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A Discrete-Time Model for Triply Selective MIMO Rayleigh Fading Channels

Chengshan Xiao, Senior Member, IEEE, Jingxian Wu, Sang-Yick Leong, Yahong Rosa Zheng, and Khaled Ben Letaief, Fellow, IEEE

Abstract—A statistical discrete-time model is proposed for simulating wideband multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) fading channels which are triply selective due to angle spread, Doppler spread, and delay spread. The new discrete-time MIMO channel model includes the combined effects of the transmit filter, physical MIMO multipath channel fading, and receive filter, and it has the same sampling period as that of the MIMO receiver. This leads to very efficient simulation of physical continuous-time MIMO channels. A new method is also presented to efficiently generate the MIMO channel stochastic coefficients. The statistical accuracy of the discrete-time MIMO channel model is rigorously verified through theoretical analysis and extensive simulations in different conditions. The high computational efficiency of the discrete-time MIMO channel model is illustrated by comparing it to that of the continuous-time MIMO channel model. The new model is further employed to evaluate the channel capacity of MIMO systems in a triply selective Rayleigh fading environment. The simulation results reveal some interesting effects of spatial correlations, multipaths, and number of antennas on the MIMO channel capacity.

Index Terms—Discrete-time channel model, multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) channel, multiple-input multiple-output multipath channel capacity, Rayleigh fading, triply selective fading, wide-sense stationary uncorrelated scattering (WSSUS) multipath channel.

I. INTRODUCTION

The multiple-input multiple-output (MIMO) communication architecture has recently emerged as a new paradigm for high data rate wireless cellular communications in rich multipath environments. Using multiple-element antenna arrays at both the transmitter and receiver, which effectively exploits the spatial dimension in addition to time and frequency dimensions, this architecture shows channel capacity potential far beyond that of traditional techniques. In quasi-static, independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) frequency flat Rayleigh fading channels, the MIMO capacity scales linearly with the number of antennas under some conditions [1], [2]. However, in practice, subchannels of a MIMO system are usually space-selective (caused by angle spread at the transmitter and/or receiver), time-selective (caused by Doppler spread), and frequency-selective (caused by delay spread), which are referred to as triply selective MIMO channels in this paper. These selectivities may substantially affect the MIMO performance [3], [4]. Further work in this field necessitates a realistic and efficient MIMO channel simulation model to investigate, evaluate, and test new algorithms and performance of MIMO wireless systems under triply selective fading scenarios.

The topic of MIMO channel modeling has received great interest recently [5]–[12]. Frequency-selective Rayleigh fading channels were discussed in [5] and [6] with certain assumptions, while flat Rayleigh fading channels were explored in [12]. Physical channel models which include antenna polarization and/or angular information were discussed in [7]–[11]. But, all of these previous models are special cases of triply selective fading, and they are continuous-time-based models. When the number of multiple delayed fading paths is large and/or the differential delay between paths is small, which is usually true for wideband systems in practice, then a significant amount of computational effort is required in simulations with continuous-time channel models [13].

The discrete-time channel model was first presented by Forney [14], and simulation models in the discrete-time domain were discussed in [15]–[17] for single-input single-output (SISO) wireless channels. These papers [15]–[17] qualitatively showed the computational efficiency in favor of the discrete-time models; however, simulation results showed that these discrete-time models are not statistically equivalent to their continuous-time counterparts. For example, the bit-error rate (BER) performance from these discrete-time models of a mobile communication system is different from that of the corresponding continuous-time models. This significantly reduces the practical value of these simulation models in [15]–[17]. Therefore, an accurate discrete-time model for both SISO and MIMO channels is highly desirable.

The main objective of this paper is to establish a discrete-time MIMO channel model, which is statistically accurate and computationally efficient to characterize the continuous-time MIMO Rayleigh fading channel that is triply selective. The discrete-time MIMO channel model will translate the effects of transmit filter, physical MIMO channel fading, and receive filter into the receiver’s sampling-period spaced stochastic channel coefficients. No oversampling is needed to handle multiple fractionally delayed fading paths or to approximate channels with possible continuous delayed paths. The simulation of a MIMO system is carried out in a pure discrete manner, which leads to...
a higher computational efficiency and possible better statistical accuracy.

This paper is organized as follows. Section II describes the continuous-time MIMO Rayleigh fading channel which includes the transmit filter, physical MIMO fading channel, and receive filter. Two assumptions on the physical MIMO channel are also stated in this section. Section III proposes a discrete-time MIMO channel model which is statistically equivalent to the continuous-time MIMO channel model. The statistical properties of the discrete-time MIMO Rayleigh fading channel are analyzed in detail and further used to generate the stochastic channel coefficients for simulation purposes. Simulation experiments are shown in Section IV to demonstrate the statistical accuracy, computational efficiency, and applications of the discrete-time MIMO channel model, including the evaluation of MIMO channel capacity under triply selective fading scenarios. Finally, Section V concludes the paper.

