical calculation. PMLs (Perfectly Matched Layers) are used for the absorbing boundary condition [3]. A sinusoidally modulated Gaussian pulse was used as the source. The voltage and current at the source was calculated to get the input impedance, and, at the same time, the current at the center of semi-rigid cable was calculated to get the common-mode current. The aluminum plate used in the experiments was included in the FDTD modeling.

The input impedance $Z_{in}$ of the EMI antenna was measured using an RF impedance analyzer (HP 4291A) as shown in Fig. 2(a). $|S_{21}|$ with the locations of Port 1 (the voltage source for the signal trace) and Port 2 (current probe on the cable) was measured using a network analyzer (HP 8753D) as shown in Fig. 2(b), where $|S_{21}|$ is related to the common-mode current on the EMI antenna [1][2]. A current probe (Fischer F-2000) was mounted to the aluminum plate and circled the coaxial cable. A 60 cm x 60 cm aluminum plate was used to isolate the PCB model from the cable dressing leading to a network analyzer or an impedance analyzer.

The relationship between $|S_{21}|$ and the common-mode current is described below. The calibration of the network analyzer and removal of the frequency response of the current probe are done by using copper ring which is tightly wrapped around the probe. The current $I_{Port1}$ in the copper ring at low frequencies is given by $I_{Port1} \approx V_{DM}/50$, where $V_{DM}$ is the RF source voltage of the network analyzer, and the source impedance of the network analyzer is 50 $\Omega$. The voltage at Port 2 is given by $V_2 = 50I_{Port2}$, where $I_{Port2}$ is the current sensed by the current probe. The currents in Port 1 and Port 2 are related by the frequency response of the current probe $H_{pr}(f)$, therefore $I_{Port2} = H_{pr}(f)I_{Port1}$. As the source impedance is matched to the characteristic impedance of the cable, the voltage at Port 1 is given by $V_1 = V_{DM}/2$. Then $|S_{21}|$ before calibration is given by

$$|S_{21}| = \left|\frac{V_2}{V_1}\right| = \left|\frac{50H_{pr}(f)V_{DM}/50}{V_{DM}/2}\right| = |2H_{pr}(f)|.$$  

This is used to compare between the experimental and numerical results.
The input impedance $|Z_m|$ and $|S_{21}|$ of the EMI antenna with FDTD modeling and measurements are compared in Fig. 3 and 4, respectively. The agreement is good over the considered frequency range. In Fig. 4, the two peaks on $S_{21}$ are observed at approximately 180 and 350 MHz, where the $|Z_m|$ is a minimum. These frequencies are related to the total length of EMI antenna, which is similar to $\lambda/4$ and $\lambda/2$.

**EMI Modeling of Coupling to I/O Lines**

The coupling between two closely-spaced transmission lines is modeled by using a combination of multiple lumped element section modeling on the PCB, and FDTD modeling of the EMI antenna. The lumped element modeling is compatible with general circuit analysis tools, such as SPICE. The line loss and terminations of the lines can be incorporated into the lumped element models. The cable attached to the I/O line is modeled as an EMI antenna shown in Fig. 1(a). The input impedance of this antenna is obtained by measurements or FDTD modeling mentioned above, as shown in Fig. 2(a). Then the input impedance is used as the load in the I/O coupled line circuit modeling. The coupled noise voltage at the load of the I/O circuit is calculated with SPICE. The EMI radiation from the attached cable is dependent on this noise voltage and the input impedance of the attached cable. Then, using FDTD modeling, the common-mode current is calculated by using the effective noise voltage calculated from the coupled-line problem to drive the EMI antenna.

Figure 5 shows the geometry of the coupling to an I/O line problem. Two microstrip lines are located on the top of a 12 cm $\times$ 5 cm PCB. The bottom side of the PCB is the ground plane. One microstrip line is driven by the network analyzer to simulate a digital line, and the other line is the coupled I/O line, which is connected to a 20 cm long thin wire, 65 mils. in diameter. The distance between the two lines is 16.5 mils., and the line width is 13 mils. The length of the coupled line section is 3 cm.

