



Fall 9-18-2008

## 2008-09-08 Administrative Review Committee of the Faculty Senate Annual Report

Administrative Review Committee of the Missouri S&T Faculty Senate

Follow this and additional works at: [http://scholarsmine.mst.edu/facsenate\\_admrev](http://scholarsmine.mst.edu/facsenate_admrev)

---

### Recommended Citation

Administrative Review Committee of the Missouri S&T Faculty Senate, "2008-09-08 Administrative Review Committee of the Faculty Senate Annual Report" (2008). *Administrative Review*. 4.  
[http://scholarsmine.mst.edu/facsenate\\_admrev/4](http://scholarsmine.mst.edu/facsenate_admrev/4)

This Minutes is brought to you for free and open access by Scholars' Mine. It has been accepted for inclusion in Administrative Review by an authorized administrator of Scholars' Mine. This work is protected by U. S. Copyright Law. Unauthorized use including reproduction for redistribution requires the permission of the copyright holder. For more information, please contact [scholarsmine@mst.edu](mailto:scholarsmine@mst.edu).



DATE: September 12, 2008  
TO: Frank Blum, President  
Faculty Senate 2007-2008  
FROM: Jeff Cawlfeld, Chair  
Administrative Review Committee

*JDC 9/12/08*

The Administrative Review Committee carried out four reviews in 2007-08 for Vice Provost Schwartz, Vice Provost Allada, Vice Provost Krishnamurthy and Vice Provost Weibe.

Members of the Committee were:

- Jeff Cawlfeld, Chair
- Rick Stephenson
- Bruce McMillan
- Gerry Wilemski

There were 36 reviews returned for VP Schwartz, 34 returned for Allada, 35 returned for Krishnamurthy and 33 returned for Weibe. The survey instruments were sent to all tenure-track regular faculty members using the email list serve and faculty members were instructed to print each survey and fill it out and return a hard copy to Ms. Dedi Wilson who collected the surveys and turned them over to the committee. Two years ago we used BLACKBOARD to conduct the reviews and there were concerns expressed that anonymity might be compromised, so we went to the hard copy returned via campus mail this year. The low number of returns (both using BLACKBOARD and using the process adopted this year) might suggest that in future years hard copies be sent to every faculty that they can return – just to make the effort required as simple as possible for the faculty (but such approach would require a large number of copies be made and mailed by the committee).

I compiled summary statistics for each question and reported the MEDIAN in large bold font -- the 25th percentile and the 75th percentile are reported on either side of the MEDIAN in smaller font. One of the members of the committee suggested I also add the AVERAGE but no one else supported that and, since the AVERAGE is usually within a few percentage points of the MEDIAN, I thought I would leave the report as is. For the small number of responses, the MEDIAN is a better representation of central tendency.

I typed all comments provided by any responder verbatim at the end of each VP report -- not too many comments were received but each VP had a few comments directed their way.

If you need additional information or want to suggest reporting changes etc. please let me know.