II. MIMO CHANNEL DESCRIPTION AND ASSUMPTIONS

A. MIMO Channel Description

We consider a wideband MIMO wireless channel which contains \( N \) transmit antennas and \( M \) receive antennas. Let \( p_R(t) \) and \( p_T(t) \) be the time-invariant impulse responses of the transmit filter and the receive filter, respectively, and both are normalized with energy of unity. Let \( g_{mn}(t,\tau) \) be the time-varying impulse response of the \((m,n)\)th subchannel connecting the \( n \)th transmit antenna and the \( m \)th receive antenna, where \( g_{mn}(t,\tau) \) is defined as the response at time \( t \) to an impulse applied to the subchannel at time \( t - \tau \) [18]. The block diagram of this MIMO channel is depicted in Fig. 1, where \( \{s_n(t)\} \) is a sequence of complex symbols transmitted by the \( n \)th transmit antenna with symbol period of \( T_{\text{sym}} \), \( y_m(t) \) is the received signal at the \( m \)th receive antenna, and \( y_m(k) \) is the sampled version of \( y_m(t) \) with sampling period of \( T_s = T_{\text{sym}}/\gamma \), and \( \gamma \) is an integer number. If \( \gamma = 1 \), then the sampling rate at the receiver is the same as the symbol rate at the transmitter.

We define the combined impulse response of the \((m,n)\)th subchannel as

\[
h_{mn}(t,\tau) = p_R(\tau) \ast g_{mn}(t,\tau) \ast p_T(\tau) \tag{1}
\]

where \( a(\tau) \ast b(t,\tau) = \int b(t,\alpha)a(\tau - \alpha)d\alpha \) represents the convolution operation. Therefore, the received signal \( y_m(t) \) can be expressed by

\[
y_m(t) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} s_n(k)h_{mn}(t,\tau - kT_{\text{sym}}) + z_m(t), \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \tag{2}
\]

where \( z_m(t) \) is the additive noise given by

\[
z_m(t) = v_m(t) \ast p_R(t) \tag{3}
\]

and \( v_m(t) \) is the zero-mean complex-valued white Gaussian noise with a two-sided power spectral density \( N_0 \). The sampled version of the received signal at the \( m \)th receive antenna is given by

\[
y_m(kT_s) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} s_n(l)h_{mn}(kT_s,\tau - kT_s) + z_m(kT_s), \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, M. \tag{4}
\]

If we oversample the transmitted sequence \( \{s_n(k)\} \) by inserting \( (\gamma - 1) \) zeros between each symbol \( s_n(k) \), then the oversampled sequence denoted by \( \{x_n(k)\} \) can be defined as

\[
x_n(k) = \begin{cases} s_n \left( \frac{k}{\gamma} \right), & \text{if } \frac{k}{\gamma} \text{ is integer} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}
\]

Replacing \( s_n(k) \) in (4) by \( x_n(k) \), we obtain the following equation with a single data rate of \( 1/T_s \)

\[
y_m(k) = \sum_{n=1}^{N} \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} x_n(k-l)h_{mn}(k,l) + z_m(k), \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots, M \tag{6}
\]

![Fig. 1. Conventional continuous-time baseband MIMO channel model.](image-url)
where $h_{m,n}(k,l) = h_{m,n}(kT_s, lT_s)$ is the $T_s$-space sampled version of $h_{m,n}(t, \tau)$, and $z_m(k) = z_m(kT_s)$ is the $T_s$-space sampled version of $z(t)$.

With the statistical properties of the discrete-time channel coefficients $h_{m,n}(k,l)$ and the additive noises $z_m(k)$, the MIMO channel input–output can be fully characterized in the discrete-time domain with high computational efficiency and no loss of information. Details are given in Section III.

### B. MIMO Channel Assumptions

We have two assumptions on the continuous-time physical channel of wideband MIMO wireless systems.

**Assumption 1:** The $(m,n)$th subchannel $g_{m,n}(t, \tau)$ of a MIMO system is a wide-sense stationary uncorrelated scattering (WSSUS) [18], [19] Rayleigh fading channel with a zero mean and autocorrelation given by

$$E \left\{ g_{m,n}(t, \tau) \cdot g_{m,n}^*(t - \xi, \tau') \right\} = J_0(2\pi f_d \xi) \cdot G(\tau) \cdot \delta(\tau - \tau'), \quad \forall m, n \quad (7)$$

where $(\cdot)^*$ is the conjugate operator, $f_d$ is the maximum Doppler frequency, and $G(\tau)$ is the power delay profile with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(\tau) d\tau = 1$.

It is important to note that this assumption is commonly employed for SISO channels in the literature [13], [15]–[17] and in wireless standards documents [22], [23] for both TDMA-based global system for mobile (GSM) communications, Enhanced Data rate for Global Evolution (EDGE) systems, and code-division multiple-access (CDMA)-based universal mobile telecommunications systems (UMTS) and cdma2000 systems. Moreover, the power delay profile $G(\tau)$ is often assumed to be discrete and is given by

$$G(\tau) = \sum_{i=1}^{K} \sigma_i^2 \delta(\tau - \tau_i) \quad (8)$$

where $K$ is the number of total resolvable paths and $\sigma_i^2$ is the power of the $i$th path with delay $\tau_i$. For example, the typical urban (TU), hilly terrain (HT), and equalization test (EQ) profiles for GSM and EDGE systems [22] as well as the pedestrian and vehicular profiles for channel A and channel B of cdma2000 and UMTS systems [23] have all been defined as discrete delayed Rayleigh fading paths, and almost all the path delays $\tau_i$ are not an integer multiple of their system’s symbol period $T_s$ (or chip period $T_c$ for CDMA systems).