![Figure 5: Geometry of the EMI antenna driven by coupling to an I/O line.](image)

Figure 6: $|S_{21}|$ of the EMI antenna model coupled to I/O line with FDTD modeling and measurement ($Z_{NE} = Z_L = \infty$).

In Fig. 5, $Z_{NE}$ and $Z_L$ are the terminated loads of the two lines.

The measurement of $|S_{21}|$ was made with the same method mentioned above, as shown in Fig. 2(b). $|S_{21}|$ of the EMI from a coupled I/O line with FDTD modeling and measurement, in the case where $Z_{NE} = Z_L = \infty$, is compared in Fig. 6. The agreement is good over the considered frequency range.

**Modeling of EMI Antenna with a Microstrip Line**

The modeling of a PCB type EMI antenna driven by a microstrip line, and the effect of the position of the line with respect to the board edge was also considered.

Figure 7 shows the geometry of the EMI antenna driven by a microstrip line as a result of the finite impedance of the ground. A microstrip line, with width $w$ of 20 mils. and length of 5 cm, was traced on the PCB and terminated by an SMT resistor. A 20 cm thin wire, 0.06 cm in diameter, was attached to the edge of a 10 cm $\times$ 15 cm PCB, with thickness $h = 43$ mils., and $w/h = 1/2$. A 0.085" semi-rigid cable was connected to the microstrip line. The other edge of the cable was extended beyond the PCB for 3 cm, soldered on the ground plane side of the PCB, and connected to an SMA connector.

Five different configurations with different distance $d$ between the microstrip line and the edge of PCB, as shown in Table 1, were prepared. The characteristic impedance of strip line was approximately calculated as 100 $\Omega$ [4][5], but the terminating resistor was determined from TDR measurements, as shown in Table 1.

The measurement of $|S_{21}|$ was made with the same method discussed above, as shown in Fig. 2(b). Figure 8 shows the experimental results of $|S_{21}|$. The first peak is at approximately 260 MHz, and is related to the total length of the EMI antenna, which is comparable to $\lambda/4$. As the position of the microstrip line is closer to the edge
Figure 7: Geometry of the PCB type EMI antenna driven a microstrip line.

Table 1: The EMI antenna with a micro strip line under test (d: distance between the strip line and the edge of PCB, h: thickness of the dielectric substrate).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>d</th>
<th>d/h</th>
<th>Terminating resistor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>100 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>100 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>91 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>600</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>91 Ω</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>center</td>
<td>45.5</td>
<td>91 Ω</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of the PCB, |S| becomes larger. The difference between on the case of “d50” and “d250” is approximately 7 dB. The results suggest that d/h > 10 may be most suitable in the design of traces on a PCB.

FDTD modeling of a PCB type EMI antenna with a microstrip line was also attempted. Though the width of microstrip line and the depth of the dielectric substrate are very thin, each must be modeled with at least 3 cells in the calculation. The cell sizes are then Δx = 0.17 mm, Δy = 1.2 mm and Δz = 0.36 mm. Then, the dimension of the EMI antenna model is 603 × 200 × 18 cells, in the x, y, and z dimensions. And the time step is δt = 4.23E − 13 s from Eq. (1). Also, more than 20,000 time steps are needed to calculate over the considered frequency range from 50 MHz to 1 GHz, though Prony’s method or the generalized pencil of function (GPOF) can reduce this [3]. Presently too much memory and time are needed for this numerical calculation in the present FDTD code. A multi-grid technique is proposed to reduce the mesh cell size, and is currently being implemented in the FDTD code [6].

CONCLUSION

FDTD modeling of EMI antennas can be used to develop insight and design guidelines for high-speed digital designs. Measurements of input impedance and common-mode current were used to demonstrate the modeling. Specific applications of the modeling include a hybrid transmission line and FDTD for modeling EMI resulting from high-speed digital and I/O line coupling. Other cases require a full FDTD implementation of the geometry on the PCB to capture the EMI mechanism, such as the finite impedance of the ground plane, which impacts the proximity of a trace to the board edge and the resulting EMI. In these cases, the FDTD problem can become prohibitively large, and alternatives such as multi-gridding are necessary. However, when FDTD can be used to EMI modeling, general design guidelines can be developed, as well as insight into fundamental EMI mechanisms gained.

REFERENCES