

5-1-1940

# Cornell University School of Civil Engineering Tests on light beams of cold-formed steel

Cornell University School of Civil Engineering

Follow this and additional works at: <http://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ccfss-library>



Part of the [Structural Engineering Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Cornell University School of Civil Engineering, "Cornell University School of Civil Engineering Tests on light beams of cold-formed steel" (1940). *Center for Cold-Formed Steel Structures Library*. 204.  
<http://scholarsmine.mst.edu/ccfss-library/204>

This Report - Technical is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Center for Cold-Formed Steel Structures Library by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact [scholarsmine@mst.edu](mailto:scholarsmine@mst.edu).

SCHOOL OF CIVIL ENGINEERING, CORNELL UNIVERSITY  
TESTS ON LIGHT BEAMS OF COLD FORMED STEEL  
FOR THE AMERICAN IRON AND STEEL INSTITUTE

TENTH PROGRESS REPORT, MAY 24, 1940

I. SCOPE OF THIS REPORT

At the present time failure tests are being carried out on the 24 beams series D and E. To date the following beams have been tested: D-18-16-816a and E-18-16-816a and b; E-18-14-816a and b; D-18-14-816a and b; E-18-12-816b; D-18-816a and b; E-18-18-88a and b; total - 13 beams.

The failure tests on those beams are carried out in a way different from that used heretofore (see section II of this report). As a result very extensive data are obtained for each of these beams. Since the evaluation of these data will require considerable time it has been decided to complete the entire series of 24 failure tests without interrupting the test work by simultaneous reduction of the data obtained. For this reason in the present report no test data are given and no conclusions drawn.

II. METHOD OF TESTING.

In previous failure tests the observations had been limited to measuring load and deflection and observing the kind of failure. It was observed however that formation of waves on the top flange, i.e. the beginning of buckling in many cases had started at loads far below the ultimate. The exact time of the first wave forming cannot be observed by simple visual inspection since the first waves are usually so small that they escape observation. For this reason during the present tests and in addition to the load - deflection observation, strain measurements are carried out on the top flange. Eight Huggenberger strain gages are mounted on the top flange, four in the longitudinal and four in the transverse direction. The gages are mounted in the same way as in previous strain measurements, i.e. they are set up in pairs, one on the top and one on the bottom surface of the top flange, opposite each other.

It is believed that deviation of the load - strain curve from the straight line will give a sensitive indication of the first signs of buckling waves. In addition it will be possible to compute the mean stress in the top flange from these strain measurements. It will be remembered that in previous failure tests only a "nominal stress" has been given, obtained from the flexure formula: stress =  $M/S$ . However irregularities in the shape of the beam make it impossible to determine  $S$  with great accuracy. It is therefore believed that the stress values obtained from the present strain readings will at least supplement the data on the "nominal ultimate stress".

In setting up the specimens extreme care was taken to avoid any eccentric

ading. This effort however in some cases is partly upset by the fact that considerable irregularities in the shape of the beams give rise to inevitable eccentricities.

In former tests the load had been applied over the entire width of the top flange. In some cases this gave rise to local distortion of the top flange with consequent failure directly under the load. In order to avoid such behavior in the present tests, a narrow thin steel plate is placed on the top flange directly over the web at the load points. By means of this plate the load is applied to the web as directly as possible. As a result none of the 13 beams tested has failed at the load point.

In testing the twin specimens of each type of beams, one specimen is tested as received, while the inclined stiffeners connecting the web with the bent down portion of the top flange are cut out on the other specimen. It is hoped that this procedure may shed some light on the question of the necessity of such lateral bracing.

The load is applied in small increments, the magnitude of which depends on the individual beam tested. After each increment the load is reduced again to the small initial load applied at the first reading. Deflection and strain readings are taken at each loading and permanent deflections and strains are observed after each increment.

The Huggenberger strain gages being very sensitive instruments, it is impossible to leave them on the specimen up to the ultimate load since they may easily be damaged while failures occur. For this reason the gages are taken off at about 90% of the ultimate load. That can be done with comparative ease since it is usually possible to predict the ultimate load of the beam from its behavior at 70 to 85% of that load (permanent set, wave formation, non-linearity of strain curves etc.)

In addition to these observations the cross-sectional dimensions of each beam are measured as precisely as possible in order to arrive at more exact data concerning I and S than in previous tests.

### III. GENERAL BEHAVIOR OF BEAMS IN FAILURE

Although, as mentioned before, no data yet are worked out, it may be of interest to report on the general behavior of the beams in failure.

The 13 beams tested acted in a very regular way; the ultimate load was increasing with decreasing width and increasing thickness, i.e. with increasing stability of the top flange. No great difference has yet been observed between the ultimate loads of the beams with and without inclined flange stiffeners.

Three distinct types of failure have been observed: local short wave buckling of the downstanding part of the top flange; local short wave buckling of the main horizontal part of the top flange; and horizontal bending of the top flange as a whole (loss of lateral stability). In some cases again spot welds failed near the supports. However in all cases this took place at loads considerably below the ultimate. It was therefore possible to take those beams out of the testing machine, reweld the bottom flange and carry the tests further to failure in the top flange.

Considerable irregularities in the shape of the beams may in some cases result in an ultimate load sizeably lower than that which would have been obtained if the beams were in better shape. It is hoped that this influence may possibly be analyzed more exactly after the numerical evaluation of the results.