This assumption implies that the fades of all the subchannels are identically distributed. However, it does not require them to be statistically independent. This implies that the subchannels are not necessarily i.i.d., which was commonly assumed in the literature for MIMO channels.

**Assumption 2:** The space selectivity or (spatial correlation) between the $(m,n)$th subchannel $g_{m,n}(t, \tau)$ and the $(p,q)$th subchannel $g_{p,q}(t, \tau)$ is given by

$$E \left\{ g_{m,n}(t, \tau) \cdot g_{p,q}^*(t - \xi, \tau') \right\} = \rho_{m,n}^{(m,p)} \cdot \rho_{p,q}^{(m,q)} \cdot J_0(2\pi f_d \xi) \cdot G(\tau) \cdot \delta(\tau - \tau') \quad (9)$$

where $\rho_{m,n}^{(m,p)}$ is the receive correlation coefficient between receive antennas $m$ and $p$ with $0 \leq \rho_{m,n}^{(m,p)} \leq \rho_{m,n}^{(m,m)} = 1$, and $\rho_{p,q}^{(m,q)}$ is the transmit correlation coefficient between transmit antennas $p$ and $q$ with $0 \leq \rho_{p,q}^{(m,q)} \leq \rho_{p,q}^{(a,a)} = 1$.

Assumption 2 is a straightforward extension of the MIMO Rayleigh flat fading case in [24] to the MIMO WSSUS multipath Rayleigh fading case. It implies three subassumptions as explained in [24] and cited as follows: 1) the transmit correlation between the fading from transmit antennas $p$ and $q$ to the same receive antenna does not depend on the receive antenna; 2) the receive correlation between the fading from a transmit antenna to receive antennas $m$ and $p$ does not depend on the transmit antenna; and 3) the correlation between the fading of two distinct transmit-receive antenna pairs is the product of the corresponding transmit correlation and receive correlation. These three subassumptions are actually the “Kronecker correlation” assumption used in the literature, and they are quite accurate and commonly used for MIMO Rayleigh fading channels [4], [25]. However, it should be pointed out that the third subassumption may not be extended to Rice fading MIMO channels [26].

It is noted here that the spatial correlation coefficients $\rho_{m,n}^{(m,p)}$ and $\rho_{p,q}^{(m,q)}$ are determined by the spatial arrangements of the transmit and receive antennas, and the angle of arrival, the angular spread, etc. They can be calculated by mathematical formulas [4], [25] or obtained from experimental data.

### III. DISCRETE-TIME MIMO CHANNEL MODEL

In this section, we present a discrete-time model for triply selective MIMO Rayleigh fading channels, then we investigate the statistical properties of this MIMO channel in the discrete-time domain. These statistics are further used to build a computationally efficient discrete-time MIMO channel simulator, which is equivalent to its counterpart in the continuous-time domain in terms of various statistic measures.

#### A. Discrete-Time Channel Model

It is known that the total number of $T_s$-spaced discrete-time channel coefficients $h_{m,n}(k,l)$ is determined by the maximum delay spread of the physical channel fading $g_{m,n}(t, \tau)$ and the time durations of the transmit filter and receive filter, which are usually infinite in theory to maintain limited frequency bandwidth. Therefore, $h_{m,n}(k,l)$ is normally a time-varying noncausal filter with infinite impulse response (IIR). However, in practice, the time-domain tails of the transmit and receive filters are designed to fall off rapidly. Thus, the amplitudes of the channel coefficients $h_{m,n}(k,l)$ will decrease quickly with increasing $|l|$. When the power (or squared amplitude) of a coefficient is smaller than a predefined threshold, for example, 0.01% of the total power of its corresponding subchannel, it has very little impact on the output signal and thus can be discarded. Therefore, the time-varying noncausal IIR channel can be truncated to a finite impulse response (FIR) channel. Without loss of generality, we assume that the coefficient index $l$ is in the range of $[-L_1, L_2]$, where $L_1$ and $L_2$ are nonnegative

\[1\]

It should be noted that the noncausality of $h_{m,n}(k,l)$ is induced by the effects of the transmit filter and receive filter, while the physical CIR $g_{m,n}(t, \tau)$ is always causal.
Fig. 2. Equivalent discrete-time MIMO channel model.

Integers, and the total number of coefficients for the truncated FIR channel $h_{mn}(k,l)$ is $L$ with $L \leq L_1 + L_2 + 1$, where the equality is held if there are no discarded coefficients within the coefficient index range of $[-L_1, L_2]$. 

Based on the above discussion and (6), we can now describe the input–output relationship of the MIMO channel in the discrete-time domain as follows:

$$
y(k) = \sum_{l=-L_1}^{L_2} H_l(k) \cdot x(k-l) + z(k) \quad (10)$$

where $x(k) = [x_1(k), x_2(k), \ldots, x_N(k)]^t$, $z(k) = [z_1(k), z_2(k), \ldots, z_M(k)]^t$ and $y(k) = [y_1(k), y_2(k), \ldots, y_M(k)]^t$ are the input vector, noise vector, and output vector at time instant $k$, respectively, with $(\cdot)^t$ being the transpose operator; $H_l(k)$ is the $T_s$ delayed channel matrix at time instant $k$ and defined by

$$
H_l(k) = \begin{bmatrix}
h_{1,l}(k,l) & \cdots & h_{1,N}(k,l) \\
\vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
h_{M,l}(k,l) & \cdots & h_{M,N}(k,l)
\end{bmatrix}. \quad (11)
$$

The block diagram of this discrete-time MIMO channel model is shown in Fig. 2.

It is noted that there are $(MNL)$ stochastic channel coefficients, and an $M$-element random noise vector in this MIMO Rayleigh fading model (10). Since all of them are complex-valued Gaussian random variables, the first-order and second-order statistics of the channel coefficients and the noise vector will be sufficient to fully characterize the MIMO channel. For the convenience of discussion, we define the MIMO channel coefficient vector $h_{vec}(k)$ as follows:

$$
h_{vec}(k) = [h_{1,1}(k), \ldots, h_{1,N}(k)] \cdots [h_{M,1}(k), \ldots, h_{M,N}(k)]^t \quad (12)
$$

where $h_{mn}(k)$ is the $(m,n)$th subchannel’s FIR coefficients at time $k$ given by

$$
h_{mn}(k) = [h_{mn}(k_L - L_1) \cdots h_{mn}(k_L L_2)]^t. \quad (13)
$$

We are now ready to discuss the statistical properties of the MIMO channel.

B. Statistical Properties of the Discrete-Time Channel

Proposition 1: The noise vector $z(k)$ is zero-mean Gaussian distributed with auto-covariance matrix $R_{zz}(k_1 - k_2)$ given by

$$
R_{zz}(k_1 - k_2) = E[z(k_1) \cdot z^h(k_2)] = N_0 \cdot R_{PPR} \cdot [I_{T_s} - k_2 T_s] \cdot I_M. \quad (14)
$$

where $(\cdot)^h$ stands for the Hermitian of a complex-valued vector or matrix, $R_{PPR}(\xi)$ is the auto-correlation function of the receive filter $p_R(t)$, $N_0$ is the two-sided power spectral density of the complex-valued additive white Gaussian noise (AWGN) $v_m(t)$, and $I_M$ is an $M \times M$ identity matrix.

Proof: Since $z_{mn}(t)$ is the output of a time-invariant linear filter with the input of zero-mean AWGN $v_m(t)$, $z_{mn}(t)$ and its sampled version $z_{mn}(kT_s)$ are both zero-mean Gaussian random variables. Noting that the zero-mean AWGN $v_m(t)$ is independent from time to time and from antenna to antenna (i.e., $E[v_m(t_1) v_m^h(t_2)] = N_0 \delta(t_1 - t_2)$) we can immediately get (14).

If the autocorrelation function of the receive filter $p_R(t)$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
R_{PPR}(kT_s) = 0, \quad k \neq 0 \quad (15)
$$

then the discrete-time Gaussian noise $z_{mn}(k)$ is still white, from sample to sample and from antenna to antenna, with variance of $N_0$ due to the receive filter being normalized to have energy of unity (i.e., $R_{PPR}(0) = 1$).

$$
c(l_1, l_2) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R_{PPR}(l_1 T_s - \tau) R_{PPR}^*(l_2 T_s - \tau) G(\tau) d\tau, & \text{if } G(\tau) \text{ is continuous} \\
\sum_{i=1}^{K} \sigma_i^2 \tilde{R}_{PPR}(l_1 T_s - \tau_i) \tilde{R}_{PPR}^*(l_2 T_s - \tau_i), & \text{if } G(\tau) \text{ is given by (8)}
\end{array} \right. \quad (17)
$$
Proposition 2: The channel coefficients $h_{m,n}(k,l)$ and $h_{p,q}(k_2,l_2)$ are zero-mean Gaussian random variables, and their covariance function is given by

$$E \left[ h_{m,n}(k_1,l_1) \cdot h_{p,q}^*(k_2,l_2) \right] = \rho_{Rx}^{(m,p)} \cdot \rho_{Tx}^{(n,q)} \cdot \mathfrak{C}(l_1,l_2) \cdot J_0 \left[ 2\pi f_d (k_1 - k_2)T_s \right]$$ \hspace{1cm} (16)

where we have (17), as shown at the bottom of the previous page, with $R_{p+p}(\xi)$ being the convolution function of the transmit filter and receive filter.

Proof: Based on (1) and $g_{m,n}(l,\tau)$ being zero-mean Gaussian processes, it is easy to conclude that $h_{m,n}(k,l)$ and $h_{p,q}(k,l)$ are zero-mean Gaussian random variables. Since $h_{m,n}(k,l)$ is the sampled version of $h_{m,n}(l,\tau)$, we have

$$h_{m,n}(k,l) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} R_{p+p}(IT_s - \tau)g_{m,n}(kT_s,\tau)d\tau.$$ \hspace{1cm} (18)

According to Assumption 2, we can obtain (19), as shown at the bottom of the page, where $c(l_1,l_2)$ is given by (17). Thus, the proof is complete.

We are now in a position to present the statistical property of the channel coefficient column vector $\mathbf{h}_\text{rec}(k)$ with the following theorem.

Theorem 1: The channel coefficient column vector $\mathbf{h}_\text{rec}(k)$ is zero-mean Gaussian distributed, its covariance matrix is given by

$$\mathbf{C}_h(k_1-k_2) = E \left\{ \mathbf{h}_\text{rec}(k_1) \cdot \mathbf{h}_\text{rec}^*(k_2) \right\}$$

$$= (\mathbf{\Psi}_{Rx} \otimes \mathbf{\Psi}_{Tx} \otimes \mathbf{C}_\text{EI}) \cdot J_0 \left[ 2\pi f_d (k_1 - k_2)T_s \right]$$ \hspace{1cm} (20)

where $\otimes$ denotes the Kronecker product [27] and $\mathbf{C}_\text{EI}$ is the covariance matrix of the intersymbol interference (ISI) filter tap vector $\mathbf{h}_{m,n}(k)$. Likewise, $\mathbf{\Psi}_{Rx}$, $\mathbf{\Psi}_{Tx}$, and $\mathbf{C}_\text{EI}$ are given by

$$\mathbf{\Psi}_{Rx} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{Rx}^{(1,1)} & \cdots & \rho_{Rx}^{(1,M)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho_{Rx}^{(M,1)} & \cdots & \rho_{Rx}^{(M,M)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{\Psi}_{Tx} = \begin{bmatrix} \rho_{Tx}^{(1,1)} & \cdots & \rho_{Tx}^{(1,N)} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \rho_{Tx}^{(N,1)} & \cdots & \rho_{Tx}^{(N,N)} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\mathbf{C}_\text{EI} = \begin{bmatrix} c(-L_1,-L_1) & \cdots & c(-L_1,L_2) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c(L_2,-L_1) & \cdots & c(L_2,L_2) \end{bmatrix}$$

with $c(l_1,l_2)$ being determined by (17).

Proof: Based on (13), (16), and (17), we can immediately get

$$E \left[ h_{m,n}^*(k_1) \cdot h_{p,q}(k_2) \right] = \rho_{Rx}^{(m,p)} \cdot \rho_{Tx}^{(n,q)} \cdot \mathbf{C}_\text{EI} \cdot J_0 \left[ 2\pi f_d (k_1 - k_2)T_s \right].$$ \hspace{1cm} (23)

According to (12) of the column vector $\mathbf{h}_\text{rec}(k)$, we can further get its covariance matrix as in (24), shown at the bottom of the page.

This completes the proof of the theorem.

C. Generation of the Discrete-Time MIMO Channel Fading

Having analyzed the statistical properties of the discrete-time MIMO channel model, we can generate the stochastic fading channel coefficients represented by the channel vector $\mathbf{h}_\text{rec}(k)$.
whose covariance matrix matches the theoretical one given by Theorem 1 for computer simulations of MIMO systems.

**Theorem 2:** The zero-mean time-varying Rayleigh fading channel vector \( \mathbf{h}_{\text{REC}}(k) \) can be generated by

\[
\mathbf{h}_{\text{REC}}(k) = C_{\text{h}}^{1/2}(0) \cdot \Phi(k) = \left( \Psi_{R_{x}}^{1/2} \otimes \Psi_{R_{x}}^{1/2} \otimes C_{\text{h,IS}}^{1/2} \right) \cdot \Phi(k)
\]

(25)

where \( X^{1/2} \) is the square root of matrix \( X = X_{1}^{1/2} \cdot X_{2}^{1/2} \), which can be obtained by a few methods shown in [28]; \( \Phi(k) \) is an \( (MNL \times 1) \) vector, whose elements are uncorrelated Rayleigh flat fading, and

\[
E \left[ \Phi(k_{1}) \cdot \Phi^{h}(k_{2}) \right] = J_{0} [2\pi f_{d}(k_{1} - k_{2})] \cdot I_{MNL \times MNL}.
\]

**Proof:** This theorem can be proved by using two identities of matrices [27]: \([A \otimes B] [C \otimes D] = [AC \otimes BD] \) and \([A \otimes B]^{h} = A^{h} \otimes B^{h} \), where the matrices have appropriate dimensions. Details are omitted here for brevity.

The significance of Theorem 2 is that it indicates that the generation of the stochastic channel coefficients of a MIMO system can be done through the Kronecker product of the square roots of three small matrices in the sizes of \( M \times M \), \( N \times N \), and \( L \times L \), rather than the square root of a very large matrix \( C_{\text{h}}(0) \) in the size of \( (MNL \times (MNL) \). The number of operations required for the square root decomposition of \( C_{\text{h}}(0) \) is approximately \( 6M^{3}N^{3}L^{3} \) [28]. Alternatively, the number of operations required to decompose three smaller matrices is approximately \( 6(M^{2} + N^{2} + L^{2}) \), and the Kronecker product of the three matrices requires about \( (M^{2}N^{2}L^{2})/2 \) operations. Therefore, the ratio between the number of operations of decomposing one large matrix and the number of operations to decompose three smaller matrices can be approximated by \( 12M^{3}N^{3}L^{3}/(12(M^{2} + N^{2} + L^{2}) + M^{2}N^{2}L^{2}) \). It is apparent that a significant amount of computations will be saved by this method, and it will additionally lead to much better numerical computation accuracy.

The generation of multiple uncorrelated Rayleigh flat fades is a classic topic with new challenges for the number \( (MNL) \) of multiple fades being large. It has been commonly postulated in the literature [6], [16], [17] that it can be done by Jakes’ original simulator [29]. Unfortunately, there are two problems in the original Jakes’ simulator. First, Jakes’ simulator is a deterministic model; it has difficulty [30] in directly generating three or more uncorrelated Rayleigh flat fading waveforms. Secondly, and more importantly, it was shown in [31] that Jakes’ simulator is even not stationary in the wide sense, and an improved Jakes’ simulator was proposed in [31] to remove the stationarity problem. However, the improved Jakes’ simulator along with the original Jakes’ simulator have statistic deficiencies as pointed out in [32], and these statistic deficiencies were finally removed by new Rayleigh fading models developed in [33] and [34]. These new models can be employed for the generation of the multiple uncorrelated Rayleigh flat fading vector \( \Phi(k) \).

**D. Computational Complexity**

Theorems 1 and 2 imply that our discrete-time MIMO channel model is statistically equivalent to the conventional continuous-time channel model. In this section, we will show that the computational complexity of our proposed discrete-time MIMO channel simulation model is much lower than that of the conventional continuous-time simulation model, based on the following three aspects.

First, the sampling rate of the discrete-time model is \( 1/T_{S} \), which is equal to \( \eta/T_{\text{sym}} \) with \( \eta \) being a small positive integer. However, for the conventional continuous-time model, when the differential delay of multiple fading paths is very small compared to the symbol period \( T_{\text{sym}} \), the sampling rate for simulation needs to be very high to implement the multiple fading paths. Let \( \eta_{c}/T_{\text{sym}} \) be the sampling rate for the continuous-time model, then the sampling computational complexity ratio of the discrete-time model to the continuous-time models is given by

\[
\zeta_{\eta} = \frac{\eta_{c}}{\eta} \times 100\%.
\]

(29)

Since \( \eta_{c} \) is usually much larger than \( \eta \), the ratio \( \zeta_{\eta} \) is usually very small. For channels with continuous power delay profile, such as the exponential power delay profile [13], a much higher \( \eta_{c} \) is required for continuous-time model, which will lead to an even smaller \( \zeta_{\eta} \).

Second, the number of uncorrelated fades used in the discrete-time model \( L_{d} \) is not larger than the number of uncorrelated fades used in the continuous-time model \( L_{c} \). Thus the ratio \( \zeta_{L} = (L_{d}/L_{c}) \times 100\% \) is not larger than one.

Third, for the discrete-time model, the effects of the transmit and receive filters are incorporated in the statistical channel coefficients with no additional filtering calculations involved. However, the simulation of the continuous-time model must pass the input signals through the transmit and receive filters with extra computations. Moreover, to represent the small differential delay of multiple fading paths, the continuous-time model has to use a high sampling rate which makes the transmit and receive filters have large number of taps. This makes the computational complexity of the continuous-time model even higher than that of the discrete-time model. Unfortunately, an explicit ratio between these two models is unlikely to be obtained.

Combining the aforementioned three facts, we can obtain the total computational complexity ratio of the discrete-time model to the continuous-time model as follows:

\[
\zeta < \zeta_{\eta} \zeta_{L} \cdot
\]

(30)

For convenient comparison, Table I shows the computational complexity ratios for TU, HT, and EQ propagation profiles of EDGE system, and Pedestrian A (PedA), Pedestrian B (PedB), Vehicular A (VehA), and Vehicular B (VehB) propagation profiles of cdma2000 and UMTS systems. It is noted that these
profiles are commonly used simulation test cases for wireless system evaluation. As can be seen from the table, the newly proposed discrete-time MIMO channel model has much smaller computational complexity compared to the conventional continuous-time MIMO channel model.

IV. SIMULATION EXPERIMENTS

In this section, we are going to evaluate the discrete-time MIMO channel model by simulation in three different criteria. First, we assess the statistic accuracy of the model compared to its theoretically calculated statistics. Second, we demonstrate the statistical equivalence between the proposed discrete-time model and the conventional continuous-time model through BER comparison. Third, we present the application of the model for MIMO channel capacity evaluation of a system which experiences triply selective Rayleigh fading.

A. Spatial–Temporal Statistics

Consider a MIMO system consisting of two antennas at the base station as the transmitter and two antennas at the mobile station as the receiver, then the correlation coefficient matrices \( \Psi_{zz} \) and \( \Psi_{re} \) can be calculated by the formulas derived in [4] under certain spatial parameters. For example, if the BS and MS antennas are spaced by \( 12\lambda \) and \( 0.5\lambda \), respectively, where \( \lambda \) is the wavelength, the angle of arrival is 90° and the angular spread is 10° as shown in Fig. 3, then we get the two matrices as follows:

\[
\Psi_{zz} = \begin{bmatrix}
1.0000 & 0.2154 \\
0.2154 & 1.0000 \\
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
\Psi_{re} = \begin{bmatrix}
1.0000 & -0.3042 \\
-0.3042 & 1.0000 \\
\end{bmatrix},
\]

(31)

The power delay profile is exponentially decaying [13], [17] and given by \( G(\tau) = A \cdot \exp(\tau/\mu) \) for \( 0 \leq \tau \leq 5 \mu s \), and \( G(\tau) = 0 \) otherwise. Likewise, if the transmit filter is a linearized Gaussian filter with a time-bandwidth product 0.3 [35], the receive filter is a square root raised cosine (SRC) filter with a roll-off factor 0.3, and the sampling period \( T_s \) is 3.69 \( \mu s \), then the elements \( c(l_1,l_2) \) of the matrix \( C_{\text{MT}} \) obtained by (17) are shown in Table II.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( l_1 )</th>
<th>( l_2 = -1 )</th>
<th>( l_2 = 0 )</th>
<th>( l_2 = 1 )</th>
<th>( l_2 = 2 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0091</td>
<td>0.0426</td>
<td>0.0178</td>
<td>-0.0016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0426</td>
<td>0.3664</td>
<td>0.3407</td>
<td>0.0367</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0178</td>
<td>0.3407</td>
<td>0.5583</td>
<td>0.1414</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>-0.0016</td>
<td>0.0367</td>
<td>0.1414</td>
<td>0.0602</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Having obtained the above three matrices, we can now compare the theoretical statistics as defined in (20) of the discrete-time MIMO fading channel coefficients with their corresponding empirical correlations obtained from simulations. For illustration purposes, we only show three of the comparisons here. Based on Theorem 1, the theoretical autocorrelation (same as the auto-covariance for a zero mean random variable) function of the channel coefficient \( h_{1,1}(k,1) \) is given by \( 0.5583 \times J_0[2\pi f_d(k_1 - k_2)T_s] \), the theoretical cross-correlation function of the channel coefficients \( h_{1,1}(k,0) \) and \( h_{1,1}(k,1) \) is given by \( 0.3407 \times J_0[2\pi f_d(k_1 - k_2)T_s] \), and the theoretical cross-correlation function of the channel coefficients \( h_{1,1}(k,0) \) and \( h_{2,1}(k,1) \) is given by \( -0.1036 \times J_0[2\pi f_d(k_1 - k_2)T_s] \).

Using the procedure described in Section III-C, we have generated a set of the time-varying random channel coefficients for \( h_{1,1}(k,0) \), \( h_{1,1}(k,1) \), and \( h_{2,1}(k,1) \). Then, their correlation statistics obtained from the simulation are compared to their corresponding theoretical ones and depicted in Fig. 4. As can be seen, the spatio-temporal statistics of the MIMO channel simulation model match the theoretical results very well. We have also compared the correlation statistics of all other channel coefficients to their theoretical ones, finding good agreement in all cases. Therefore, the statistical accuracy of the discrete-time MIMO channel model is confirmed.

We conclude this subsection with two remarks. First, the nonzero cross correlations between \( h_{1,1}(k,0) \) and \( h_{1,1}(k,1) \) and between \( h_{1,1}(k,0) \) and \( h_{2,1}(k,1) \) indicate that the fading coefficients from different subchannels with different delays can be statistically correlated (or even significantly correlated sometimes). This is quite different from the commonly used independence assumption in the literature [6], [36], [37], where the transmit and receive filters were not taken into consideration. Second, the conventional continuous-time channel model needs a very high oversampling rate [13] to approximately
simulate this continuous power delay profile $G(\tau)$, but our discrete-time channel model can efficiently and accurately simulate the continuous delay power profile, as shown earlier.

\textbf{B. BER Comparison}

The statistical equivalence of the discrete-time channel model to the conventional continuous-time channel model can be demonstrated by comparing their BER performances. We choose the EDGE system [22], [35], as an example in this section. The power delay profile $G(\tau)$ used here is the reduced six-path TU profile provided in [22] with all six paths characterized by uncorrelated Rayleigh flat fading as specified. The transmit filter, receiver filter, and sampling period are the same as those used in the last section. The matrix $\mathbf{C}^{stl}$ is given in Table III. It should be pointed out from this table that the power of this discrete-time channel is mainly concentrated in the first delay line.

![Reduced 6-Path Typical Urban Profile](image)

\textbf{Fig. 5. Comparison of BER performance with discrete-time model and continuous-time model for EDGE mobile system under typical urban delay power profile.}

When the MIMO channel is known to the receiver but unknown to the transmitter and assuming that the available power is uniformly distributed over all the transmit antennas, then the capacity of a spatially correlated MIMO WSSUS multipath Rayleigh channel with fixed total transmission power $P_T$ is given by [38]

$$ C = \frac{1}{2W} \int_{-W}^{W} \log_2 \det \left( \mathbf{I}_M + \frac{\beta}{N} \cdot \mathbf{H}(k,f) \cdot \mathbf{H}^H(k,f) \right) df, \quad \text{bps/Hz} $$

(32)

where $W$ is the one-sided bandwidth of the baseband signal, $\beta$ is the average signal-to-noise (SNR) at each receiver branch, and $\mathbf{H}(k,f)$ is the time-varying frequency-dependent transfer function matrix given by

$$ \mathbf{H}(k,f) = \sum_{l=1}^{L_2} \mathbf{H}_l(k)e^{-j2\pi f T_s l}. $$

(33)

Obviously, the channel capacity $C$ is a function of $\mathbf{H}(k,f)$, which is random for each channel realization. Hence, $C$ can be treated as a random variable. The outage capacity, which is defined as the probability that a specified value of $C$ cannot be achieved, is used to evaluate the capacity of the channel. It can be represented by the complementary cumulative distribution function (ccdf) of the random capacity $C$.

We take the UMTS system as an example. The power delay profile is chosen to be the vehicular channel A profile specified in [23]. The transmit and receive filters are SRC filters with rolloff factor 0.22, and the sampling period is the same as the chip period 0.2604 $\mu$s [23]. The matrix $\mathbf{C}^{stl}$ can be calculated based on (22), but details are omitted here for brevity. For the convenience of illustration purposes, the elements of the correlation coefficient matrices $\mathbf{\Psi}_{rs}$ and $\mathbf{\Psi}_{fs}$ are simply chosen to be exponential correlation matrix [39] as follows:

$$ \rho_{rs}^{m,n} = \tau^{n-m}, \quad \rho_{fs}^{m,n} = \tau^{n-m}, \quad |\tau| \leq 1. $$

(34)
The capacity ccdfs of MIMO channels under different correlation coefficients \( r = 0, 0.5, 1.0 \) and different number of antennas with \( M = N \) are shown in Fig. 6, where the SISO flat fading channel is included for comparison purposes. It is noted that the number of receive antennas \( M \) and the number of transmit antennas \( N \) is indicated by \((M, N)\) in the figure’s legend. As can be seen, when \( M = N \), the MIMO channel capacity is linearly growing with \( M \) when \( r \leq 0.5 \), and the growing rate depends on the value of \( r \) (the smaller \( r \) is, the larger the growth rate). This shows that the spatial correlation of the MIMO channel has a strong impact on the channel capacity. This observation for a frequency selective channel is in good agreement with the results presented in [24] for Rayleigh flat fading.

The capacity ccdfs of flat fading channels, and the vehicular A profile with \( M \)-to-\( N \) ratio being constant and \( r = 0.5 \) are plotted in Fig. 7 to compare the flat fading and frequency selective fading’s impact on the channel capacity. As can be seen, for 10% or less outage capacity, i.e., the probability (capacity \( > \) absissa) \( \geq 0.9 \), thus the frequency selective fading channels always have a larger capacity than the flat fading channels. This supports the view point that the rich scattering environment (multipath) provides higher channel capacity [38]. It is also observed that when \( M \neq N \) but \( M/N \) is constant, the MIMO channel capacity is still linearly growing with \( M \) for both flat fading and frequency selective fading.

The capacity ccdfs of the flat fading channel and vehicular channel A profile with \( N \) being fixed and \( M \) being fixed are plotted in Figs. 8 and 9, respectively. It can be observed from Fig. 8 that the channel capacity is approximately linearly changing with \( \log_2 M \) when \( M \geq N \) and \( N \) is fixed. It can also be concluded from Fig. 9 that the channel capacity is linearly
changing with $\log_2 N$ when $N \leq M$ and $M$ is fixed. It should be pointed out that the MIMO channel capacity results reported in [1], [2], and [40] are only for i.i.d. flat Rayleigh fading channels. Hence, our simulation results are valuable observations for triply selective MIMO Rayleigh fading channels.

Finally, it is noted that the MIMO channel capacity with continuous-time models has also been performed by extensive simulations, and the results are all nearly identical to those obtained with the discrete-time model. This further verifies the statistical equivalence of the discrete-time and continuous-time channel models. However, with the discrete-time MIMO channel model, the outage capacity for the MIMO channel can be easier and more efficiently evaluated.

V. CONCLUSION

We have proposed a new discrete-time channel model for MIMO systems over space-selective (or spatially correlated), time-selective (or time-varying), and frequency-selective Rayleigh fading channels, which are referred to as triply selective Rayleigh fading channels. The stochastic channel coefficients of the new MIMO channel model have the same sampling period as that of the MIMO receiver, and they can be efficiently generated from a new method, presented in this paper. The proposed approach combines the effects of the transmit filter, the physical MIMO channel multipath fading, and the receive filter. The new model is computationally efficient to describe the input–output of MIMO channels, because it does not need to oversample the fractionally delayed multipath channel fading, the transmit filter, and the receive filter. It is shown through analysis and simulation that the discrete-time stochastic channel coefficients of different individual subchannels with different delays are generally statistically correlated even if the physical channels have WSSUS multipath fading. The knowledge of this correlation may be used for improving the channel estimation of MIMO systems. The statistical accuracy of the discrete-time channel model is rigorously confirmed by extensive simulations in terms of second-order statistics and BER performance of a system that uses the model. The discrete-time MIMO channel model is further used to evaluate the MIMO channel capacity under a triply selective Rayleigh fading environment. For the high SNR scenario, from the simulation experiments, we have three observations: 1) when the number of receive antennas $M$ is the same as the number of transmit antennas $N$, or when $M/N$ is constant, the MIMO channel capacity vary in a linear fashion with $M$; 2) when $N$ is fixed, the MIMO channel capacity increases approximately linearly with $\log_2 M$ when $M \geq N$; and 3) when $M$ is fixed, the MIMO channel capacity is linearly scaling with $\log_2 N$ when $N \leq M$. However, the scaling rates for all the three cases are dependent on the spatial correlation coefficients (the less correlation, the larger the scaling rate). Our observations are therefore valuable extensions to the capacity results of triply selective MIMO Rayleigh fading channels from the special case of quasi-static i.i.d. flat Rayleigh fading MIMO channels.
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